# Students' Perception of Quipper as an Online Practice Tool for the English Computer-based National Examination

# A THESIS



By: Satria A. Kirana 8212712030

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT GRADUATE SCHOOL WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY SURABAYA 2017

# Students' Perception of Quipper as an Online Practice Tool for the English Computer-based National Examination

## A THESIS

Presented to Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master in Teaching English as a Foreign Language



By: Satria A. Kirana 8212712030

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT GRADUATE SCHOOL WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY SURABAYA 2017

### STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY

I declare that this thesis is my own writing, and it is true and correct that I did not take scholarly ideas or work from others dishonestly. I declare that all the cited works were quoted in accordance with the ethical code of academic writing.

I also declare that I agree to submit my thesis entitled *Students' Perception of Quipper as an Online Practice Tool for the English Computer-based National Examination* to Widya Mandala Catholic University Library and fully understand that it will be made public via the internet and other means of online media.



#### **APPROVAL SHEET**

This thesis entitled *Students' Perception of Quipper as an* Online Practice Tool for the English Computer-based National Examination prepared and submitted by Satria Andy Kirana (8212712030) has been approved to be examined by the Thesis Board of Examiners of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya on October 10, 2017.

Etpmus

Y.G. Harto Pramono, Ph.D Thesis Advisor

#### **THESIS BOARD OF EXAMINERS' APPROVAL**

This thesis entitled Students' Perception of Quipper as an Online Practice Tool for the English Computer-based National Examination prepared and submitted by Satria Andy Kirana (8212712030) has been approved and examined by the Thesis Board of Examiners.

Prof. Dr. Anita Lie, M.A., Ed.D Chair

mus

Y.G. Harto Pramono, Ph.D Secretary Dr. V. Luluk Prijambodo, M.Pd Member

WIDYA MA UNIVERSITA, Prof. Dr. J.S. Ami Soewandi Director of Graduate School SPROLAH PASCASA

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This thesis would not have been possible without the blessing of Jesus, Lord Almighty and the support of VITA School. I am especially indebted to the big family of VITA Junior High School and Dharma Vita Foundation, who have been supportive of my career goals and who have worked actively to provide me with the opportunity to pursue those goals.

I am grateful to all of those with whom I have had the pleasure to work during the length of crafting this thesis. Each of the members of the Board of Examiners has provided me extensive personal and professional guidance and taught me a great deal about scientific research. I would especially like to thank Y.G. Harto Pramono, Ph.D, my thesis advisor for his never ending patience and guidance. As both a teacher and a mentor, he has taught me more than I could ever give him credit for.

Nobody has been more important to me in the pursuit of this project than the members of my family. I would like to thank my parents, whose love and guidance are with me in whatever I pursue and I thank God for giving me such wonderful role models. May both of you continue to be blessings for others.

#### ABSTRACT

The newly implemented Computer-based National Examination which initially aimed to cut down on costs to print and distribute the test had several drawbacks since many schools in Indonesia still have not made investments in computers, yet there were benefits for schools that already own computers for it can be a way to take advantage of those facilities since it was also helpful to enhance students' computer literacy skills which is already considered as a basic need. There were several concerns and doubts, especially due to the fact that this is the first time VITA Junior High has ever participated in such form of exams, and the fact that there has not been any practice tool made by institutions –especially the Ministry of Education- to help students practice for the exam. An idea then came up to use Quipper, an E-learning platform to help 9<sup>th</sup> grade students prepare for the upcoming Computer-based National Examination.

This study aimed to investigate: (1) the student's perception of Quipper as an online practice tool for the Computer-based National Examination, (2) aspects in Quipper that needs further development, and (3) how those aspects can be further developed. Employing a case study design, the participants involved were 22 ninth graders from VITA Junior High School. During the length of using Quipper to practice, a questionnaire containing close-ended and open-ended items was distributed and the data gathered then served in the form of pie charts. To triangulate, this study conducted a focused group discussion to strengthen the students' statement given in the questionnaire.

Results of the study revealed that the students have positive perception towards Quipper and they came up with several critiques regarding Quipper's mobility, audio-visual, and content aspect, along with several suggestions regarding the abovementioned aspects for Quipper's future development.

