The Reading Comprehension Exercises' Levels in "Issues for Today" Textbook

THESIS

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching



By:

Paulin Keren Gloria

1213013018

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY

SURABAYA

2017

APPROVAL SHEET

(1)

This thesis entitled The Reading Comprehension Exercises' Levels in "Issues for Today" Textbook, conducted and submitted by Paulin Keren Gloria has been approved and accepted as a partial fulfillment of the requirement for *Sarjana Pendidikan* Degree in English language Teaching by the following advisor.

Dr. Ignatius Harjanto

Thesis Advisor

APPROVAL SHEET

(2)

This thesis has been written and submitted by Paulin Keren Gloria (1213013018) for acquiring *Sarjana Pendidikan* Degree in English language Teaching by the following Board of Examiners on oral exam with the grade of _____ on May 2nd, 2017.

Dr. B. Budiyono, M.Pd Chairperson

Mateus Yumarnamto, Ph.D.

Member

Dr. Ignatius Harjanto

Member

Dr. V. Luluk Prijambodo, M.Pd.

Dean Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Hady Sutris Winarlim, M.Sc.

Head of the English Education Study Program

SURAT PERNYATAAN

Bersama ini saya:	
Nama : PAULIH KEREH GLORIA	
Nomor Pokok : 12/30/30/8	-10
Program Studi': Pendidikan BAHASA INGGI	
Jurusan : Pendidikan BAHASA DAN S	i wit Mall Cal
Fakultas : Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan U	nika Widya Mandala Surabaya
Menyatakan dengan sesungguhnya bahwa skripsi	saya yang berjudul:
The Reading Comprehension in "Issues for Today" Text	Exercises' Levels
in "Issues for Today" Text	tbook.
benar-benar merupakan hasil karya saya sendiri. A plagiarisme, maka saya bersedia menerima san pencabutan gelar yang telah saya peroleh.	ksi berupa pembatalan kelulusan dan/atau
Demikianlah surat pernyataan ini saya buat ekesadaran.	dengan sesungguhnya dan dengan penuh
	C
	Surabaya, 5 APRIL 2017
	Yang membuat pernyataan,
	METERAL TAZTOADET95651672 GOOD ENAM RIBURUPIAH
	PAULIN KEREN GLORIA
	MULIA REPORT GLOMA
Mengetahui:	
Dosen Pembimbing I/Tunggal,	Dosen Pembimbing II,
- com I dimoning I I unggui,	2 00011 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Alignol War	
Dr. IGNATIUS HARJANTO	

SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI KARYA ILMIAH

Demi Perkembangan Ilmu Pengetahuan, saya sebagai mahasiswa Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya. PAULIN KEREN GLORIA Nama Mahasiswa 1213013018 Nomor Pokok BAHASA Program Studi Pendidikan INGGRIS Jurusan Fakultas. JUMU Tanggal Lulus Dengan ini SETUJU/EIDAK-SETUJU^{*} Skripsi atau Karya Imiah saya, Judul: THE READING COMPREHENSION ISSUEC LEVELS TODAY Untuk dipublikasikan/ditampilkan di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas sesuai undang-undang Hak Cipta yang berlaku. Demikian surat pernyataan **SETUJU/TIDAK SETUJU/** publikasi Karya Ilmiah ini saya buat dengan sebenarnya Surabaya, 7 JUNI 2017 Yang menyatakan. MPEL E50ADF73034780 In 1213013018

ABSTRACT

Gloria, Paulin Keren. 2017. *The Reading Comprehension Exercises' Levels in "Issues for Today" Textbook*. English Faculty of Teacher Training and Education. Widya Mandala Catholic University, Surabaya. Advisor: Dr. Ignatius Harjanto.

Keywords: thinking skills, Barrett's taxonomy, reading comprehension, reading exercises.

