The Effectiveness of Teaching Reading Using Student
Teams Achievement Divisions (STAD) and Grammar
Translation Method (GTM) on the Reading
Comprehension Achievement of Junior High School
Students

THESIS

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching



Wriiten By:

Anindita Dewanti

1213007001

ENGLIH DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY SURABAYA
2011

APPROVAL SHEET (1)

This thesis entitled The Effectiveness of Teaching Reading Using Student Teams Achievement Divisions (STAD) and Grammar Translation Method (GTM) on the Reading Comprehension Achievement of Junior High School Students, prepared and submitted by Anindita Dewanti, has been approved and accepted by the following advisors as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the sarjana pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching.



APPROVAL SHEET (2)

This Thesis has been examined by the committee on the Oral Examination with grade ______ on September 22, 2011

Drs. Stefanus Laga Tukan, M.Pd.

Chairperson

Dra. Susana Teopilus, M.Pd.

Secretary

Dr. V. Luluk Prijambodo, M.Po

Member

Prof. Dr. Veronica L. Diptoadi, M.Sc.

Advisor

Approved by:

Dra Agnes Santi Widianti, M.Pd.

Dean of the Teacher Training Faculty

Paulus Hady S. Winarlim, M.Sc.

Head of English Department

	SURAT PERNYATAAN
P	ERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI KARYA ILMIAH
Demi perkembangan I Mandala Surabaya: Nama Mahasiswa :	Imu Pengetahuan, saya sebagai mahasiswa Universitas Katolik Widya Anındıta Dewantı
Nomor Pokok :	1213007001
Program Studi :	Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris – Jurusan Pendidikan Bahasa & Seni
Fakultas :	Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan
Perguruan Tinggi :	Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya
Tanggal Lulus :	22 September 2011
	TIDAK SETUJU") Skripsi atau Karya Ilmiah saya,
Grammar To Comprehension Untuk dipublikasikan/ Universitas Katolik W dengan Undang-undar	ffectiveness of Teaching Reading Using in Achievement Divisions (STAD) and ranslation Method (GTM) on the Reading of Achievement of Johnson High School Students ditamplikan di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan idya Mandala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas sesuai ng Hak Cipta yang berlaku. ataan SETUJU/TIDAK SETUJU*) publikasi Karya Ilmiah ini saya buat Surabaya, 2.2 Oktobes 2011 Yang menyatakan, METERAL TEMPEL CHARTAFBRY40354 METERAL TEMPEL CHARTAFBRY40354 METERAL TEMPEL CHARTAFBRY40354 NRP.: 1 21 3007001

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, the writer would like to thank God for His blessing and love that gives the writer spirit to finish this thesis. She realizes that without His helps, this would never happen.

The writer would also like to express her deepest gratitude and appreciation to the following people who have guided and helped her in the process of doing the research and writing this thesis.

- 1. Prof. Dr. Veronica L. Diptoadi, M.Sc., her advisor, who has patiently guided, has given suggestions, and had used her valuable time to support the writer to finish this thesis well.
- 2. Petrus Bedda Turra, S.Pd., the principal of SMP Katolik Santo Yosef who has welcomed and permitted the writer to conduct the research there.
- 3. Hiasinta Yanuar Maharatri, S.Pd., the English Teacher at SMP Katolik Santo Yosef, who has given an opportunity to the writer in conducting an experiment and provided all the information that was very needed for the writer.
- 4. All the students of SMP Katolik Santo Yosef from grade VII A, VII B, and VII C, who has willingly participated in the writer's reasearch.
- 5. The writer's family who has always supported the writer to finish her study well.
- 6. All of the lecturers who has supported and helped the writer in her study during the lessons.
- 7. The writer's friends, Linda, Santi, Yovita, Alan, Ayu, Felicia, Yanita, Niluh and Valentina who has supported the writer to finish this thesis.
- 8. Theresia Anata and Vani Larasati, the writer's friends who helped checking the counting for statisctic.

Finally, the writer would also thank you for those people who have not been mentioned had given big contribution and support for the writer.

