CHAPTER V

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1 Conclusions

Based on the result of the finding and discussion, a researcher draws some conclusions below:

- 1. Whole Brain Teaching (WBT) method can be used to improve students' speaking skill. It was proved by the data from students' speaking mean score in pre-test that is 46.84, in cycle 3 improves to 58.19. The improvement also occurs in each aspect of speaking skill; pronunciation with total gain of 9.03, grammar with total gain of 10.00, vocabulary with total gain of 10.32, fluency with total gain of 13.55, comprehension with total gain of 9.48. By implementing this method, the students have more chances to speak in a class without queuing too long, therefore their speaking skill improved during the learning process.
- 2. The teacher's performance also improved after implementing this method. He can motivate students to engage more in a class by using scoreboard. He also found a strategy to make the students more comfortable and fun by providing some games and pictures while learning as a recommendation from conducting Focus Group Discussion (FGD) with some selected students.
- 3. The classroom atmosphere also undergoes quite drastic change, from passive environment to more active environment. The class is easily managed after implementing one of the techniques of WBT called classroom rules, the students tend to obey the rules with full awareness because they are doing the rules with joy and fun. Class yes technique also contributed a big role to gather the students' attention, it make them more focus on the lesson given by teacher.

2. Suggestions

- 5.2.1 Suggestions for Teachers and Principals
 - 1. Principal of Vocational High School especially SMK Negeri 2 Surabaya should consider implementing Whole Brain Teaching method in teaching and learning

- 2. process for an English lesson or other lessons especially those which require memorization such as history, civic education, religious education and so on, for example by conducting regular training or workshop to develop teacher competence and enrich various teaching methods. So the teacher can apply various methods especially WBT method in the classroom.
- 3. The teacher should find a creative way in developing the implementation of this method especially those related to maximizing both part of the brain such as giving some games, music, video or some pictures in learning process.
- 4. The teacher should select an interesting topic in a post-test, the topic should be familiar for them, so they are easily construct the word in verbal utterances because they were still K12 student. In order to improve validity of the test, the teacher might provide several topics in a test, not just one topic for all students such as giving one topic for every 10 students.
- 5. It has been discussed above that there was not too valuable effect of WBT in two aspects of speaking skills. The score of grammar and pronunciation are low; the teacher should provide additional time to improve those aspects after speaking activity.

5.2.2 Suggestions for Further Research

- A similar study using the experimental design could be carried out for a longer period of time to assess the effectiveness of Whole Brain Teaching (WBT) method in teaching.
- 2. Whole Brain Teaching method might be carried out to improve students speaking skill at primary school level in order to compare the result.
- 3. Whole Brain Teaching (WBT) also could be carried out to improve other productive skill of English proficiency such as writing, or receptive skill such as reading or listening skill. Due to research on this subject particularly in Indonesian context is still a rarity despite its great potential

References

- Andrini, B. & Kagan, S. (1990). *Cooperative Learning: A Multi-Structural Approach*. San Juan Capistrano, CA: Resources for Teachers, Inc
- Anthony, Edward M. 1963. "Approach, Method and Technique." English Language Teaching 17: 63-57
- Arikunto, S. (2010). Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktik. Jakarta: RinekaCIpta.
- Biffle, C. (2010). Whole Brain Teaching for Challenging Kids. [Yucaipa, CA]: [Whole Brain Teaching LLC].
- Brown, D. (1994). Teaching by Principle: an interactive approach to language pedagogy. San Francisco.
- Brown, D. (2000). Principle of Language Learning and Teaching (4th ed.). NY: Longman.
- Burns, A. (2009). Action Research. In J. Heigham, & R. A. Croker, *Qualitative Research in Applied Linguistics: A Practical Introduction* (pp. 112-134). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Carmen Helena, D. M. (2012). *Implementation of The Whole Brain Teaching Method in a Third Grade from a Public School in Pereira*. Pereira.
- Chaney, A. (1998). Teaching Oral Communication Grades K-8. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Cohen, M. &. (2000). Research methods in education. Routledge.
- Cross, P. (1987). The adventures of education in wonderland: implementing educational reform. Phi Delta Kappan.
- D. Nunan. (2003). Practical English Language Teaching. New York: the Mcgraw-hill companies. Inc.
- Denzin, N. (1978). The research act: A theoretical Orientation to Sociological Methods. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Fillmore, C. (1979). On Fluency. In C.K. Fillmore, *Individual Differences in Language Ability and Language Behavior*. New York: Academic Press.
- Harris, D. P. (1969). Testing English as A Second Language. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hart, L. (1983). Human Brain and Human Learning.
- Hedge, T. (2000). *Teaching and Learning in the Language Classroom*. Oxford University Press.
- Hien, T. T. (2009). Why Is Action Research Suitable For Education? VNU Journal of Science, Foreign Languages, 105.

