CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESSTIONS

This chapter consists of two parts. The first is the conclusion of the thesis and the second is the writer's suggestions that may be useful to English teachers, especially writing teachers.

5.1 Conclusion

No one learns without making errors and so do language learners. As making errors is part of learning, error correction in the classroom is unavoidable. Therefore, students need an assistance in correcting their own errors, that is, a teacher.

It is clear that the teacher corrects students' errors so that students do not make errors again. However, this is wrong as giving a correct model does not guarantee that the students will not make errors again. On the contrary, when the teacher corrects those errors, some problems arise. For example, a writing teacher gives a topic. Each student writes a composition on that topic and submits it. Then, the teacher corrects and returns those compositions with some penmarkings or comments. It has been argued a long time ago that the teachers should not use red pen in correcting. Seeing a composition with a bunch of red penmarkings is discouraging, because the students see only the number of errors they made. It makes them embarrassed. It also makes the students feel their self-esteem slapped. Meanwhile, they also do not get a clear understanding about their mistakes.

The teacher also gets a problem. He or she cannot explain more fully, clearly and supportively how a composition can be improved with this way. Besides, he or she has to spend a lot of time going through those compositions by circling errors, with a pen, drawing arrows, and giving comments, or simply marking through and rewriting some parts of the compositions. The teacher also feels that correcting composition with this way is a boring work, especially when he or she has a big writing class.

Working with audiotaped feedback as a feedback in writing provides an interesting activity and it is also a good way to help students become good writers. In addition, it has some advantages. First, it creates a natural setting and a positive climate due the fact that the interaction between teacher and students happens. The comments given on the tape are more detailed and contain more information, so it really helps the students to have clear understanding about their mistakes and then they can correct them. It also gives an opportunity to practice listening.

To find out whether the audiotaped feedback is effective enough to improve students' grammatical performance and idea in writing, the writer uses a qualitative action research that consists of cycles consisting of planning, acting, observing, reflecting, and suggesting for the next planning, etc. The data that the writer got includes the first draft of five compositions of each student, the final draft as the revised draft of five compositions of each student and also the interview.

The result of data analysis, findings and interpretation of the findings shows that the students' progress in grammatical performance and ideas improve.

They can write a description with a good organization, a good grammar, and a right and a detailed description. Besides, they know how to correct their grammatical mistakes because they have got better understanding.

"The man who cannot make a mistake, cannot make anything" (Samekto, 1994), therefore, this technique also has drawbacks. From the interview, there are suggestions to use both written comments and audiotaped ones in order to make things clearer and more easy to understand, especially for a student who has a problem in his/her listening.

5.2 Suggestions

At the end of this study, the writer would like give some suggestions. Hopefully, the suggestions will be useful to teachers, especially writing teachers. They are as follows:

- 1. Teacher may give an opportunity to the students to correct their compositions by themselves. Giving the students a chance to correct their errors, it will develop their self-critical attitude, so they become more responsible with their own errors.
- 2. Teacher supports the tape for the students that do not have it. Therefore, the students can listen to the teacher's error corrections and comments and then he/she can correct them.
- 3. In giving comments, both the teacher and peers must pay attention to the environment select a quiet place and also they have to use clear voices speak clear and aloud without rumbling.

- 4. Before the process of recording, prepare all the preparation whether there is a problem or not in order to make the students can accept the teacher's feeback clearly.
- 5. In using this technique, the teacher must consider the student's listening ability. It is better to give both written comments and audiotaped ones for a student who has a weak listening ability as a start.
- 6. Teacher should encourage the students to ask him/her when they have problems in interpreting and understanding his/her error corrections and comments.
- 7. Both teacher and the students should make a good communication between them in order to have better understanding. Moreover, there is also no misinterpreting in giving corrections and comments.

