# METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED BY UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN WRITING

# **A THESIS**



By:

Cecilia Chelsea Thiodurus 1213020004

# ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM TEACHER EDUCATION FACULTY WIDYA MANDALA SURABAYA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY

January 2024

# Metacognitive Strategies Used by University Students in Writing

# **A THESIS**

Presented to Teacher Education Faculty
Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University
In partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of
Sarjana Pendidikan in English Language Education



Written by: Cecilia Chelsea Thiodurus 1213020004

# ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM OF TEACHER EDUCATION FACULTY WIDYA MANDALA SURABAYA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY

January 2024

# **SURAT PERNYATAAN**

# SURAT PERNYAATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI KARYA ILMIAH

a, Students
а,
а,
endiditan
an Seni
39hs
US
֡

Untuk dipublikasikan/ditampilkan di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakan Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas sesuai undang-undang Hak Cipta yang berlaku.

Demikian surat pemyataan SETUJU/##BAK-SETUJU\*I publikasi Karya Ilmiah ini saya buat dengan sebenamya.

Surabaya, Yang membuat pemyataan,



NRP: 1213020004

') coret salah satu

# APPROVAL SHEET (I)

This thesis entitled "Metacognitive Strategies Used by University Students in Writing" prepared and submitted by Cecilia Chelsea Thiodurus – 1213020004 has been approved to be examined by the Thesis Board of Examiners.

Dra. Susana Teopilus, M.Pd.

Thesis Advisor

Dr. V. Luluk Prijambodo, M.Pd.

Examiner 1

Alberik Ryan Tendy Wijaya, M.Pd.

Examiner 2

# APPROVAL SHEET (II)

This thesis entitled "Metacognitive Strategies Used by University Students in Writing" prepared and submitted by Cecilia Chelsea Thiodurus - 1213020004 has been examined and declared PASSED by the Thesis Board of Examiners.

> Dr. V. Luluk Prijambodo, M.Pd. Chair

Dra. Susana Teopilus, M.Pd.

Secretary

Alberik Ryan Tendy Wijaya, M.Pd.

Member

V. Lutuk Prijambodo, M.Pd. Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Education

faria Josephine Kriesye S., M.Pd. Head of the English Education of Study Program

# SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI KARYA ILMIAH

Bersama ini saya:				10.23.0	1.05
Nama	: _C	ecilia Chel	sea Thiodu	เกร	(Although A.)
Nomor Pokok	-	213020004		100 6 15	
Program Studi	The second second	endidikan		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	STATE STATES
Jurusan		endidikan 1			
Fakultas	: _K	eguruan d	an Ilmu	Pendidikan	
Menyatakan dengan					and reality of the transfer
tvietacogn	HIVE STRO	ategies Used	by Univer	ity Hoden	in Witting
hasil <i>plagiarisme</i> , n dan/ataunpencabuta	naka say n gelar ya	a bersedia n ang telah say	nenerima san ra peroleh.	aksi berupa j sesungguhny	ni ternyata merupakan pembatalan kelulusan a dan dengan penuh
					MEYERAL TEMPET
				Cecil	a Chelsea Thiodurus
Mengetahui,		4.654		Docen I	embimbing II,
Dosen Pembimbing	1,			Doscii i	cinomonig II,
80	1				
Dra. Surana Toppi	lus , M.Pd			NIK:_	

# STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY

I declare that this thesis is my own writing, and it is true and correct that I did not take any scholarly ideas or work from others dishonestly. All the cited works were quoted in accordance with the ethical code of academic writing. I will take all the consequences if plagiarism is found in this thesis.

Surabaya, 14 December 2023

Cecilia Chelsea Thiodurus

1213020004

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The researcher would like to express her praise and gratitude to the Almighty God, for the graces and blessings that He has granted her that this thesis can be completed properly in accordance with the planned time. This thesis would not have been possible without the guidance and help from many people who have contributed their valuable assistance in the preparation and completion of this study. On this opportunity, the researcher would like to express gratitude and appreciation to:

