#### A THESIS

# TYPES OF READING COMPREHENSION QUESTIONS IN "CONTEXTUAL ENGLISH 2013" FOR GRADE ELEVEN ACCORDING TO BARRETT TAXONOMY

As Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching Faculty



Felicia Limanu 1213012023

# ENGLISH DEPARTMENT FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY SURABAYA 2017

#### APPROVAL SHEET

(1)

This thesis entitled "Types of Reading Comprehension Questions in' Contextual English 2013' for Grade Eleven According to Barrette Taxonomy", prepared and submitted by Felicia Limanu has been approved and accepted as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching by the following advisor:

Advisor,

Dr Ruruh Mindari, M.Pd.

#### APPROVAL SHEET

(2)

This thesis has been written and submitted by Felicia Limanu (1213012023) for acquiring Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching by the following Board of Examiners on oral exam with the grade of \_\_\_\_\_ on March 31st , 2017.

Chairperson

jambodo, M.Pd.

Dr. Ruruh Mindari, M.Pd.

P. Hady Sutris Winarlim, M.Sc.

Study Program

#### SURAT PERNYATAAN

Bersama ini sa Nama	ya: FELICIA LIMANU	19.10	
Nomor Pokok	1213012023		
Program Studi	: Pendidikan BAHASA INGOR		
Jurusan	: Pendidikan BAHASA INGGR	15	-17
	: Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan		urabava
Menyatakan de TYPES OF I	engan sesungguhnya bahwa skrip PEADING COMPREHENSION	osi saya yang berjudul:	HZIJONA JAUTKA
2013" FOR	GRADE ELEVEN ACCORDING	TO BARRETTE TAXO	NOMY
plagiarisme, n	erupakan hasil karya saya sendi naka saya bersedia menerima s ar yang telah saya peroleh.		
	,,		
Demikianlah kesadaran.	surat pernyataan ini saya bua	at dengan sesungguhnya	dan dengan penu
		Surabaya, 8- Me	aret - 2017
		Yang membuat pen	
		i ang membuat pen	iyataati,

Mengetahui: Dosen Pembimbing I/Tunggal, Materai Rp. 6.000,-

Dosen Pembimbing II,

FELICIA LIMANU

# SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI KARYA ILMIAH

I and Ilmu Donnet	tahuan, saya sebagai mahasiswa Universitas Katolik
emi Perkembangan limu rengo. Iidya Mandala Surabaya.	
Nama Mahasiswa	FELICIA LIMANU
	(213012023
Nomor Pokok	. FKIP
Program Studi Pendidikan	. Bahasa Inggnis
Jurusan	· FKIP
Fakultas	31 - Maret - 2017
Tanggal Lulus	
Congon ini SETILIU/TIDAK SE	Skripsi atau Karya Imiah saya,
Judul:	S COMPREHENSION QUESTIONS IN
TYPES OF RETIDENCE	GLISH 2013' FOR GRAPE ELEVEN
CONTEXTUAL ENG	OF THE TAXONOMY
ACCORDING TO BAKE	RETTE TAXONOMY.
Uptuk dipublikasikan/ditampilka	an di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustaka:
Widya Man	idala Surabaya) untuk kepanas
Jana undand H2K Lill	mis valid periana.
Demikian surat pernyataan SE	TUJU/TIDAK SETUJU") publikasi Karya Ilmiah ini saya
buat dengan sebenarnya	Surabaya, 25 - April - 20
	Yang menyatakan,
	TERAL AND
	E6448AEF489894942
	Shoot Sales
	ENAM RIBURDIAH A CIMAN
	NRP. 1213012023

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT** 

First of all, the writer would like to thank God who always blessed until the day of her

thesis, without Him this thesis could not finish.

Besides, the writer would like to thank to the following people who have helped her:

1. Dr. RuruhMindari, M.Pd as the writer's advisor who always guided and spent her time

for the writer during consultation. She gave the best recommendations or suggestions to

the writer in writing this thesis.

