#### THE EFFECT OF TOTAL PHYSICAL RESPONSE STORYTELLING (TPRS) ON THE VOCABULARY ACHIEVEMENT OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STUDENTS

# A THESIS

In partial fulfillment of the Requirement for the SarjanaPendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching



By:

Angelina Ariyanti Sutijono 1213010053

#### ENGLISH DEPARTMENT

#### FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

#### WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY SURABAYA

2014

#### APPROVAL SHEET

(1)

This thesis entitled "The Effect of Using Total Physical Response Storytelling (TPRS) on the Vocabulary Achievement of Elementary School Students" prepared and submitted by Angelina Ariyanti Sutijono has been approved and accepted as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degreee in English Language Teaching by the following advisors.

Dr. Kuruh Mindari, M.Pd. First Advisor

Dra. Susana Teopilus, M.Pd. Second Advisor

APPROVAL SHEET (2) This thesis has been examined by the committee on Oral Examination with \_\_\_\_ on May 24<sup>th</sup>, 2014 the grade of \_ Duga Prof. Dr. Veronica L. Diptoadi, M. Sc. Chairperson M. N. Siti Mina Tamah, Ph. D. Dr. B. Budiyono, M. Pd. Member Member Dr Ruruh Mindari, M.Pd. Dra. Susana Teopilus, M.Pd. L. V. Dieko Wirjawan, Ph.D. Dean of the Faculty of Teacher tno Palupi, M.Pd. , IV the English Department Training and Education

|   | NYATAAN<br>BLIKASI KARYA ILMIAH  |
|---|--|
| Demi perkembangan Ilmu Pengetahuan, saya se<br>Mandala Surabaya:  | bagai mahasiswa Universitas Katolik Widya                                  |
| Nama Mahasiswa : ANGELINA ARIYA   | ANTI SUTIJONO  |
| Nomor Pokok : 1213010053  |  |
| Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Ingg  | gris — Jurusan Pendidikan Bahasa dan Seni                                  |
| Fakultas : Keguruan dan Ilmu Pen  | didikan  |
| Perguruan Tinggi : Universitas Katolik Widy   | va Mandala Surabaya  |
| Tanggal Lulus : 24 MEI 2014   |  |
| Dengan ini SETUJU/TIDAK SETUJU*) Skripsi a  | atau Karya Ilmiah saya,  |
| Judul: THE EFFECT OF TOTAL PH   | YSICAL RESPONSE STORY TELLIN   |
| (TPRS) ON THE VOCABULA  | ACHIEVEMENT OF ELEMENTA  |
| SCHOOL STUDENTS   |  |
| Untuk dipublikasikan/ditampilkan di Internet a<br>Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya)<br>dengan Undang-undang Hak Cipta yang berlaku<br>Demikian surat pernyataan <b>SETUJU/TIDAK S</b><br>dengan sebenarnya. | untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas s                                       |
|   | Surabaya,10 Juni.  |
| <u>Catatan</u> :<br>*) coret yang tidak perlu   | Yang menyatakan,<br>METERAI<br>Ukuman man<br>OABERAO 53074000<br>COOOD DJP |
|   | ANGELINA ARIYAN  |
|   | NRP.: 1213010053   |

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, the writer would like to thank her Almighty God for His blessing and guidance throughout the thesis accomplishing of the writer. He has given the writer strength and knowledge so she can do her thesis well. However, it would not have been possible without any support and help from many individuals and organizations.

The writer would like to express her great gratitude to:

- 1. Dr. Ruruh Mindari, M.Pd. as the writer first thesis advisor from English Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University, who has given the writer her patient guidance, enthusiastic encouragement, and useful criticism during her thesis writing.
- Dra. Susana Teopilus, M. Pd. as the second thesis advisor from English Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University who also gave her guidance, support, and useful recommendation on doing this thesis.
- 3. Sr. Ann Hadjon, OSU, S. Pd. as the principal of St. Maria Catholic Elementary School, who has given the writer chance to have new experience at this school.
- 4. J. Christina Purtiwi, A. Ma. Pd. as the vice-headmaster of St. Maria Catholic Elementary School who has given a lot of information needed by the writer to carry out the writer's study at school.

