### CLASSROOM INTERACTION IN AN EYL CLASSROOM

### **A THESIS**



By

Likewati Wibowo 8212711005

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
GRADUATE SCHOOL
WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
SURABAYA
2016

#### CLASSROOM INTERACTION IN AN EYL CLASSROOM

### A THESIS

Presented to Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master in Teaching English as a Foreign Language



By

Likewati Wibowo 8212711005

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT GRADUATE SCHOOL WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY SURABAYA 2016

## Advisor's Approval

This thesis entitled **Classroom Interaction in an EYL Classroom** prepared and submitted by Likewati Wibowo 8212711005 has been approved to be examined by the Thesis Board of Examiners.

Dr. Ruruh Mindari, M.Pd.

Thesis Advisor

# Thesis Examiner Board's Approval

This thesis entitled **Classroom Interaction in an EYL Classroom** prepared and submitted by Likewati Wibowo 8212711005 has been approved to be examined by the Thesis Board of Examiners.

Dr. Ignatius Harjanto

Chair

Dr. Ruruh M., M.Pd.

Prof. Dr. Veronica L. D., M.Sc.

Secretary

Member

Prof. Dra. Anita Lie, M.A., Ed.D.

M PASCASAR Director

## **Statement of Authenticity**

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own writing, and it is true and correct that there is no other work or statement, except the work or statement that is referred in the references. All the cited works were quoted in accordance with the ethical code of academic writing.

#### Statement of Publication

I declare that I agree to submit my thesis entitled "Classroom Interaction in an EYL Classroom" to Widya Mandala Catholic University library and fully understand that it will be made public via Internet and other uses of online media.

Surabaya, 22 March 2016

Likewati Wibowo 8212711005

## Acknowledgements

Praise the Lord for His blessing so that this thesis can be accomplished. The writer would also like to express her deepest gratitude and appreciation to:

- 1. Dr. Ruruh Mindari, M.Pd. as the advisor, who has given her valuable time in helping and guiding the writer by giving suggestions and comments on the writer's thesis.
- Dra. Ester W. Tedjo, M.Pd., as The Director of Widya Mandala Language Institute Surabaya, who has been willing to help and encourage the writer to finish her study in MPBI.
- 3. All lecturers of the thesis examination board members who were willingly to spend their previous time to read and examine this thesis.
- All the lecturers of the graduate school who have sincerely taught and motivated the writer from the beginning until the end of the semester.
- Dra. Marthalina, the Headmistress of SDK St. Xaverius Surabaya, who has given the opportunity and permission to the writer for observing the classroom.
- Heru Purnomo, the English teacher of SDK St. Xaverius Surabaya, who has generously spent his time for the writer in carrying out her thesis.

- 7. All students of SDK Xaverius, who have participated actively in the classroom.
- 8. The writer's beloved family, her parents and brothers, who have given never endless supports, advices and helps. The writer especially thanks to her mother in finishing her thesis.
- 9. All the writer's close friends and colleagues.
- 10. All the librarians at Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya, who have supplied all the important information needed for the completion of the writer's thesis.

Finally, the writer would like to express her appreciation and gratitude to those whose names have not been mentioned for their valuable guidance and supports to make the completion of this thesis.

Surabaya, March 2016

Likewati Wibowo

#### Abstract

Wibowo, Likewati. 2016. Classroom Interaction in an EYL Classroom, S-2 thesis, English Department Graduate School Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya. Advisor: Dr. Ruruh Mindari, M.Pd.

The recent curriculum, K13, pushes teachers to move away from the traditional teacher-centered-classroom to a student-centered classroom. Due to the significance of classroom interaction research, this study analyzed the classroom interaction in an EYL classroom by describing its communication contents and patterns of interaction. The data were collected through classroom observation and semi-structured interview for the teacher. The writer observed the spoken communication between the EYL teacher and 39 fifth graders in a national elementary school using the video and audio recorders. Others, teacher's interview and field notes were the supplementary data. Having been transcribed, the data analyzed based on the adapted form of FLint system.

Then, some findings were revealed by describing them. The top three of the communication contents expressed by the teacher were asking questions (20.05%), giving information (10.09%) and repeating students response verbatim (6.65%). Strangely, the teacher never gave direct pattern drills (0%) during the lesson. On the other hand, the top three of the communication contents expressed by the students were students' choral response to the teacher (17.61%), individual student response to the teacher (13.27%), student's nonverbal behavior (4.84%). Conversely, student with peer's response to the teacher (0.17%) was in the lowest rank which referred to the lack of giving group work activities. At last, the pattern of interaction revealed was still teacher-centered since most of the interactions were initiated by the teacher. In other words, the expectation of K13 in this study was not yet fulfilled. More and more, the result showed more teacherlearner interaction than learner-learner interaction. Beyond the context of teacher-centered classroom, the students still successfully achieved their English test above the minimum passing criteria (82). It means the slight difference based on the ratio of the teacher and student talk (58:42) indicate a low achievement as it was, in fact, above the MPC which is 75. Besides. the students showed active participation during the lesson.

