CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

In this chapter, the writer would like to present the conclusion and some suggestions concerning the study.

5.1 Conclusion

Realizing the fact that the third year students of Stella Maris Junior High School still had difficulties in constructing wh-questions correctly, the writer decided to find the elements of wh-questions pattern which had been mostly misconstructed by these students. In addition, the writer would also like to try to predict the cause of the students' errors. However, to administer one aspect as the source of error will result in hasty generalization, whereas the process of learning a foreign language involves the external and external factors.

The result of her study showed that the element of wh-question which had been mostly misconstructed was the auxiliary (Conversion Type = 37.18%, Rearrange-Conversion Type = 32.68%); the second element misconstructed was wh-word (Conversion Type = 28.84%, Rearrange-Conversion Type = 29.56%); the third element misconstructed was the verb (Conversion Type = 18.37%, Rearrange- Conversion Type = 20.47%); the fourth element misconstructed was the subject (Conversion Type = 14.64%, Rearrange-Conversion Type = 15%); the fifth element misconstructed was word order (Conversion Type = 13.96%, Rearrange- Conversion Type =

96

13.97%). This result almost the same with what Ernawati has. In her study, the most misconstructed element was the auxiliary, while the element which were more or less the same misconstructed was the wh-word, the word order and the verb. The following table is presented to summarize the discussion inthis paragraph.

Table	5.1	

Rank for Difficult Elements

		Percentage	Percentage
No	Element	Type A	Type B
1	Auxiliary	37.18%	32.68%
2	Wh-word	28.84%	29.56%
3	Verb	18.37%	20.47%
4	Subject	14.64%	15%
5	Word Order	13.96%	13.97%

Selinker (1972) in Richard (1980:39-41) confirms that there are five processes, which are central to language learning. Based on the interpretation of the finding (4.4), the writer tried to conclude that transfer of training and strategies of second language learning are the most prominent factors toward the students' error. Besides those two prominent factors, there are other factors contribute to the students' errors. They are *transfer of training*, *strategies of second language communication and overgeneralization of target language linguistic material*.

5.2 Suggestions

Although there are many factors that influence the students in mastering English, and that concern the result of this study, the writer would like to suggest that:

- All the students at the beginner level should be given a lot of exercises in using wh-questions. The teacher should vary the wh-words and avoid using the same wh-words all the time.
- The teacher should emphasize the existence of auxiliary in constructing wh-question, for example by drilling.
- 3. The teacher should emphasize the form of the verb by asking the students to memorize the form of verbs or may be by drilling.
- 4. The teacher should also encourage the students to be able to self- study by reading or doing exercises from other books since the teacher was not the only source available.
- 5. This study can be continued by using sophisticated instruments and research techniques to find causes of the errors encountered in order to give better remedial teaching. There are also things to do, such as to enlarge the population of the study and to add the variation of the instrument.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Brown, H. D. 1994. Principles of Language Learning and Teaching, 3rd ed. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall Regents.

Brown, James Dean, 1996. Testing in Language Programs. Prentice Hall, NJ.

- Corder, S. Pit. 1973. Introducing Applied Linguistics. Harmondsworth, Great Britain: Penguin.
- Croft, Kenneth. 1980. Reading on English as a Second Language for Teachers and Teacher's Trainees, Boston- Toronto Little, Brown and Company (Inc.), p. 85.
- Dra. Lilik Endang, Dra. Sofia Nurbaya and Dra. Lasminingsih. 1996. Let's Learn English 1. PT Edumedia. Anggota IKAPI, p.32.
- Dulay, Heidy, Marina Burt and Stephen Krashen. 1982. Language Two. New York, Oxford University Press.

Edge, J. 1989. Mistakes and Correction. London: Longman.

- Ellis, Rod. 1986. Understanding Second Language Acquisition. Oxford University Press.
- Ernawati. 1998. Errors in Wh-Questions Made by the Second Year Students of SMPK Santo Yosep Surabaya. Unpublished thesis, Surabaya. Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala.
- George, N. V. 1972. Common Errors in Language Learning. Rowley, Massachusetts: Newburry House Publisher.

Gronlund, Norman E 1982. Constructing Achievement Test. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, p. 134.

Harmer, Jeremy. 1987. Teaching and Learning Grammar. NY:Longman Inc.

Harris, David P. 1969. Testing English as a Second Language. New York, McGraw, Hill Book Company.

James, Carl. 1987. Contrastive Analysis, 7th impression, Longman.

- Kessler, Lauren and Duncan McDonald. 1984. When Words Collide. Bellmount, California: A Division of Wadsworth Inc.
- Krashen, Stephen D. 1987. Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition. Prentice Hall.
- Lester, Mark. 1971. Introductory Transformational Grammar of English. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.
- Michael Jenson, Kenneth. 1984. Interlanguage of Learners of English as a Foreign Language. SEAMEO Regional Language Centre, Singapore.
- Quirk, Randolph, Sidney Greenbaum and Geoffrey Leech, etc. 1992. A Grammar of Contemporary English. LongmanGroup Ltd. Essex England.

Richards, Jack C. 1980. Error Analysis, Longman Group Ltd.

Selinker, Larry. 1972. Rediscovering Interlanguage. Longman Inc., NY.

- Sridhar, S. N., 1980. "Contrastive Analysis, Error Analysis and Interlanguage," in Kenneth Croft, *Reading on English as a Second Language for Teachers and Teacher Trainees*, Boston Toronto, Little Brown and Company.
- Walz, Joel C. 1982. Error Correction Techniques for the Foreign Language Classroom. Prentice Hall, NJ.