Due to the importance of reading skill, one English Department in one private university in Surabaya provides Reading courses for their students. The writer focused on the basic course of the Reading courses series, Reading I. In this class, "Issues for Today" textbook is used as the teaching materials. The writer analyzed the reading exercises given in the textbook which is intended to help students in achieving the learning objectives of the course.

This study is a textbook analysis. The textbook contains 12 main reading passages followed by 575 reading exercises. In this study, the writer analyzed the levels of the reading exercises according to Barrett's taxonomy and the levels of thinking. To do this, the writer accompanied by an inter-rater developed a checklist based on Barrett's taxonomy as the parameter to classify the levels of the reading exercises. Besides, the writer analyzed whether the reading exercises meet the objectives of Reading I course by matching the levels of the reading exercises and the levels of the learning objectives.

Of the exercises, 564 reading exercises can be classified according to Barrett's taxonomy (98%) and 11 reading exercises (2%) cannot be classified according to Barrett's taxonomy. From all the classified reading exercises, 47% exercises are inferential exercises. The second position are the reorganization exercises (26%). In the third position, there are literal exercises with the percentage of 19%. The other two levels of Barrett's taxonomy are found in the reading exercises, but in a small percentage. The evaluation level is found in 5% of the reading exercises. The least percentage is appreciation level (1%). Besides, when categorized in the levels of thinking, from the total 575 reading exercises, 45.4% belong to LOTS and 54.6% belong to HOTS. The findings also show that the exercises in the textbook meet the learning objectives of Reading I course.

In conclusion, the reading exercises found in this textbook covers all levels of comprehension based on Barrett's taxonomy and are in accordance with the demand of the course syllabus. However, there should be addition of exercises which measure the levels which are rarely found in the textbook.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, the writer would like to thank Lord Jesus for His abundant blessing and guidance to the writer during the process of making this thesis from the beginning until the end. The writer would also like to express her sincere gratitude to all people who have been so kind, generous, and friendly to kindly spare their time in helping and supporting the writer in writing this thesis.

Firstly, the writer would like to thank Dr. Ignatius Harjanto, as the writer's advisor who has been very kind and patient in guiding her in the process of making this thesis. He has willingly spent her valuable time to encourage the writer in accomplishing this thesis in time patiently. Besides, the writer would like to Dr. B. Budiyono, M.Pd. and Mateus Yumarnamto, Ph.D., as the writer's examiners who have supported her to carry out this thesis and have given her suggestions to improve this thesis. Next, the writer's gratitude also goes to Prof. Dr. Veronica L. Diptoadi, M.Sc. and Dr. V. Luluk Prijambodo, M.Pd., as the writer's lecturers who have guided and supported the writer to carry out this thesis by giving suggestions and resources.

Further, the writer is deeply thankful to the writer's beloved family and family in Christ who have always supported and prayed for the writer during the process of making the thesis. Then, the writer delivers her warm thanks to Yosefina Fernandes, the writer's best friend who has shared her valuable time and thinking for months doing the triangulation for this thesis. The writer is also thankful to writer's best friends

namely Christina Alfani, Ivena Maychita Angelina, Chinthia Frilly and Mulia Darmaningsih who have been struggling together in making thesis and has supported and cheered each other.

Finally, the writer also would like to thank everyone that has helped, motivated and prayed for her to finish this thesis whose names cannot be mentioned here one by one. Without all of their support and prayer, this thesis would have never been done in time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL SHEET (1)	i
APPROVAL SHEET (2)	ii
SURAT PERNYATAAN PLAGIARISME	jiii
SURAT PERNYATAAN PUBLIKASI	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Questions	7
1.3 Objectives	8
1.4 Theoretical Framework	8
1.5 Significance of the Study	9
1.6 Assumption	9