2. The writer's parents and sisters, who always pushed and toldto finish this thesis as soon

as possible.

3. Christy Augustina and Santa Agnes, S.Pd, the writer's best friends who always gave

support and motivation when the writer felt down.

4. Yenyen Natalia, S.Pd, Victor, Christian Siantar and AthanasiaRei, S.Pd; the writer's

other friends who shared some important information and help the writer writing her

thesis.

Surabaya, March 2017

The writer

٧

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPRO	)VAL S	SHEET	(1)	i
APPRO	)VAL S	SHEET	(2)	. ii
SURAT	ΓPERN	NYATA	AAN PLAGIARISME	iii
SURAT	ΓPUBI	LIKAS	[	iv
ACKN	OWLE	DGEM	ENTS	. v
TABLE	E OF C	ONTE	NTS	vi
ABSTE	RACT .	•••••		хi
CHAP	TER 1	: INTR	ODUCTION	. 1
	1.1	Backg	round of the Study	1
	1.2	Staten	nents of the Problems	3
	1.3	The O	bjectives of the Study	4
	1.4	Theore	etical Framework	. 4
	1.5	Signif	icance of the Study	5
	1.6	Assum	nptions	5
	1.7	The Li	mitation of the Study	5
	1.8	Defini	tion of Key Terms	. 6
	1.9	Organ	ization of the Study	. 7
CHAP	TER 2	: REV	IEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	8
	2.1	The N	ature of Reading	8
		2.1.1	Definition Reading	8
		2.1.2	Reading Comprehension Text	13

		2.1.3 Reading Comprehension Questions	14
		2.1.4 The Importance of Reading Questions	14
	2.2	Theory of Questions	15
	2.3	Description of Contextual English 2013	16
	2.4	Categories of Reading Comprehension Questions Material	16
	2.5	Theory of Barrett Taxonomy	8
	2.6	<ul> <li>Literal Comprehension</li> <li>Reorganization</li> <li>Inferential Comprehension</li> <li>Evaluation</li> <li>Appreciation</li> </ul> Previous Study	24
CHA	PTER 3	: RESEARCH METHOD	27
	3.1	Research Design	27
	3.1 3.2	Research Design	
		Source of Data	
	3.2	Source of Data	29
	3.2 3.3	Source of Data  Questions Forms and Questions Types.  Research Instrument	29 29
	3.2 3.3 3.4	Source of Data  Questions Forms and Questions Types.  Research Instrument  Procedures of Collecting Data	29 29 30
	3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Source of Data  Questions Forms and Questions Types.  Research Instrument  Procedures of Collecting Data  Procedures of Data Analysis	29 29 30 30
CHAI	3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Source of Data  Questions Forms and Questions Types.  Research Instrument  Procedures of Collecting Data  Procedures of Data Analysis  Triangulation.	29 29 30 30 31

4.1.1	The Types of Readi	ng Comprehension	on Questions fo	ound in the E	nglish Book
	"Contextual	English	grade	11"	Barret
	Taxonomy				36
4.1.2	The Percentage of	Higher and Lo	wer Levels of	Reading Cor	nprehensior
	Questions of English	Textbook "Cont	extual English g	grade 11" base	d on Barret
	Taxonomy				52
4.1	Discussion				67
CHAPTER 5	5 : CONCLUSION AN	ND RECOMMEN	NDATION	•••••	75
5.1	Conclusion				75
5.2	Suggestions				76
Bibliography	······································	•••••	•••••		77
Appendices.		•••••	•••••		80
Appendix 1 C	Checklist of Reading Co	omprehension Que	estions Chapter 1	l	80
Appendix 2 C	Checklist of Reading Co	omprehension Que	estions Chapter 2	2	81
Appendix 3 C	Checklist of Reading Co	omprehension Que	estions Chapter 3	3	82
Appendix 4 C	Checklist of Reading Co	omprehension Que	estions Chapter 4	1	84
Appendix 5 C	Checklist of Reading Co	omprehension Que	estions Chapter 5	5	85
Appendix 6 C	Checklist of Reading Co	omprehension Que	estions Chapter 6	5	85
Appendix 7 C	Checklist of Reading Co	omprehension Que	estions Chapter 7	7	87
Appendix 8 C	Checklist of Reading Co	omprehension Que	estions Chapter 8	3	87
Appendix 9 C	Checklist of Reading Co	omprehension Que	estions Chapter 9	)	89
Appendix 10	Checklist of Reading C	Comprehension Qu	uestions Chapter	10	90
Appendix 11	Checklist of Reading C	Comprehension Or	uestions Chapter	11	91