Based on the results, there are some suggestions given to both EYL teachers and future researchers. The teacher can create more interactive and

communicative activities by having more group work and activities. Meanwhile, for the researchers, it is advisable to have more than five times observations. This study can give valuable contributions to English teachers by broadening their horizon about EYL classroom interaction.

Key words: classroom interaction, EYL, FLint system, communication contents

## **Table of Content**

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| Acknowledgements                                       | i    |
| Abstract   | iii  |
| Chapter 1: Introduction                                |      |
| Background of the Study                                | 1    |
| Research Questions                                     | 5    |
| The Purposes of the Study                              | 5    |
| Delimitation   | 6    |
| Theoretical Framework                                  | 7    |
| Definition of Key Terms                                | 7    |
| Significance of the Study                              |      |
| Thesis Organization                                    | 9    |
| Chapter 2: Review of Related Literature                |      |
| Theories of Young Learners' Language Learning          | 10   |
| Young Learners' Motivation                             |      |
| Interaction in the Classroom                           |      |
| Classroom Interaction and Second Language Acquisition. |      |
| Interactional Modifications of Language.               |      |
| Theories on Language Acquisition.                      |      |
| Teacher Talk   |      |
| Verbal Teacher Behavior.                               |      |

| Teacher's F   | eedback.        | 22 |
|---|-----------------|----|
| The Role of Teachers' Questions in Second Language Classroom. |                 | 23 |
| Procedural  | Questions.      | 24 |
| Convergent  | Questions.      | 25 |
| Divergent Questions.  |                 |    |
| Asian Students' Reticence                                     |                 | 27 |
| Patterns of Interaction                                       |                 | 35 |
| Teacher-Learner Interaction.                                  |                 | 36 |
| Learner-Learner Interaction.                                  |                 | 38 |
| Discourse Analysis  |                 | 38 |
| Interaction Analysis  |                 | 40 |
| FLint System.   |                 | 42 |
| The Adapted Form of FLint System                              |                 | 46 |
| Review of Rela  | ated Studies    | 51 |
| Chapter 3:  | Research Method |    |
|   |                 |    |
| Research Desig  | gn              | 55 |
| Subjects/Participants   |                 | 57 |
| Sources of Data, Data, and Unit of Analysis                   |                 | 57 |
| Instruments   |                 | 57 |
| Data Collection   |                 | 58 |
| Data Analysis   |                 |    |
| Triangulation   |                 | 63 |

# **Chapter 4:** Results and Discussion

| The Communication Contents Expressed by the Teacher          | 64        |
|--|-----------|
| in the Fifth Grade EYL Classroom Interaction                 |           |
| The Communication Contents Expressed by the Students         | 74        |
| in the Fifth Grade EYL Classroom Interaction                 |           |
| Pattern of Interaction Identified between                    | 79        |
| the Teacher and the Fifth Grade Students in the EYL C        | Classroom |
|  |           |
|  |           |
| Chapter 5: Conclusions and Suggestions                       |           |
| Conclusions  | 85        |
| Suggestions  | 87        |
|  |           |
| References   | 89        |
|  |           |
| Appendices   |           |
| Appendix 1: Observation Instrument                           | 104       |
| Appendix 2: Interview Guide                                  | 105       |
| Appendix 3: Field notes and Transcription (Recording 1)      | 112       |
| Appendix 4: Analysis of Fifth Graders' Classroom Interaction |           |
| (Recording 1)  |           |
| Appendix 5: The Results and Summaries                        | 157       |
| Appendix 6: Transcript Categorization                        | 164       |
| Appendix 7: Students' Achievement                            | 172       |

# **List of Tables and Figures**

| 1   | Page |
|---|------|
| Table   |      |
| Table 2.1 Empirical Studies of Student Reticence among Asian Students | 28   |
| Table 2.2 Causes of Asian Students Reticence                          | 33   |
| Table 2.3 The Flint System  | 43   |
| Table 2.4 Observation Instrument (The FLint System)                   | 47   |
| Table 3.1 The Number of Teacher and Student Talk                      | 61   |
| Table 4.1 Teacher Talk in the Fifth Graders' Classroom Interaction    | 65   |
| Table 4.2 Students' Talk in the Fifth Graders' Classroom Interaction  | 75   |
| Table 4.3 Pattern of Interaction                                      | 79   |
| Table 4.4 Summary of the Percentage of Teacher Talk and Student Talk  | 80   |
|   |      |
| Figures   |      |
| Figure 2.1 Interaction between Teacher and Students                   | 41   |
| Figure 3.1 The Structure of the Research Design                       | 56   |