

# **CHAPTER V**

### CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

In the last chapter of this study, the writer would like to review what has been discussed in the previous chapter. She would like to give some suggestions based on her findings that may be useful for both teacher and students in their field of teaching and learning English.

## **5.1 Conclusion**

The fact that there are similarities and differences between the learners' native language and the target language makes the writer interested to conduct this study. In order to know whether or not the Indonesian students, in this case the second semester students of the English department have made common errors in using cohesive devices in their narrative composition. After analyzing, noting, classifying, and counting the encountered errors in using cohesive devices, the writer found that the types which are mostly misused and ranked them according to their frequencies. And having discussed the data in details in the previous chapter; this study can be summarized as follows:

a) The second semester students of the English department had made omission errors in using cohesive devices. This type of error occurs when the students omit/skips some required elements from the sentence There were '180 (63, 82%)' omission errors. The errors that occur in omission errors are reference 22 (7, 80%) and conjunction 158 (56, 02%).



- b) The second semester students of the English department had made the addition error in using cohesive devices. This type of error occurs when the students added more unnecessary/needless words which ought not to be needed in their sentences, as the sentences have clear meaning already without these items. The students made '54 (19, 15%)' addition errors. The students had made '38 (13, 37%)' addition errors in reference and '16 (5, 67%)' addition errors in conjunction.
- c) The second semester students of the English department had made the selection error in using cohesive devices. Selection error occurs when the students chose or substituted the wrong item in place of the right one. There were '42 (14, 89%)' selection errors. The errors that occur in this type of error are reference '7 (2, 48%)' and conjunction '35 (12, 41%)'.
- d) The second semester students of the English department had made the ordering error in using cohesive devices. This type of error occurs when the students disordered one or more items in a sentence context or where element presented are correct but wrongly sequenced. The students made '6 (2, 13)' ordering error which happened in reference '4 (1, 41%)' and conjunction '2 (0, 70%).
- e) The omission errors that the students made are caused by the interference of mother tongue or language transfer. They automatically apply the pattern of their mother tongue Indonesia in native language (English) performance.
- f) While the addition errors that students made are caused by the strategies of second language learning. For example, the students have false concept that all nouns should be followed by 'the'.
- g) And selections and ordering errors are caused by overgeneralize of target language linguistics material. The students often create their own patterns to help them understand the language they are learning, while these patterns help them in the



process of learning at the same time, it can also create another problem as they tend to stick those patterns and ignore the situations and condition, Where those patterns are impossible to use.

## **5.2 Suggestions**

The last part of this chapter, which also closes this study, contains some suggestions concerning the result of this study. The suggestions are given to the teacher and the students of the English Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University in their effort to minimize making mistakes in using cohesive devices and also given for the further researchers. The suggestions are as follow:

- a) The teacher should consider the factors, which influence learning process such as the students' English competence, the learning materials, and the teaching aids.
- b) To minimize the omission error which is caused by the interference of mother tongue, the teacher should explain more about the differences native language (Indonesia) and the second language (English) and give the students more exercises.
- c) To minimize the Addition error, which is caused by the strategies of second language learning, it is advisable that teacher stresses to the students not to translate word by word from their native language into the second, language as it may trap them into unnecessary word.
- d) To minimize the selection/ordering error which is caused by overgeneralization of target language linguistics material, it is suggested that teachers should stress the students to pay attention in the use of cohesive devices itself.
- e) Teach cohesive devices systematically in the composition process from the simplest or easiest to the most difficult or complex one-lexical cohesion is taught firstly,



