



D.N. Dian Retno Sari Dewi P. , ST., MT. <dianretnosd@ukwms.ac.id>

Decision on your revised paper submitted to IJSDP

editor.ijsdp iieta.org <editor.ijsdp@iieta.org>
 To: "dianretnosd@ukwms.ac.id" <dianretnosd@ukwms.ac.id>

Wed, May 10, 2023 at 7:55 PM

Dear author,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*,

Manuscript Title: Indonesia in the headlight: fighting sustainability through the implementation of the product-oriented Product–Service Systems

Manuscript ID: 19457

Our decision is to: **Accept Submission**

Before we proceed with the publication of your article, please complete the arrange payment of your article processing charge (US \$ 500) in 15 days by the following ways:

Please fill in the blank when you complete the payment:

Customer	
Name	
Account number	
Address	
Misc	
Date	
For	Publication fee for paper published in IJSDP ID:
Unit Price	USD 500.00
Payment	
USD Remittance Path	

BENEFICIARY NAME: IIETA-RSMC Beijing LTD

ACCOUNT NUMBER: 0200296409116032240

BENEFICIARY ADDRESS: Room 302, Building 3, Jinmao Plaza, Fengtai District, Beijing, PRC

BENEFICIARY BANKER'S NAME: Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Beijing Municipal Branch, Beijing, PRC

SWIFT CODE: ICBKCNBJBJM

PLEASE :

1. Remark the manuscript ID in the Remittance.
2. Send the remittance receipt or payment screenshot, and name and account number of the remitter to this email.

Total due in 15 days. Overdue accounts subject to a service charge of 1% per month.

[Quoted text hidden]



Dian Retno dewi <dianretnosaridewi@gmail.com>

Revise your manuscript submitted to IJSDP

editor.ijsdp iieta.org <editor.ijsdp@iieta.org>
To: Dian Retno dewi <dianretnosaridewi@gmail.com>

Tue, Apr 18, 2023 at 5:31 PM

Dear author,

Thank you for contributing your paper to INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING!

- Please revise your paper according to the attached comments.
- Highlight the revised parts in the final version of your paper and give a response according to review comments.
- Please typeset your paper according to template.

To ensure fast publication of your paper, please return your revised manuscript and answers to all queries to this email **within 14 days**. Thus, we have enough time to process your manuscript in the next step. For further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us via this email.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank you for choosing *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning* as your publishing medium and hope that we will receive further submissions from you or your scholar friends in the future.

Best regards,

IJSDP Editorial Board

International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning

<http://www.iieta.org/Journals/IJSDP>

International Information and Engineering Technology Association (IIETA)

<http://www.iieta.org/>



2 attachments

 **IJSDP Template.docx**
95K



ijsdp_19457_comment.docx
33K



Dian Retno dewi <dianretnosaridewi@gmail.com>

Revise your manuscript submitted to IJSDP

Dian Retno dewi <dianretnosaridewi@gmail.com>
To: "editor.ijsdp iieta.org" <editor.ijsdp@iieta.org>

Thu, Apr 27, 2023 at 7:45 PM

Dear IJSDP editorial board,

Thank you for the review. Attached is the revised manuscript and response according to reviewer comments. Thank you.

Best regards,

Dian Dewi

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments



IJSDP Dewi 19457.docx

114K



Review and response.docx

41K

REVIEWER'S COMMENTS

Title of the article reviewed:

Indonesia in the headlight: fighting sustainability through the implementation of the product-oriented Product–Service Systems

Summary

First, this research explores the Indonesian government support for sustainability. This is followed by examining the Indonesian motorcycle network existing implementation of product-oriented PSS. The paper then investigates the drivers and barriers of the product-oriented PSS implementation to improve sustainability by a qualitative approach through semi-structured interviews with thirteen senior managers from five Indonesian motorcycle companies—manufacturers, intermediaries and service partners. This study found key criteria for manufacturer to meet sustainability are waste management, decreasing the hazardous material uses, design for disassembly, increase the recyclable materials, take back and recycling policy, while for intermediaries and service partners are providing service, repair, maintenance and decreasing the hazardous material uses.

Minor Issues

The writing theme of this article is reasonable and realistic. However, there are some formatting issues in the paper. The author needs to further exercise his/her academic thinking and the scientific nature of the language. The author also needs to adjust the overall structure of the article and comb out a more logical structure.

INTRODUCTION:

- I think the innovation of this paper is not clearly summarized, and I suggest that the author re-summarize the innovation in the introduction.
- It is recommended that the authors add some literature from the last three years, as some of the information in the article is time-sensitive. For example, in this section, paragraph 8, “First, the literature on PSS in the context of developing countries is still limited [16]”, about the limited PSS studies in developing countries, is the data from 2017 representative of the situation in 2023? “In journal publications on PSS there are strong contributions from Europe …… while the United States accounts for 10.2 per cent and Asia 9.4 per cent [17]”, the sentence continues to have the same problem as above, is the current state of research 10 years ago consistent with today?
- Authors are advised to use abbreviations for words that do not appear for the first time. For words that appear for the first time, abbreviations and full names should be used, such as “SC”.

METHOD:

- In this section, table 1, “Brand”, what does “W, Z, Y, Z, A” stand for?

