CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

This chapter discussed the conclusion and suggestions. The conclusion is concerning the research question in the first chapter. Then, the suggestion is intended for the future researcher.

5.1 Conclusion

The research describes the types of phatic speech acts found in English-speaking Indonesian students. There are eleven types of speech acts found in English-speaking Indonesian students. Those are addressing, expressing empathy, gossiping, complimenting, giving remarks, advising, offering, requesting, inviting, mocking, and swearing. The function of phatic speech acts is to express hospitality, show concern, make conversations more interesting, please other people, show attention, show empathy, show politeness, express social attachment, show solidarity, joke, and build intimacy. Addressing is the most dominant, while the least is complimenting.

English-speaking Indonesian students employ the phatic speech act of addressing more frequently in their daily interactions to foster friendliness among them. The purpose of the addressing speech act is to demonstrate hospitality between the hearer and the speaker.

Understanding phatic speech acts means learning more to build a good relationship in society. Phatic speech acts have the potential to enhance our ability to demonstrate hospitality, concern, civility, unity, and foster closeness between the speaker and the hearer

The benefit of this research is to know that in daily communication, phatic speech acts could be implemented for smooth communication. Furthermore, the aim of implementing phatic speech acts is to build good communication between speakers and hearers. In addition, phatic speech acts could also reduce conflict or misunderstandings in the communication process.

5.2 Suggestion

Phatic speech acts are adequately applied to facilitate communication as well as a strategy to show the socio-cultural identity among Indonesians. Moreover, the application of phatic speech acts in daily life could avoid conflict and make conversations run smoothly.

This research might contribute to further research about phatic speech acts. This study only discusses the types and functions of phatic speech acts in English-speaking Indonesian students. Therefore, further research on phatic speech acts could be conducted in different contexts from different perspectives such as pragmatic politeness. Moreover, further research on phatic speech acts could be conducted between Indonesian and English native speakers.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Creswell, J. W. (2013). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (3rd ed.). Sage publications.
- Harahap, C. (2020). Cultural phatic communication expression in the movie entitled Toba Dreams. University of Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara Medan.
- Juliani, A. R. (2021). An analysis of illocutionary acts in the screenplay of parasite movie. Hasanuddin University.
- Jumanto. (2014). Phatic communication: How english native speakers create ties of union. *American Journal of Linguistics*, *3*(1), 9–16.
- Kreidler, C. W. (1998). Introducing english semantics. Routledge.
- Leech, G. (1983). *Principles of pragmatics*. Longman Group Limited.
- Leech, G. (2014). *The pragmatics of politeness*. Oxford University Press.
- Malinowski, B. (1923). The problem of meaning in primitives languages. In C. K. Ogden & I. A. Richards (Eds.), *The meaning of meaning*. A Harvest Book.
- Meidiani, B. D., Sofyan, A., & Setyari, A. D. (2022). The phatic marker in javaneese language in the social group of youth in Sambikerep district City of Surabaya. *The International Journal of High Education Scientists* (*IJHES*), *3*(1).
- Nassaji, H. (2015). Qualitative and descriptive research: Data type versus data analysis. *Language Teaching Research*, 19(2), 129–132.
- Purnamasari, D. (2020). A socio-pragmatics study of phatic utterance in movie

- entitled the secret live of pets. Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University.
- Sakinah, N. (2017). *Keefektifan komunikasi fatis dalam pergaulan antarbudaya*.

 Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang.
- Senft, G. (2014). Understanding pragmatics. Routledge.
- Seung, T. . (1982). Semiotics and the thematics in hermeneutics. Columbia University Press.
- Spradley, J. P. (1979). *The ethnographic interview*. Harcourt Brace Javanovich College Publishers.
- Sudaryanto. (2015). *Metode dan aneka teknik analisis bahasa: Pengantar*penelitian wahana kebudayaan secara linguistis. Sanata Dharma University

 Press.
- Widiana, Y. (2020). Tindak tutur fatis dan realisasi kesantunannya dalam ranah pertemanan di kalangan mahasiswa Jawa di Madiun. Universitas Sebelas Maret.
- Widiana, Y. (2021). Phatic talks of javanese women in internet-mediated-communication: A cyberpragmatics perspective. *Kongres Internasional Masyarakat Linguistik Indonesia*.
- Yule, G. (1996). *Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.