The Writer



Table of Contents

i
ii
iv
vi
ix
ix
x
1
3
3
4
4
4
5
6
7

II. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

2.1 Underlying Theories8
2.1.1 Reading8
2.1.1.1 Nature of Reading8
2.1.1.2 Schema Theory9
2.1.2 Constructivism
2.1.3 Cooperative Learning11
2.1.4 Student Teams Achievement Divisions (STAD)12
2.1.4.1 Nature of STAD12
2.1.4.2 Advantages of STAD12
2.1.4.3 Disadvantages of STAD13
2.1.4.4 How to Conduct STAD13
2.1.5 Grammar Translation Method (GTM)14
2.1.5.1 Nature of GTM14
2.1.5.2 Advantages of Using GTM15
2.1.5.3 Disadvantages of Using GTM15
2.1.5.4 How to Conduct GTM15
2.2 Previous Studies17
III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
3.1 Research Design
3.2 Variables21

3.3 Population and Sample	22
3.4 Research Instrument	22
3.4.1 Reliability of the Instrument	23
3.4.2 Validity of the Instrument	25
3.4.3 Item Analysis	25
3.4.3.1 Item Facility	26
3.4.3.2 Item Discrimination	27
3.5 Material of the Treatments2	28
3.6 Treatments	28
3.7 Procedure of the Data Collection	31
3.8 Procedure of the Data Analysis	32
3.8.1 Scoring Technique	32
3.8.2 Technique of Data Analysis	32
IV. FINDING AND DISCUSSIONS	
4.1 Findings	
4.2 Discussion of the Findings	37
V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	
5.1 Summary	40
5.2 Conclusion	41
5.3 Suggestions and Recommendations	41
5.3.1 Suggestions for the English Teachers	41
5.3.2 Recommendations for Further Research	42
BIBLIOGRAPHY	43

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Previous Studies	18
Table 2: Research Design	20
Table 3: Variables	21
Table 4: Population and Sample	22
Table 5: Items for Post Test	23
Table 6: Interpretation of Item Reliability	24
Table 7: Interpretation of Item Facility	26
Table 8: Interpretation of Item Discrimination	27
Table 9: Materials for Treatments	28
Table 10: Difference Treatment Experimental and Control Group.	29
Table 11: Schedule of Try Out, Treatments, and Post Test	30
Table 12: Procedure of the Data Collection	31
Table 13: ANOVA the Students' Latest English Summative Score	s. 36
Table 14: The Result of the Post Test Using T-test	37
LIST OF APPENDICES	
EIST OF AFTERVIOLES	
Appendix 1: The Calculation of Summative Test Scores	47
Appendix 2: The Calculation of Summative Test Scores ANOVA.	49
Appendix 3: The Calculation of Try Out Reliability	50
Appendix 4: The Calculation of Item Analysis	51
Appendix 5: The Scores for Post Test	52
Appendix 6: The Calculation of the Means of the Post Test	54
Appendix 7: Reading Test (Try Out)	56
Appendix 8: Lesson Plan	62
Appendix 9: Reading Test (Post Test)	.100

ABSTRACT

Dewanti, Anindita. 2011. The Effectiveness of Teaching Reading Using Student Teams Achievement Divisions (STAD) and Grammar Translation Method (GTM) on the Reading Comprehension Achievement of Junior High School Students. S1 Thesis. Faculty of Teacher Training and Education at Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya.

Advisor: Prof. Dr. Veronica L. Diptoadi, M.Sc.

Key Words: Reading, Reading Comprehension, Student Teams Achievement Divisions (STAD), Grammar Translation Method (GTM).

The way the teacher teaches the lesson in the class could affect the students' achievement. The teachers mostly still use the traditional or classical technique like Grammar Translation Method (GTM) for teaching reading. The students get bored easily. They are not motivated to answer the comprehension questions. In order to overcome the problem, the writer decided to use more attractive technique to teach reading in English by using Student Teams Achievement Divisions (STAD).

The writer took students from seventh grade of Junior High School as the population of this study. The samples were the students of grade VII of X Junior High School. The writer used three classes that became pilot group, experimental group, and control group. Each class consists of 44 students. The writer purposely chose the three classes because they were taught by the same teacher. The experimental group received Student Teams Achievement Divisions (STAD) while the control group received Grammar Translation Method (GTM). The pilot group was used for trying out the instrument. The writer used two groups posttest only design so the writer just did post test for experimental and control group after three times treatment. The posttest has tested before to the pilot group to check the reliability and the validity of the instrument.

From the statistical calculation of the post test between experimental group and control group, the writer found that there was a

significant difference between the two groups. The writer found out that the mean of experimental group was 75.8182 and the mean of control group was 71.4545. The students which get STAD have higher scores than the students which get GTM. It means that STAD could improve the reading comprehension achievement of grade VII students.