Appendix 12Checklist of Reading Comprehension Questions Chapter 12	91
Appendix 13 Reading Text 1	93
Appendix 14 Reading Text 2	. 95
Appendix 15 Reading Text 3	. 98
Appendix 16 Reading Text 4	103
Appendix 17 Reading Text 5	105
Appendix 18 Reading Text 6	108
Appendix 19 Reading Text 7	112
Appendix 20 Reading Text 8.	114
Appendix 21 Reading Text 9	117
Appendix 22 Reading Text 10.	120
Appendix 23 Reading Text 11	122
Appendix 24 Reading Text 12	124

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 2 Table of the Criteria Reading Comprehension Questions	17
Table 3.4 The Distribution of Reading Comprehension Questions in "Contextual English"	27
Table 3.5 Level of Reading Skills according to Barrett's Taxonomy	28
Table 3.6 The Percentage of Types Reading Comprehension Questions in Each Level in the Textbook	30
Table 4.1 The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 1	33
Table 4.2The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 2	34
Table 4.3The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 3	35
Table 4.4The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 4	37
Table 4.5The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 5	38
Table 4.6The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 6.	39
Table 4.7The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 7	41
Table 4.8The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 8.	42
Table 4.9The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 9.	43
Table 4.10The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 10	44
Table 4.11The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 11	45
Table 4.12The Questions of Each Type in Chapter 12	46

#### **ABSTRACT**

Limanu, Felicia. 2017. Types of Reading Comprehension Questions in "Contextual English 2013" For Grade Eleven According to Barrett Taxonomy. English Faculty of Teacher Training and Education. Widya Mandala Catholic University, Surabaya.

Advisor: Dr. Ruruh Mindari, M.Pd.

Keyword: Reading Comprehension Text, Reading Comprehension Questions, Theory of Questions, and Barrett Taxonomy.

Reading is one of the important skills and has already been taught at school since the early years of schooling. Reading texts can be long or short in the passage and usually they are followed by some number of questions below the text. The purpose of the questions is to help the students understand the passage as well as to get the main idea of the text. Reading comprehension is important because it can increase students' knowledge and to make the readers think more critically.

This study analyzes the reading comprehension question types in "Contextual English 2013 SMA for eleven grades". The analysis is based on Barrett Taxonomy. There are four types of Barrett Taxonomy (Literal Recognition or Recall, Inference, Evaluation and Appreciation). There are 323 questions reading comprehension questions in the textbook and they are classified using a checklist to judge the reading comprehension types of questions according to Barrett Taxonomy.

The result of the analysis showed that the four question types were found in the textbook but there were only few questions of higher levels (Evaluation 13% and Appreciation 4%). On the contrary, most of the questions were of lower levels (Literal Recognition or recall 29% and Inference 54%).

In conclusion, the English textbook entitled "Contextual English 2013 SMA for eleven grades" can be used as the material for reading comprehension questions skills. However, some higher order thinking questions should be added to help the students to learn to think critically. It is recommended that the teachers create some higher questions thinking skills so the students can think critically and the total number of questions lower order thinking skills and higher order thinking skills can be balanced and ideal.