- 1. The researcher's beloved parents, Henry Thiodurus and Tjiang Kelyawati, who have always been there for the researcher and given the encouragement, prayers, and motivation for the researcher to finish this study in 7<sup>th</sup> semester. They are the reason why the researcher would like to finish this study as quickly as possible.
- 2. Dra. Susana Teopilus, M.Pd., the researcher's thesis advisor, who has provided guidance, time, motivation, and suggestions in all aspects since the beginning of the preparation until the completion of this thesis.
- 3. Alberik Ryan Tendy Wijaya, M.Pd., as the lecturer who has given valuable insight to the researcher in finishing this study.
- 4. The researcher herself, who has never given up on putting all her prayers, efforts, and dedication into finishing this study in this 7<sup>th</sup> semester. All the tears, frustration, and hard work were worth it.
- 5. The researcher's best friend, Florencia Irena Larissa, who has given all the encouragement, emotional support, and motivation to the researcher in finishing this thesis.
- 6. The researcher's friends in batch 2020 who are taking thesis in the 7<sup>th</sup> semester, for our shared encouragement, support, and motivation that enable us to survive and successfully complete our studies together in this 7<sup>th</sup> semester.

7. The students of Expository and Argumentative Writing Essays Class in the odd semester of 2023/2024, for their contribution in filling out the questionnaire and participating in the interview of this study.

The researcher would also like to offer her thanks and apologies for all people whose name could not be mentioned here for their help, motivation, support, and suggestion in completing this thesis.

#### **ABSTRACT**

At the university level of English Language Education Study Program (ELESP), writing is one of the language skills that the university students have to master. The complexity of writing leads most university students to experience some common problems in their writing process. To overcome their writing problems, they apply various learning strategies. One of the learning strategies in writing is called Metacognitive Strategies, which is believed by some researchers to be an effective way to solve university students' writing problems as it plays a significant role in writing. Therefore, this study was conducted with the aim to explore how the university students use metacognitive strategies in the process of writing. The subjects of this study were 15 students of English Language Education Study Program at a private university in Surabaya who were taking Expository & Argumentative Writing Essays course. The data were collected through questionnaire and interview which were analysed and presented using descriptive qualitative research design. The findings showed that the students used metacognitive strategies in various ways for each writing process. (1) In planning, the students paid attention to the genre of the essay to consider the purpose, target reader, and language use of their essays. They also recalled for model essays, made an outline, and generated new ideas in advance. (2) In drafting, they applied monitoring strategies by using the developed outline as a guideline to write the draft. They also generated ideas from the internet and written materials as their relevant resources. When they got stuck in drafting, they tried to find inspiration from relevant texts and online dictionary. (3) In revising and editing, they also applied the monitoring strategies by re-reading the draft to check the content, organization, and grammar of their drafts. They also used online grammar tools to help them check and correct the grammar and adjusted their writing plans. (4) In final version, the students applied the evaluating strategies by self-evaluating the grammar, content, organization, and vocabulary of their essays. They also evaluated whether their essay achieved its intended goal.

**Keywords:** metacognitive strategies, writing strategies, university students

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SURAT PERNYATAAN	i
APPROVAL SHEET (I)	ii
APPROVAL SHEET (II)	iii
SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI KARYA	A ILMIAHiv
STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
ABSTRACT	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Problem	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
1.3 Objective of the Study	3
1.4 Theoretical Framework	3
1.5 Limitation and Scope	4
1.6 Significance of the Study	4
1.7 Definition of Key Terms	5
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	6
2.1 Related Literature	6
2.1.1 Writing Process	6
2.1.2 Writing Problems	9
2.1.3 Language Learning Strategies in Writing	11
2.1.4 Metacognitive Strategies in Writing	13
2.2 Related Previous Studies	15
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	18
3.1 Research Design	18
3.2 Research Subject	18
3.3 Research Instrument	19

	3.4 Source of Data and Research Data	. 24
	3.5 Procedure of Data Collection	. 24
	3.6 Data Analysis Procedure	. 25
CHAI	PTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS	. 27
	4.1 Findings	. 27
	4.1.1 Findings of the Questionnaire	. 27
	4.1.2 Findings of the Interview	. 55
	4.2 Discussion	. 60
CHAI	PTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	. 74
	5.1 Conclusion	. 74
	5.2 Suggestion	. 76
REFE	ERENCES	. 77
APPE	ENDICES	. 79
	APPENDIX 1: Table of Data Analysis	. 80
	APPENDIX 2: Translated Interview	. 81