## THE ENGLISH DEPARTMENT STUDENTS' PERCEPTION ON THE USE OF PEER FEEDBACK IN ACADEMIC WRITING CLASS

#### **A THESIS**



By:

Tifani Novin Rahmadani

# ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY SURABAYA (July 2019)

## THE ENGLISH DEPARMENT STUDENTS' PERCEPTION ON THE USE OF PEER FEEDBACK IN ACADEMIC WRITING CLASS

#### **A THESIS**

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for *Sarjana Pendidikan* Degree in English Language Teaching



By:

Tifani Novin Rahmadani 1213015064

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY SURABAYA

(July 2019)

#### APPROVAL SHEET

(I)

This thesis entitled The English Department Students' Perception on the Use of Peer Feedback in Academic Writing Class which is prepared and submitted by Tifani Novin Rahmadani 1213015064 has been approved to be examined by the Board of Examiners.

3

Dra. M. N. Siti Mina Tamah, Ph.D

Thesis Advisor

Priska Pramastiwi, M.A.

Examiner 1

Imelda Gozali, B.Eng., M.Pd.

Examiner 2

#### APPROVAL SHEET

(II)

The thesis entitled The English Department Students' Perception on the Use of Peer Feedback in Academic Writing Class which is prepared and submitted by Tifani Novin Rahmadani 1213015064 has been examined and declared PASSED by the Board of Examiners.

Priska Pramastiwi, M.A.

Chairperson



#### STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY

#### SURAT PERNYATAAN

| Bersama ini saya:                             |  |
|---|--|
| Nama : Tipani Novin Rahme                     | rdani.   |
| Nomor Pokok: 12 13015064                      |  |
| Program Studi': Pendidikan bahasa Inggi       | nis .  |
| Jurusan : Pendidikan Bohasa dan               | Seni .   |
|   | Unika Widya Mandala Surabaya   |
|   |  |
| Menyatakan dengan sesungguhnya bahwa skrip    | si saya yang berjudul:   |
| The English Department Students               |  |
| _ of Peer Feedback in Academ                  | nic Writing Class  |
|   | J  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| benar-benar merupakan hasil karya saya sendir | i. Apabila skripsi ini ternyata merupakan hasil  |
| plagiarisme, maka saya bersedia menerima s    | anksi berupa pembatalan kelulusan dan/atau   |
| pencabutan gelar yang telah saya peroleh.     |  |
|   |  |
| Demikianlah surat pernyataan ini saya bua     | t dengan sesungguhnya dan dengan penuh   |
| kesadaran.                                    |  |
|   |  |
|   | - 1  |
| IX.   | Surabaya, 3 Juni 2019  |
|   | Yang membuat pernyataan,   |
|   | EL SAN COLOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO |
|   | TEMPEL TEMPEL  |
| a E   | 01BFDAFF726765724  |
|   | of the an  |
|   | 6000 W   |
|   | ENAM RIBU RUPIAH   |
|   | Tizani Novis Rahmadani   |
|   | Titaly Horas reprineerably   |
|   | ·  |
|   |  |
| Mengetahui:                                   | n 1' line II   |
| Dosen Pembimbing I/Tunggal,                   | Dosen Pembimbing II,   |
|   | /  |
| ( <del>1</del> )                              | $\checkmark$   |
|   |  |
|   | 2  |
| M NI CILI Min Tamah Ph.D                      | and the same of th |

#### APPROVAL OF THESIS PUBLICATION

## SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI KARYA ILMIAH

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First of all, the researcher would like to express her greatest gratitude to Allah SWT who has blessed her through the whole process in finishing this thesis. The researcher also would like to express her sincerest and biggest gratitude to those who have given their best time and guidance, especially to these following names:

- 1. Dra. M. N. Siti Mina Tamah, Ph. D., the researcher's advisor who has given important ideas and advices and guided her to finish this thesis.
- 2. Priska Pramastiwi, M.A. And Imelda Gozali, B.Eng., M.Pd, the researcher's examiners who have given useful inputs in this study.
- 3. The researcher's beloved father, mother, and the only brother who have given their love, prayers and support so that the writer could finish this study on time. Without their encouragement this study would never been finished.
- All the lecturers in English Education Study Program, Widya Mandala
   Catholic University Surabaya who have given their help and support for
   the researcher.
- 5. The researcher's closest friends (*Sumuk Crew*), Larasati Wardana, Nina Alifia, Renanda Ekky, Yosepha Yoantyta and Nathania Verina, who have always been there for the writer since the first day of university started.

6. The researcher's friends in Wima Kids, especially Yustina, Katarina

Cintya, Sesilia Ariani, Ignatia Widi who have given their support and

motivation to the researcher in finishing this thesis on time.

7. All of the researcher's friends on batch 2015 who gave information,

help and support to the researcher in finishing this thesis on time.

8. All of the respondents of this study, English Department students' from

academic year of 2018/2019 who have given their time in their busy

activity to fill in the questionnaire and to be inteviewed by the

researcher.

9. The researcher's gratitude also goes to people who she cannot mention

one by one who have also contributed to this study.