- secondly is substitution, thirdly is ellipsis, next is conjunction, and the last is reference, since to Reid (1988:69) cohesive devices are one of the requirements needed to make a coherent composition.
- f) Review briefly the usage of the of the 5 types of cohesive devices (reference, substitution, ellipsis, lexical cohesion, and conjunction) by giving examples of how to improve the incorrect usage of cohesive devices into the correct ones and by giving the students some exercises in the form of combining sentences cohesively in order to emphasize the important of cohesive devices and improve the students' ability to use cohesive devices correctly in connecting the sentences or ideas in writing.
- g) Remind the students to be thrifty in using the 5 types of cohesive devices (reference, substitution, ellipsis, lexical cohesion, and conjunction) in their writing since the incorrect usage of reference will make the readers confused for the sentences containing the incorrect usage of reference cannot 'stick together', Reid (1988:69). Besides that, the incorrect usage of conjunction in writing cannot indicate the relationship of one idea to another. And the incorrect usage of the substitution, ellipsis, and lexical cohesion will make the readers puzzled and difficult to understand the ideas.
- h) Last, require the students to edit their writing by rereading every time after the students have composed writing and the students should be sure that their writing are coherent and free from the mistakes of the incorrect usage of cohesive devices and another grammatical mistakes and then revise it. In the writer's opinion, by revising their writing, the students are supposed to have understood the errors in order to avoid making the same errors again.

There is always a goal of teaching and learning and this goal is really caused by many factors above. Therefore, how teachers and students achieve the goal really depends on how

good they can corporate and manage all those things without forgetting that as time changes, the need of every individual also changes.

At last, since this study was limited to the time and samples, the writer of this thesis is not able to claim that the finding of this study are typical to all students of the English Department of any university and all English learners. Thus, the writer suggests it to be continued using more samples from different and broad subjects in order that the result will reflect the more accurate and up dated common errors using cohesive devices encountered in the compositions. And also, the writer would like to suggest that the further study will be carried out

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Anson, Chris M and Lance E Wilcox. 1992. *A Field Guide to Writing*. New York: Harper Collins Publisher, Inc.
- Arapoff, Nancy. May June 1970. Writing a Thinking Process. English Teaching Forum: Vol. 8 no. 3.
- Beardsley, Monroe C. 1976. Writing with reason: Logic for Composition. New Jersey:

  Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Beaugrande, Robert de. 1980. Text, Discourse and Process. USA: Longman Group Ltd.
- Berelson, Bernard, 1952. Content Analysis in Communication Research.
  - Glencoe III: Free Press.
- Brooks, Cleanth and Robert Penn Warren. 1978. *Modern Rhetoric*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc.
- Brown, G. and G. Yule. 1983. *Discourse Analysis*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Brown, H. Douglas. 1980. *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching*. New Jersey: Englewood Cliffs Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Brown, Yule and Cole Ann, et al. 1984. *Grammar and Composition*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Byrne, Done. 1988. Teaching Writing Skills. Longman Group Limited.
- Corder, S. P. 1981. Error Analysis and Interlanguage. Oxford University Press.
- Christ, I. Henry and Jerome Curlin. 1987. *Modern English in Action*. Lexington D. C.: Heath.

- Croft, Kenneth. 1980. Reading on English as a Second Language for Teachers and Teacher Trainees. Boston-Toronto Little. Brown and Company (Inc)
- Dulay, Heidy, Et al. 1982. Language Two. New York: Oxford University Press, Inc.
- Dji, H.C. 1994. Interlanguage Factors in Pronouncing Consonant in Learning English As Second Language. Unpublished S1 Thesis.
- Ellis, Rod. 1986. *Understanding Second Language Acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Flower, Linda. 1989. *Problem Solving Strategies for Writing*, USA: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc.
- Fung, Nancy Kho Ming. 1989. The Achievement Orders of Cohesive Devices Encountered in the Free Composition of the S1 Students of the English Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya. Unpublished S1 Thesis
- Færch, Claus. and Kasper, Gabrielle. 1983. "Strategies in Interlanguage Communication".

  Longman
- Gondowidjojo, Debby. 1991. Contrastive Analysis on English and Indonesia Noun Phrases.

  Unpublished S1 Thesis.
- Halliday, MAK and R Hasan. 1976. Cohesion in English. New York: Longman group Ltd.
- Harmer, Jeremy. 1991. The Prentice of English Language Teaching. London: Longman.
- Harwell, Charles W. and James F. Dorrill. 1981. *Models and Methods*: A Guide to Effective Composition.

Heffernan, James a W and John E Lincoln. 1990. Writing a College Handbook: Third Edition. New York: W Norton and Company, Inc.

Hendrickson, James. 1981. Error Analysis and Error Correction in Language Teaching.