PERPUSTAKAAN
Universitas Natola Wildra Mandala
SUKABAYA

REFERENCES

References

- Ancker, William. (October-December 2000). Errors and Corrective Feedback: Updated Theory and Classroom Practice. *English Teaching FORUM*, 38(4). 20. Available at: http://exchanges.state.gove/forum/vols/vol_38/no-4/20.htm
- Best, John W. (1983). *Research in Education*. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Private.
- Birley, Graham & Neil Moreland. (1988). A Practical Guide to Academic Research. London: Kogan Page.
- Boswood, Tim, Robert Dwyer, Robert Hoffman, & Charles Lockhart. (1993). Audiotaped Feedback on Writing. Research Report No.24. Department of English, City Polytechnic of Hong Kong.
- Boswood, Tim, & Robert H. Dwyer. (Winter 1995/6). From Marking to Feedback: Audiotaped Responses to Student Writing. *TESOL Journal*, 5(2), 20-23.
- Bright, J.A & G.P. McGregor. (1982). *Teaching English as a Second Language*. Singapore: Longman Group.
- Brown, Douglas H. (1987). *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Brumfit, Christopher J. (1980). *Problems and Principles in English Teaching*. England: Pergamon Press.
- Byrne, Donn. (1983). English Perspective. Singapore: Longman Group.
- Byrne, Donn. (1988). Teaching Oral Skills. Singapore: Longman Group

- Byrne, Donn. (1991). Teaching Writing Skills. England: Longman Group.
- Calderonello, Alice Heim & Bruce L. Edwards, Jr. (1986). Rough-drafts: The Process of Writing. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Celce-Murcia, Marianne & Louis McIntosh (Eds.). (1975). Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language. New York: Newbury/Harper Collins.
- Celce-Murcia, Marianne (Ed). (1991). *Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language* (Second Edition). Boston.: Heinle and Heinle.
- Chaudron, Craig. (December 1984). The Effect of Feedback on Students' Composition Revision. *RELC Journal*, 15(2), 1-14.
- Chia Keng Boon. (June 1985). Error Analysis and Composition Marking. *Guidelines*, 7(1), 13-21.
- Clifford, Marian. (April 1991). Developing Writing Skills in Basic ESL for Adults. English Teaching Forum, 29(2), 41-42.
- Clouse, Barbara Fine. (1983). Writing: From Inner World to Outer World. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- D' Angelo, Frank J. (1980). *Process and Thought in Composition*. Cambridge: Winthrop.
- de Lopez, Cheryl L. Champeau. (July 1989). The Role of the Teacher in Today's Language Classroom. *English Teaching Forum*, 27(3), 2-5:16.
- Dixon, Duncan. (July 1986). Teaching Composition to Large Classes. English Teaching Forum, 24(3), 2-5; 10.
- Dickson, Kenneth J. (August 2001). Freewriting, Prompts and Feedback. *TESL Journal*, 7(8), 39-45. Available at http://iteslj.org/Techniques/Dickson-Freewriting.html.

- Donald, Robert B, Betty R. Morrow, Lilian G. Wargetz & Kathleen Werner. (1989). *Models for Clear Writing*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Duke, Charles R. (1983). Writing Through Sequence: A Process Approach. Glenview: Scott, Foresman.
- Dulay, Heidy C., & Marina K. Burt (Eds.). (1982). New Directions in Second Language Learning, Teaching and Bilingual Education: On TESOL 1975. Washington D.C.: Teachers English to Speakers of Other Language.
- Edge, Julian. (1989). Mistakes and Correction. Singapore: Longman Group.
- Ellis, Rod. (1988). Classroom Second Language Development: A study of classroom interaction and language acquisition. Great Britain: Prentice Hall.
- Feldman, Allan & Brenda Capobianco. (2000). Action Research in Science Education. ERIC/REC Digest [ED463944]. ERIC Clearinghouse for Science Mathematics & Environmental Education Columbus OH. Available at http://www.ericfacility.net/ericdigests/ed463944.html.
- Fox, Raymond, & Irene A. Gutheil. (2000). Process Recording: A Means for Conceptualizing and Evaluating Practice. *Journal of Teaching in Social Work, 20 (1/2).*
- Gurrey, P. (1970). Teaching English as a Second Language. London: Longman Group.
- Gwin, Thomas. (July 1991). Giving Students the Write Idea: A Way to Provide Feedback on Writing. English Teaching Forum, 29(3),2-5.
- Hamp-Lyons, Liz & Ben Heasley. (1989). Study Writing: A Course in Written English for Academic and Professional Purpose. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Hendrickson, James. (1979). Error Correction in Foreign Language Teaching: Recent Theory, Research and Practice. In Occasional Papers No.10. Error Analysis and Error Correction in Language Teaching. Singapore: SEAMEO Regional Language Centre.
- Hendrickson, James M. (1987). Error Correction in Foreign Language Teaching: Recent Theory, Research and Practice. In Michael H. Long and Jack Richards (Eds.) *Methodology in TESOL: A Book of Readings*. New York: Newbury House.
- Hobelman, Paul & Arunee Wiriyachitra. (October 1990). A Balanced Approach to the Teaching of Intermediate-Level Writing Skills to EFL Students. *English Teaching Forum*, 28(4), 37-39.
- Hitchcock, Graham & David Hughes. (1995). Research and the Teacher: A Qualitative Introduction to School-Based Research. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Hughey, Jane B., Deana R. Wormuth, V. Fay Hartfield & Holly L. Jacobs . (1983). *Teaching ESL Composition: Principle and Techniques*. Cambridge: Newbury House.
- Hvitfield, Christine. (October 1988). Guided Peer Critique in ESL Writing at the College Level. English Teaching Forum, 26(4), 37-39.
- Ike, Ndubuisi J. (April 1990). From Brainstorming to Creative Essay: Teaching Composition Writing to Large Classes. *English Teaching Forum*, 28(2), 41-43.
- Irmscher, William F. (1979). Ways of Writing. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Keh, Claudia L. (January 1990). A Design for a Process-Approach Writing Course. *English Teaching Forum*, 28(1), 10-12.
- Klassen, Johana. (January 1991). Using Student Errors for Teaching. English Teaching Forum, 29(1), 10-16.