- J. Regina Suci Lestari, S. Pd., the English Teacher of St. Maria Catholic Elementary School, who had patiently helped and given her valuable time for the writer to apply her experiment.
- 6. All the lecturers of English Department of Teacher Training Faculty of Widya Mandala Catholic University, who have given their guidance and knowledge during the writer studying here.
- 7. All of the teachers, and staffs of St. Maria Catholic Elementary School, especially J. Rosa Dila Kurniarani, S. Pd., J. Margaretha Maria Tri Indriani, A. Ma. Pd., J Christiana Hany Wijayanti, S. Pd., J. Christine Jule Wismarini, A. Ma. Pd. for the valuable information, experience and great time provided by them.
- All beloved students of 3A, 3B, and 3C at St. Maria Catholic Elementary School who have welcomed the writer warmly and cooperate with every single activity she conducted.
- 9. Mr. and Ms. Gatot Sutijono, the writer's parents, Natalia Kristanty, the writer's beloved sister, Mr. Suwandi, the writer's foster father and the writer's close friends especially Frisko Wijaya, Amelia Wijaya, Diania Yovita, Yohanna Omegawati, and Irene Candra who have given their encouragement, valuable comments, help, suggestions and supports.

The writer believes without participation, encouragement, help and willingness from the people above, the writer could not manage to accomplish her thesis. Finally, the writer hopes this thesis could bring a lot of advantages to all of the readers. Thank you and God bless you.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| APPROVAL SHEET (1)   | i    |
|--|------|
| APPROVAL SHEET (2)   | ii   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT  | iii  |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS  | v    |
| LIST OF TABLES   | viii |
| ABSTRACT   | ix   |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION                                      |      |
| 1.1 Background of the Study                                  | 1    |
| 1.2 Statement of the Problem                                 | 3    |
| 1.3 Objective of the Study                                   | 3    |
| 1.4 Significance of the Study                                | 3    |
| 1.5 Scope and Limitation                                     | 4    |
| 1.6 Theoretical Framework                                    | 4    |
| 1.7 Hypothesis   | 6    |
| 1.8 Definition of Key Terms                                  | 6    |
| 1.9 Organization of the Study                                | 7    |
| CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE                      |      |
| 2.1 The Importance of Vocabulary in Language Learning        | 8    |
| 2.1.1 Types of Vocabulary                                    | 9    |
| 2.1.2 Verbs as One Type of Vocabulary                        | 9    |
| 2.2 The Teaching of Vocabulary to Young Learners             | 11   |
| 2.2.1 Characteristics of Young Learners                      | 12   |
| 2.2.2 Ways to Motivate Young Learners                        | 14   |
| 2.3 Techniques of Teaching Vocabulary to Young Learners      | 16   |
| 2.3.1 Teaching Vocabulary Using Word Lists to Young Learners | 17   |
| 2.3.2 Teaching Vocabulary Using TPRS to Young Learners       | 18   |
| 2.4 Review of Related Studies                                | 22   |

# **CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHOD**

| 3.1 Research Design                                  | 24 |  |
|--|----|--|
| 3.2 The Variables                                    | 25 |  |
| 3.3 The Population and Sample                        | 26 |  |
| 3.4 Research Instrument                              | 28 |  |
| 3.4.1 Try Out of the Instrument                      | 28 |  |
| 3.4.2 The Validity of the Test                       | 29 |  |
| 3.4.3 Reliability of the Test                        | 30 |  |
| 3.4.4 Item Analysis                                  | 31 |  |
| 3.4.4.1 The Level of Difficulty                      | 31 |  |
| 3.4.4.2 The Discrimination Power                     | 33 |  |
| 3.5 The treatments                                   | 34 |  |
| 3.6 Procedures of Data Collection                    | 36 |  |
| 3.7 Technique of Data Analysis                       | 37 |  |
| CHAPTER 4: DATA, DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF |    |  |

### THE FINDINGS

| CHARTER - CONCLUSION AND GUG CROTIONS |    |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| 4.4 Discussions                       | 44 |
| 4.3 Interpretation of the Findings    | 43 |
| 4.2 Data Analysis                     | 41 |
| 4.1 Data                              | 41 |