Joy, M. Reid. 1988. The Process of Composition. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Kennedy, C. and R. Bolitho. 1984. English for Specific Purpose. London: Mac Millan Publisher

Khornomo, Lelly E. 1992. Some Most – Frequent Errors in Using Cohesive Devices in Composition of the Third – Semester of the English Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University. Unpublished SI Thesis.

Lannon, John M. 1992. The Writing Process. New York: Harper Collins Publishers.

Lawrence, Mary S. 1974. Writing As a Thinking Process. The University of Michigan Press.

Little, Graham. 1963. Approach to Literature. Sydney: Science Press-Marrickville, NSW.

Mackay, Ronald. 1979. *Reading in a Second Language*. Massachusetts: Newbury House Publishers, Inc.

Mackey, William Francis. 1969. Language Teaching Analysis. London and

Harlow: Longman, Green and co.Ltd.

Marcella, Frank. 1972. Modern English: *A Prentice Reference Guide*. New Jersey: Englewood Cliffs – Prentice Hall, Inc.

Matthew, M Louise and Laraine Fergenson. 1980. All in One. Houghton Mifflin Company.

Needleman, Morrissh. 1968. Hands Book for Practical Company. USA: Mc Graw – Hill, Inc.

Nuttall, Christine. 1982. Teaching Reading Skill in a Foreign Language. London: Heinemann Educational Books, Ltd.

- Palmer, Joe D. April 1980. *How a Paragraph Hangs Together*. English Teaching Forum: vol. 18 no. 2.
- Puteri, Indra. 1988. Recognize Cohesive Devices as a means of helping SMA Student

  Understanding Reading Text. Unpublished SI Thesis.
- Rectel, Victor M, et al. 1983. *A Longitudinal Study of Coherence in Children's Written Narrative*.

  Ohio: Department of Education Ohio State University.
- Renkema, Jan. 1993. Discourse Studies an Introductory Textbook. US: John Benjamin Publishing Co.
- Richards, Jack C. 1974. "A non-Contrastive Approach to Error analysis" in Jack C. Richards, ed., Error Analysis. London: Longman Group Company Limited.
- Salkie, Raphael. 1995. Text and Discourse Analysis. New York: Routledge.
- Selinker, Larry. 1972. "Interlanguage". In Jack c. Richards, ed., Error analysis.

London: Longman Group Limited.

- Shaughnessy, Mina, P. 1979. Errors Expectations: A guide for the Teacher of Basic Writing. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sridhar, S.N. 1980. "Contrastive Analysis, Errors Analysis and

  Interlanguage" in Kenneth Croft, Reading on English as a Second Language for and Teacher Trainees. Boston –Toronto: Little Brown and Company (Inc).
- Swatan, Fenny. 1991. The Effect of Using Cohesive Devices on SMA Students' Reading Comprehension Achievement. Unpublished S1 Thesis.

- Thornton, Geoffrey. 1980. Teaching Written Argumentative Discourse Analysis: A Term Paper and Applied Linguistics professors Dr. Zaini Machmoed and Dr. Kasihani K. Surabaya: Unpublished S2 Thesis.
- Tukan, S. Laga. July 1989. Written Argumentative Discourse Analysis; Rules and Procedure. FKIP UWM, PSP Bhs. Inggris.
- Walpole. June 1987. A writers Guide: Easy Ground Rules for Successful Written English.

  Englewood Cliffs. Prentice Hall.
- Walvoord, Barbara Fasslet. 1985. Writing: Strategies for all Disciplines. London: Hall International, Inc.
- Warriner, John E, et al. 1977. Advanced Composition A Book of Models for Writing. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc.
- Warriner, John E. 1980. Advanced Writing. London: Brace Jovanovich. Inc.
- Warriner, John E. 1986. Composition Models and Exercise: Fifth Course. Harcourt: Brace Jovanovich. Inc.
- Wells, Gordon. 1987. *How to Communicate*. Maidenhead Berkshire England: Mc Graw Hill Book Company.
- Widdowson, H.G. 1978. Teaching Language as Communication. London: Merison and Gibb Ltd.
- Wiener, Harvey S. 1987. Creating Composition. Singapore: McGraw Hill Book Company, Co.
- Zhang Feng Ying. January 1989. *Techniques to Teach Writing*. English Teaching Forum: Vol. 27 no. 1