Surabaya, 2 June 2019

The Researcher

vi

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| APPROVAL SHEET (I)                       | i    |
|--|------|
| APPROVAL SHEET (II)                      | ii   |
| STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY                | iii  |
| APPROVAL OF THESIS PUBLICATION           | iv   |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS                          | v    |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS                        | vii  |
| LIST OF TABLES                           | X    |
| LIST OF FIGURES                          | xi   |
| LIST OF APPENDICES                       | xii  |
| ABSTRACT                                 | xiii |
|  |      |
| CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION                  | 1    |
| 1.1 Background of the Study              | 1    |
| 1.2 Statement of the Problem             | 5    |
| 1.3 Objective of the Study               | 6    |
| 1.4 Significance of the Study            | 6    |
| 1.5 Theoretical Framework                | 6    |
| 1.6 Assumption                           | 6    |
| 1.6 Scope and Limitation                 | 7    |
| 1.8 Definition of Key Term               | 7    |
| 1.9 Organizational of the Proposal       | 8    |
|  |      |
| CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE | 9    |
| 2.1 Perception                           | 9    |
| 2.2 Writing                              | 10   |
| 2.4 Academic Writing                     | 10   |
| 2.5 Criteria of a Good Writing           | 11   |

| 2.6 Writing Process   | 12 |
|---|----|
| 2.7 Feedback  | 14 |
| 2.8 Types of Feedback                                       | 15 |
| 2.9 Source of Feedback                                      | 16 |
| 2.10 Peer Feedback  | 18 |
| 2.11 Advantages of Peer Feedback                            | 19 |
| 2.12 Peer Feedback in Writing                               | 20 |
| 2.13 Peer Feedback in Writing C                             | 21 |
| 2.14 Previous Study   | 22 |
|   |    |
| CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD                                | 24 |
| 3.1 Research Design   | 24 |
| 3.2 Respondents   | 25 |
| 3.3 Research Data   | 26 |
| 3.4 Research Instruments                                    | 26 |
| 3.4.1 Questionnaire   | 26 |
| 3.4.2 Interview   | 27 |
| 3.5 Procedures of Data Collection                           | 28 |
| 3.6 Data Analysis Technique                                 | 28 |
|   |    |
| CHAPTER IV: FINDING AND DISCUSSION                          | 30 |
| 4.1 Findings  | 30 |
| 4.1.1 Findings of the Questionnaire (Close-Ended Questions) | 31 |
| 4.1.2 Findings of the Questionnaire (Open-Ended Questions)  | 38 |
| 4.1.3 Findings of the Interview                             | 41 |
| 4.2 Discussion of the Findings                              | 45 |

| CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS | 49 |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| 5.1 Conclusion                        | 49 |
| 5.2 Suggestions                       | 50 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY                          | 52 |
| APPENDICES                            | 56 |

#### LIST OF TABLES

| Table 4.1 Students' Perception on the Scoring Rubric                      | . 31 |
|---|------|
| Table 4.2 Students' Perception on Giving Constructive Feedback            | . 32 |
| Table 4.3 Developing Students' Awareness                                  | . 34 |
| Table 4.4 Facilitating Students' Collaboration                            | . 35 |
| Table 4.5 Developing Students' Critical Thinking                          | . 36 |
| Table 4.6 Summary of Students' Perception on the Scoring Rubric           | . 42 |
| Table 4.7 Summary of Students' Perception on Giving Constructive Feedback | . 43 |
| Table 4.8 Summary of Developing Students' Awareness                       | . 44 |
| Table 4.9 Summary of Facilitating Students' Collaboration                 | . 45 |
| Table 4.10 Summary of Developing Students' Critical Thinking              | . 46 |

#### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2.The Process of Writing        | . 12 |
|--|------|
| Figure 3. Structure of Research Design | . 25 |

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix 1: Questionnaire        | . 54 |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Appendix 2: Interview Transcript | . 56 |

#### **ABSTRACT**

Rahmadani, T., N. 2019. The English Department Students' Perception on the Use of Peer feedback in Academic Writing Class, Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya.

Advisor: Dra. M. N. Siti Mina Tamah, Ph. D.

Keywords: Perception, Feedback, Peer Feedback, Academic Writing.

Writing is one of four skills that students have to master. Being able to write is important for English learners as well as for everyone using his/her first language. It means that writing is very important and it is also the basic skill to communicate with others. Writing can be said as the most difficult skill to be mastered. It can be difficult when the students do not have any idea what they will write. The students sometimes make mistakes because of their lack the knowledge of language components such as vocabulary and grammar. Since writing is considered as the most complex skills that should be mastered by the English learners, feedback is needed for the students to reduce their mistakes in writing. The most common feedback usually comes from teachers. However, the teachers sometimes have limited time to cover lots of material with the big number of students in the class, they use peer feedback as an alternative technique in the writing class.

The aim of this study is to find out the English Department students' perception on the use of peer feedback in the academic Writing class. This study is qualified into descriptive qualitative research. The data were collected using questionnaire and interview. There are 14 items of open-ended questions and three items of close-ended questions. The respondents of this study were 12 students in one class who took Writing C course in the academic year of 2018/2019.

The result of this study showed that 75% of the respondents agreed that they used the scoring rubric during the process of peer feedback. The majority of the respondents 92% agreed that peer feedback helped them to learn how to give constructive feedback. All of the respondents gave positive responses about peer feedback developing their awareness. 96% of the respondents gave positive responses that peer feedback could facilitate them to do collaboration. All of the respondents gave positive responses about peer feedback helping them learn more about revision by reading their peer's work and think critically.