- Lannon, John. M. (1992). *The Writing Process: A Concise Rhetoric*. New York: Harper Collins.
- Lehr, Fran. (1995), Revision in the Writing Process. ERIC/REC Digest [ED379664]. ERIC Clearinghouse for Science Mathematics & Environmental Education Columbus OH. Available at http://www.ericfacilitv.net/ericdigests/ed379664.html.
- Leki, Ilona. (April 1991). Teaching Second Language Writing: Where We Seem to be. *English Teaching Forum*, 29(2), 8-11.
- Long, Michael H. & Jack C. Richards. (1987). *Methodology in TESOL: A Book of Readings*. New York: Newbury House.
- Lorch, Sue. (1984). Basic Writing: A Practical Approach. New York: Little, Brown.
- Meyers, Alan. (1992). Writing with Confidence. New York: Harper Collins.
- Michaelides, Nicos N. (October 1990). Error Analysis: An Aid to Teaching. English Teaching Forum, 28 (4), 28-30.
- Moore, Robert Hamilton. (1965). *Effective Writing*. New York: Holt, Rinchart and Winston.
- Raimes, Ann. (1983). *Techniques in Teaching Writing*. Hong Kong: Oxford University Press.
- Richards, Jack C. & Theodore S. Rodgers. (1985). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Samekto, Cecilia G. (1994). Popular Proverbs. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Kanisius.
- Singh, Manjeet. (April 1992). A Students' Guide to Process Writing. English Teaching Forum, 30(2), 44-45.

- Stroupe, Robert R. (February 1998). Rethinking Audio Correction Feedback in the Classroom. *ThaiTESOL Bulletin*, Vol. 11, No. 1. Available at http://www.thaitesol.org/bulletin/1101/110106.html.
- Walz, Joey C. (1982). Error Correction Techniques for the Foreign Language Classroom. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Wells, Gordon. (1978). How to Communicate. London: McGraw-Hill.
- West, William Wand & Stephen H. Stremmel. (1979). Exploring, Visualizing and Communicating A Composition Text. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Witbeck, Michael C. (September 1976). Peer Correction Procedures for Intermediate and Advanced ESL Composition Lessons. *TESOL Quarterly*, 10(3), 321-326.
- Xu Yunian & Verna Ness. (October-December 1999). Ongoing Evaluation: The Role of Teachers and Learners. English Teaching FORUM, 37(4), 16. Available at: http://exchanges.state.gove/forum/vols/vol37/no4/16.htm.
- Zamel, Vivian. (March 1985). Responding to Student Writing. TESOL Quarterly, 19(1), 79-101.
- Zamel, Vivian. (1987). Writing: The Process of Discovering Meaning. In Michael H. Long and Jack C. Richards (Eds.). *Methodology in TESOL: A Book of Readings*, 267-278. New York: Newbury House.
- Zhang Feng Xing & Chen Shih Jin. (April 1989). Techniques to Teach Writing. English Teaching Forum, 27(1), 34-36.