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

- The author said that there are two themes in this part, and the first theme is immediately followed. It is suggested that the author show the second theme to keep logical consistency.
- There is more information in Table 2, and the layout of Table 2 is not nice enough, so we suggest the authors to re-layout it. For example, the authors could have used multiple labeling symbols.
- In this section, paragraph 1, line 3, “The first section presents the findings on the various components from first theme–drivers of product-oriented PSS.”,

CONCLUSIONS:

- It is recommended that the future research directions and limitations of this paper should be included at the end of the conclusion.

Others:

The following minor issues should be looked into by the author:

- There are many problems with the formatting of this article and the authors are advised to improve their academic skills.
- There are problems with the format of the references. And references should be marked in the article. It is suggested to modify it.
- For the indentation of paragraphs, it is recommended that authors standardize the standard.

General Comments

Finally, I hereby recommend that this article be accepted and be considered for publication after major revisions.

Authors : D R S Dewi, Y B Hermanto
 Manuscript number : 19457
 Title : Indonesia in the headlight: fighting sustainability through the implementation of the product-oriented Product–Service Systems

Responses to the Reviewer

The authors gratefully acknowledge the comments and suggestions of the referees. In the revision, we have made major changes on our article to consider all the questions raised by the referees. This revision includes modifications to the original contents and the clarifications of the questions. This document outlines the amendments made to the manuscript in view of the valuable insights provided by the reviewer who required revisions. The below provides the comment of the reviewer, followed by a description of the amendment undertaken.

Revisions based on Reviewer comments

No	Comments	Actions by the authors
INTRODUCTION		
1	I think the innovation of this paper is not clearly summarized, and I suggest that the author re-summarize the innovation in the introduction.	<p>Revised. The authors appreciate the advice by the reviewer.</p> <p>We have addressed this now with changes in the introduction paragraph 7 to show the novelty/ innovation in this paper, as follows:</p> <p>In summary, the novelty of this study has offered a new insight of improving the sustainability by knowing the drivers and the barriers to implement product-oriented PSS.</p>
2	It is recommended that the authors add some literature from the last three years, as some of the information in the article is time-sensitive. For example, in this section, paragraph 8, “First, the literature on PSS in the context of developing countries is still limited [16]”, about the limited PSS studies in developing countries, is the data from 2017 representative of the situation in 2023? “In journal publications on PSS there are strong contributions from Europe while the United States	<p>Revised, the out of date literature have been changed, as follows:</p> <p>Ref. 16 has been changed to ref.9</p> <p>Ref 17 has been changed to ref 16</p> <p>In addition, the recent literature (ref 28 and 34) has been added to the text.</p>

	accounts for 10.2 per cent and Asia 9.4 per cent [17]”, the sentence continues to have the same problem as above, is the current state of research 10 years ago consistent with today?	
3	Authors are advised to use abbreviations for words that do not appear for the first time. For words that appear for the first time, abbreviations and full names should be used, such as “SC”.	Revised. The authors appreciate the advice by the reviewer. The word appear first time has full name (supply chain (SC) in section 1, paragraph 8, page 2.
METHOD		
4	In this section, table 1, “Brand”, what does “W, Z, Y, Z, A” stand for?	Revised. We add one sentence to explain that all participants need anonymity, therefore we use initial which is not abbreviation of any brands, as below: Table 1 is not the abbreviation of any brands because all participants asked not to reveal their identities.
RESULT AND DISCUSSION		
5	The author said that there are two themes in this part, and the first theme is immediately followed. It is suggested that the author show the second theme to keep logical consistency.	Revised, thank you for your comments. We have addressed this now with changes: The first section presents the findings on the various components from first theme—drivers of product-oriented PSS and the second section presents the findings on two components from second theme—barriers.
6	There is more information in Table 2, and the layout of Table 2 is not nice enough, so we suggest the authors to re-layout it. For example, the authors could have used multiple labeling symbols.	Revised. The authors appreciate the advice by the reviewer. We have addressed this now with changes in Table 2.
7	In this section, paragraph 1, line 3, “The first section presents the findings on the various components from first theme—drivers of product-oriented PSS.”,	Revised, thank you for your comments. We have addressed this now with changes: The theme for barriers is presented later in section 4.2, includes limitation of service partners’ capability and limitation of manufacturer capability to handle both greener alternative material and product end of life.

CONCLUSIONS		
8	It is recommended that the future research directions and limitations of this paper should be included at the end of the conclusion.	<p>Revised, thank you for your comments. We have addressed this now with changes:</p> <p>This paper is based on some limitations; however, it can be seen as future research directions. First, this study dedicated to a motorcycle industry in a limited geographical area. Hence, further research should apply more various industries in broader areas to assure the generalization of the results. Second, this research focuses on product-oriented PSS, where the primary focus is still on selling products, accompanied by the service as added value. Results-oriented PSS, on the other hand, have the potential to provide more benefit when it comes to impact on the environment. Therefore, further research might investigate the drivers and barriers to implement a results-oriented PSS.</p>
OTHERS		
9	There are many problems with the formatting of this article and the authors are advised to improve their academic skills.	<p>Revised. The authors appreciate the advice by the reviewer.</p> <p>We have addressed this now with changes throughout the text in the paper.</p>
10	There are problems with the format of the references. And references should be marked in the article. It is suggested to modify it.	<p>Revised. The authors appreciate the advice by the reviewer.</p> <p>We have addressed this now with changes in all references.</p>
11	For the indentation of paragraphs, it is recommended that authors standardize the standard.	<p>Revised. The authors appreciate the advice by the reviewer.</p> <p>We have addressed this now with changes in the indentation of paragraphs throughout the text in the paper.</p>