Keywords: Perception, Quipper, Computer-based National Examination, Online Practice Tool

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY             | i   |
|---------------------------------------|-----|
| APPROVAL SHEET – THESIS ADVISOR       | ii  |
| APPROVAL SHEET – BOARD OF EXAMINERS   | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT                       | iv  |
| ABSTRACT                              | V   |
| TABLE OF CONTENT                      | vi  |
| LIST OF TABLES                        | ix  |
| LIST OF FIGURES                       | xi  |
| CHAPTER I                             | 1   |
| INTRODUCTION                          | 1   |
| Background of the Study               | 1   |
| The Statement of the Problems         | 9   |
| The Objectives of the Study           | 11  |
| The Significance of the Study         | 12  |
| The Scope and Limitation of the Study | 13  |
| The Definition of Key Terms           | 14  |
| CHAPTER II                            | 16  |
| LITERATURE REVIEW                     | 16  |
| E-Learning                            | 16  |
| Definition of E-Learning              | 18  |
| Forms of E-Learning                   | 20  |
| Online E-Learning                     | 20  |
| Offline E-Learning                    | 21  |

| Advantages and Disadvantages of E-Learning | 22 |
|--|----|
| Advantages                                 | 22 |
| Disadvantages                              | 23 |
| Standardized Testing in Indonesia          | 25 |
| Quipper in Indonesia                       |    |
| Quipper School Learn                       |    |
| Quipper School Link                        | 32 |
| Technology Acceptance Model                |    |
| Summary of Literature Review               | 40 |
| CHAPTER III                                | 43 |
| RESEARCH METHODOLOGY                       | 43 |
| Research Design                            | 43 |
| Setting                                    | 44 |
| Participants                               | 45 |
| Instrument                                 | 46 |
| Data Collection                            | 47 |
| The Source of Data and Data                | 48 |
| Data Collection Procedure                  | 52 |
| Data Analysis Technique                    | 53 |
| Triangulation                              | 54 |
| CHAPTER IV                                 |    |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS                    |    |
| Results of the Study                       |    |

| Research Question 1 - The students' perceptions of    |     |
|---|-----|
| Quipper   | 59  |
| Research Question 2 - Aspects that need to be further |     |
| developed and how to do it                            | 84  |
| Research Question 3 - Suggestions for further         |     |
| development   | 101 |
| Discussion  | 104 |
| CHAPTER V   | 108 |
| CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS                            | 108 |
| Conclusion  | 108 |
| Suggestions   | 110 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY  | 113 |
| APPENDICES  | 116 |
|   |     |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1.1. Research Questions - Source of Data - Data - | Unit |
|---|------|
| of Analysis   | 49   |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2.1. Quipper Learn                             | 32       |
|---|----------|
| Figure 2.2. Quipper Link                              |          |
| Figure 2.3. Locally-developed course selection availa | able for |
| teachers using Quipper                                | 37       |
| Figure 3.1. Research Design                           | 47       |
| Figure 4.1. Survey Item 1.1                           | 61       |
| Figure 4.2. Survey Item 1.2                           | 62       |
| Figure 4.3. Survey Item 1.3                           | 63       |
| Figure 4.4. Survey Item 1.4                           | 64       |
| Figure 4.5. Survey Item 1.5                           | 65       |
| Figure 4.6. Survey Item 1.6                           | 66       |
| Figure 4.7. Survey Item 1.7                           | 67       |
| Figure 4.8. Survey Item 1.8                           | 68       |
| Figure 4.9. Survey Item 2.1                           | 69       |
| Figure 4.10. Survey Item 2.2                          | 70       |
| Figure 4.11. Survey Item 2.3                          | 71       |
| Figure 4.12. Survey Item 2.4                          | 72       |
| Figure 4.13. Survey Item 2.5                          | 73       |
| Figure 4.14. Survey Item 2.6                          | 74       |
| Figure 4.15. Survey Item 2.7                          | 75       |
| Figure 4.16. Survey Item 2.8                          | 76       |
| Figure 4.17. Survey Item 2.9                          | 77       |
| Figure 4.18. Survey Item 2.10                         | 78       |

| Figure 4.19. Survey Item 2.11                           | 79       |
|---|----------|
| Figure 4.20. Survey Item 2.12                           | 80       |
| Figure 4.21. Survey Item 2.13                           | 81       |
| Figure 4.22. Survey Result – Perceived Usefulness       | 82       |
| Figure 4.23. Survey Result – Perceived Ease of Use      | 83       |
| Figure 4.24. Students' Critiques – Mobility & Compatibu | ility    |
|   | 100      |
| Figure 4.25. Students' Critiques – Content              | 100      |
| Figure 4.26. Students' Critiques - Audio Visual         | 101      |
| Figure 4.27. Students' Suggestions – Mobility & Compa   | tibility |
|   | 103      |
| Figure 4.28. Students' Suggestions – Content            | 103      |
| Figure 4.29. Students' Suggestions - Audio Visual       | 104      |