## **CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS**

| 5.1 Conclusion  | 47 |
|---|----|
| 5.2 Suggestions   | 48 |
| 5.2.1 Suggestions for Teachers of English                                     | 48 |
| 5.2.2 Recommendation for Further Studies                                      | 49 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY  | 51 |
| APPENDIX  |    |
| Appendix 1: Table of Mid-Term Test  | 54 |
|   |    |
| Appendix 2: ANOVA: Single Factor  | 55 |
| Appendix 2: ANOVA: Single FactorAppendix 3: Calculation for Reliability KR-21 |    |
|   | 56 |

| Appendix 6: The Pre-Test and Post-Test Score                      | 59 |
|---|----|
| Appendix 7: The Gain Score Between Groups                         | 60 |
| Appendix 8: The Calculation of Gain Score of the Test             | 61 |
| Appendix 9: The Output of t-test Between Groups                   | 62 |
| Appendix 10: Research Instrument                                  | 63 |
| Appendix 11: Lesson Plan, Teacher's Note, and Students' Exercises | 65 |

### LIST OF TABLES

| Table 3.1: The Research Design   | 25 |
|--|----|
| Table 3.2: The Variables   | 26 |
| Table 3.3: The Mean Score of Mid-Term Test Scores                        | 27 |
| Table 3.4: The Criterion of Reliability according to Arikunto (1989:167) | 31 |
| Table 3.5: The Criterion of the Level Difficulty (Arikunto, 1990)        | 32 |
| Table 3.6: The Criterion of Discrimination Index                         | 34 |
| Table 3.7: The Treatments conducted in the Study                         | 35 |
| Table 3.8: The Schedule of Pre-test, Treatments and Post-test            | 36 |
| Table 4.1: The Mean and the Result of Paired t-test of Experimental and  |    |
| Control Group  | 42 |
| Table 4.2: The Mean and the Result of t-test Between Groups              | 43 |

#### ABSTRACT

Sutijono, Angelina A. 2014. The Effect of Total Physical Response Storytelling (TPRS) on the VocabularyAchievement of Elementary School Students.S1 Thesis.Faculty of Teacher Training and Education at Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya.

Advisors: (1) Dr. RuruhMindari, M.Pd. (2) Dra. Susana Teopilus, M.Pd.

#### Keyterms: TPRS (Total Physical Response Storytelling), Vocabulary Achievement

Teaching English to Young Learners is really important. English, as international language, is used by a lot of people around the world. English consists of some language components and skills. Vocabulary is the basic and most important part. The writer believes that vocabulary needs to be learnt first to develop other language components and skills. In teaching English, teacher should introduce vocabulary to young learners as early as possible. Elementary school time is the best time for students to learn vocabulary. However, some English teachers still find difficulties to motivate the students to learn vocabulary. The job of English teachers is to attract the students' attention by giving comfortable environment, exciting teaching-learning activities, and fun teaching method. English teachers must be creative in creating the classroom atmosphere because the students especially Elementary School students easily get bored and not interested in monotonous activities. When the students lack interest, they tend to forget each vocabulary that has been taught by the teacher easily. Taking this into consideration, to make the teaching-learning activities attractive and exciting to the students, the teacher should vary the teaching techniques and method in increasing the students' motivation in learning vocabulary.

In this study, the writer suggests TPRS (Total Physical Response Storytelling). TPR has been known as an effective way to teach English to young learners. It is used when the students respond physically on the teacher's command. Storytelling is also a fun and exciting way to teach young learners. This study is conducted by combining TPR and Storytelling to improve the vocabulary achievement of the elementary school students. It helps English teachers to break the monotonous teaching technique and to increase the students' motivation. The writer also would like to compare the vocabulary achievement of the students taught using TPRS and those taught using word lists.

Based on the above background, this experimental study, has attempted to answer the question 'Do the third grade students of St. Maria Catholic Elementary School who are taught vocabulary using TPRS have better vocabulary achievement than those who are taught using word lists?' The subjects of this study were third grade students of St. Maria Elementary school belonging to the school years 2013/2014. To make sure that the chosen classes have the same intelligence, the writer decided to calculate the students' English mid-term test using Anova. The writer used simple random sampling to avoid subjectivity. The pilot group was used to try out the research instrument. The experimental group was taught using TPRS and the control group was taught using word lists. The data were obtained from the pre-test and post-test.

The calculation of t-test showed that the teaching of vocabulary using TPRS was more effective than the teaching of vocabulary using word lists. Teaching vocabulary using TPRS was effective in breaking the students' boredom in the class and to arouse the students' motivation. It can be concluded that the teaching of vocabulary using TPRS is more successful than using word lists. Therefore, the use of TPRS to teach vocabulary could improve the students' vocabulary achievement.