1.7 Limitation and Scope	9
1.8 Definition of Key Terms	10
1.9 Organization of the Study	11
CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	12
2.1 Reading Comprehension	12
2.2 Reading Comprehension Taxonomy and Levels of Thinking	16
2.3 Reading Passages and Reading Comprehension Exercises	23
2.4 Reading I Course	27
2.5 Previous Studies	28
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	31
3.1 Research Design	31
3.2 Data Source	32
3.3 Instruments	32
3.4 The Procedure of Data Collection	33
3.5 The Technique of Data Analysis	33
3.6 Triangulation	_38

CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS	39
4.1 Findings	39
4.1.1 Reading Comprehension Exercises' Levels	39
4.1.2 The Objectives of Reading I Course and the Levels	
of the Reading Exercises	72
4.2 Discussions	75
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	79
5.1 Conclusion of the Study	79
5.2 Recommendations	80
BIBLIOGRAPHY	82
APPENDICES	86
Checklist based on Barrett's Taxonomy	86
2. Barrett's Taxonomy Levels, Categories, Description, and Sample	
Exercises	87
3. Sample of Reading Passage and Exercises: Chapter 1	91
4. Sample of Reading Passage and Exercises: Chapter 6	99
5. Sample of Reading Passage and Exercises: Chapter 12	107

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.2 A Comparison of Barrett Taxonomy and a Two-Level Thinking Skills Model: LOTS and HOTS	Table 2.1 Levels in the Barrett's Taxonomy	18
Table 3.1 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking ir Reading Exercises in Each Chapter	-	•
Table 3.2 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension in Reading Exercises in "Issues for Today" textbook	Table 3.1 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and	Thinking in
Exercises in "Issues for Today" textbook	Reading Exercises in Each Chapter	35
Reading Exercises in "Issues for Today" textbook 37 Table 4.1 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking ir Reading Exercises in Chapter 1 41 Table 4.2 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking ir Reading Exercises in Chapter 2 43 Table 4.3 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking ir Reading Exercises in Chapter 3 46 Table 4.4 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking ir Reading Exercises in Chapter 4 49 Table 4.5 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking ir Reading Exercises in Chapter 4 49 Table 4.5 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking ir Reading Exercises in Chapter 4 49	-	_
Table 4.1 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking in Reading Exercises in Chapter 1	-	•
Table 4.2 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking in Reading Exercises in Chapter 2		
Reading Exercises in Chapter 2	Reading Exercises in Chapter 1	41
Table 4.3 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking in Reading Exercises in Chapter 3	Table 4.2 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and	Thinking in
Reading Exercises in Chapter 3	Reading Exercises in Chapter 2	43
Table 4.4 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking in Reading Exercises in Chapter 449 Table 4.5 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking in		_
Reading Exercises in Chapter 449 Table 4.5 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking in		
Table 4.5 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Thinking in		
	Table 4.5 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Reading Exercises in Chapter 5	Thinking in 52

Table 4.6 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and Tl	hinking in
Reading Exercises in Chapter 6	54
Table 4.7 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and The	hinking in
Reading Exercises in Chapter 7	56
Table 4.8 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and The	hinking in
Reading Exercises in Chapter 8	59
Table 4.9 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and The	hinking in
Reading Exercises in Chapter 9	61
Table 4.10 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and T	hinking in
Reading Exercises in Chapter 10	63
Table 4.11 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and T	hinking in
Reading Exercises in Chapter 11	66
Table 4.12 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and T	hinking in
Reading Exercises in Chapter 12	68
Table 4.13 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension in	n Reading
Exercises in "Issues for Today" textbook	70
Table 4.14 The Distribution Percentage of Levels of Comprehension and T	hinking in
Reading Exercises in "Issues for Today" textbook	71

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Communication Process	13
Figure 2.2 Barrett's Taxonomy of Comprehension Skills	22
Figure 3.1 The Research Design of the Study	31
Figure 4.1 The Correspondence of Reading I learning objectives and Levels of Barr	ett's
Taxonomy	73
Figure 4.2 The Correspondence of Reading I learning objectives and Levels of	the
Reading Exercises in "Issues for Today" Textbook	74