- Hornby. (1974). Advanced Learner Dictionary of Current English. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hostetter, A. (2011). When do gestures communicate? A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin.
- Howden, J. (1995). Classroom Connections: Understanding and Using Cooperative learning.

 Toronto: Harcourt Brace.
- Jack C Richard 1990, Conversationally Speaking: Approaches to The Teaching of Conversation. New York, Cambridge University Press
- Jensen, E.P. (2008) *Brain-Based Learning: The New Paradigm of Teaching*, 2nd Edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Jick, T. (1979). Mixing Qualitative and Quantitative. 24, 602.
- Joklová, K. (2009). Using Pictures in Teaching Vocabulary. Brno.
- Koponen, M., & Riggenbach, H. (2000). Overview: Varying Perspectives on Fluency. In H.Riggenbach (Ed.), Perspectives on fluency (pp. 5-24). Michigan: The University of Michigan Press.
- Krashen, S.D., Seliger, H., & Harnett, D. (1974). Two Studies in Adult Second Language Learning. Kritikon Litterarum
- Krueger, R. A. (1988). Focus Groups: A Practical Guide for Applied Research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage publication Inc
- LdPride, n. (2009). What are learning styles? Retrieved Oktober 12, 2014, from http://www.ldpride.net/learningstyles.MI.htm.
- Lennon, P. (2000). The Lexical Element In Spoken Second Language Fluency. In H. Riggenbach(Ed.), Perspectives on fluency (pp. 25-42). MI: The University of Michigan Press.
- Leo, S. (2006). English for Leisure Time Speaking. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Lofland, J. and L. H. Lofland (1995). *Analyzing Social Settings: A Guide to Qualitative Observation and Analysis*. Belmont, CA, Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- McMillan, J. H. (2008). *Educational Research: Fundamental for the Consumer*. Boston: Pearson.
- Middendorf, A. K. (1996). *The Change Up To Lectures*. National Teaching and Learning Forum, 1.
- Miles, M. B. & Huberman, A. M. (1984) *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Source Book of New Methods*, California, SAGE Publication Inc.

- Miller, J. W. (2000). Determining Validity in Qualitative Inquiry. Theory into Practice, 39.
- Nunan, D. (2003). Practical English Language Teaching. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- P. Johnson, A. (2008). A Short Guide to Action Research. Canada: Pearson Education.
- Palasigue, j. (2009). Integrating WBT (Graduate). Marygrove college.
- Rivers, W. M. (1968). *Contrastive Linguistics in Textbook and Classroom*. Monograph Series on Languages and Linguistics
- Scott, T. (2005). How to Teach Speaking. England: Pearson Longman.
- Scrivener, J. (2005). Learning Teaching: A Guide Book for English Language Teachers.

 Oxford: Mac Millan Publisher.
- Setiyadi, A. B. (2013). *Penelitian Tindakan untuk Guru dan Mahasiswa*. Yogyakarta: Graha
- SMK, D. P. (2016). Materi Pelatihan dan Pendampingan Kurikulum 2013 SMK. Jakarta.
- Kemmis, S and McTaggart, R. (1988). *The Action Research Planner*. Victoria, Australia: Deakin University Press.
- Stevick, E. W. (1982). *Teaching and Learning Language*. New York: Cambridge University Press
- Stone, A. (1998) *The Metaphor Of Scaffolding: Its Utility for The Field of Learning Disabilities*. Journal of Learning Disabilities, Vol 3, No 4
- Torrance, E. (1980). *Your Style of Learning and Thinking: Interpretation Report*. Department of Educational Psychology, Athens, GA: University of Georgia Press.
- Penny, Ur. (1996). A Course in Language Teaching Practice in Theory. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Watson, J.B. (1913) "Psychology as The Behaviorist Views It" Psychological review 20
- Wright, A. (1984). 1000+ Pictures for Teachers to Copy. United Kingdom: Longman.