

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

5.1 Conclusion

Reading comprehension is one of the important skills among the other skills in learning English as foreign language. At the SMA 1984 curriculum, reading comprehension fills most of the time allocation.

However, it is still difficult for the students to comprehend the reading passages properly. There are many factors which influence it. One of the factors is that the questions are not appropriately given to the intended students. This should not happen if the authorized persons in the English teaching-learning process, especially in the teaching of reading comprehension, understand that questions have long been used to motivate interest, to instruct and to evaluate as stated before by some experts.

According to some experts there are many types of questions. Each expert gives their own types according to the use of each questions. Since the analysis of this study is based on Bloom's Taxonomy, the result will be based on Bloom's Taxonomy, too.

The result of the analysis done by the writer shows that comprehension level is 68.10%, application 6.03%, analysis 19.82%, synthesis 5.17%, and evaluation 0.86%.

When we look at the result the writer can take a conclusion that comprehension level has the highest proportion, followed by analysis, application, synthesis, and evaluation.

5.2 Suggestion

After analyzing the reading comprehension questions of book "Bahasa Inggris 2^a", "Program Studi Ilmu-Ilmu Fisik dan Ilmu-Ilmu Biologi" by "Depdikbud", the writer suggests that the comprehension questions should be in the category of comprehension, application, and analysis only. The reason underlying the writer's suggestion is that the students will face the lacks of knowledge, thinking, and their language when they have synthesis and evaluation questions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Agustina, M.L., An Analysis of Reading Comprehension Questions in 'Bahasa Inggris 2a' by 'Dekdikbud' used in S.M.A., Surabaya: FKIP-Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala, January 1991, p. 52.
2. Baker, William D., Reading Skills, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliff, 1974, p. 31.
3. Bloom, Benjamin S., Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, London: Longman Group Ltd., 1973, p. 16.
4. Bracy, Jane and McClintock Marian, Read to Succeed, United States of America : McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1980, p. 81.
5. Burton, Desmond R., Teaching Reading Comprehension, English Teaching Forum, Vol. XVI, No. 3, July 1978, p. 18.
6. Daely, J. Marcus, Making Reading Communicative. Seminar Teaching English as Foreign Language in Indonesia (TEFLIN) Malang: Fakultas Pasca Sarjana - Institut Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan, 9 - 10 July 1984, p. 33.
7. Dubin F., Eskey, D.E., and Grabe, W., Teaching Second Language Reading for Academic Purposes, United States of America : Addison, Wesley Publishing Company, 1986, p. 6.
8. English for the SLTA. Students' Book II, Jakarta : PN Balai Pustaka, 1982, p. 407 - 409.
9. Gronlund, Norman E., Stating Objectives for Classroom Instruction, New York : Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., 1978, p. 28.
10. Hartono, Rita, An Analysis of the Reading Comprehension Questions of the PKG Materials Based on Bloom's Taxonomy, Surabaya: FKIP - Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala, November 1988, p. 56.

11. Hatch, Evelyn and Hosein Farhady, Research and Statistics for Applied Linguistics, Rowley: Newbury House Publisher Inc., 1982, p. 30.
12. Heaton, J.B., Writing English language Test, A Practical Guide for Teachers of English as a Second or Foreign Language, Longman Group Ltd., 1975, p. 103.
13. Henry, Rick, Reader Generted Questions : A Tool for Improving Reading Comprehension, Tesol Newsletter, Vol. XVIII, No. 3, 1984, p. 29-30.
14. Hornby, AS with Cowie, AP, Gimson, AC, Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary of Current English, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1974, p. 29.
15. I.S.P. Nation, The Curse of the Comprehension Questions. Some Alternatives. Guidelines for Teaching Reading Skills, RELC Journal Supplements, No. 2, 1979, p. 86.
16. Kennedy, Eddie C., Methods in Teaching Developmental Reading, Ithaca: F.E. Peacock Publisher, Inc., 1981.
17. Kolzow, Lee Vogel, I.D.E.A. Power for Reading Comprehension, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1976, p. 4.
18. Kurikulum Sekolah Menengah Umum Tingkat Atas (SMA), Garis-Garis Besar Program Pengajaran (GBPP), Depdikbud, 1986, hal. 62.
19. Kurikulum SMA 1984, Petunjuk Pelaksanaan Materi Pengajaran Bahasa Inggris, Jakarta, Departemen Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Republik Indonesia, 1984, hal. 28.
20. Norris, Classroom Questions, New York: Happer & Row Publisher, 1986, p. IX.
21. Nuttal, Christine, Teaching Reading Skills in a Foreign Language, London: Heinemann Educational Books, 1982, p. 125.

22. Paulston, Christina Bratt and Bruder, Mary Newton, Teaching English as a Second Language: Techniques and Procedures, Cambridge, Massachusetts: Wintrop Publisher, Inc., 1976, p. 165-166.
23. Raygor, Alton L. and Raygor, Robin D., Effetive Reading, Improving Reading Rates and Comprehension, United States of America: McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1985, p. 67.
24. Reis, Alun L.W., Get Them to Ask the Questions, English Teaching Forum, V. IX, No. 4, 1971, p. 27.
25. Sadker, Myra and Sadker, David, Questioning Skills in Cooper, James M., Classroom Teaching Skills, Toronto D.C. : Heath and Company, 1986, p. 143.
26. Selltiz, Claire; Jahoda, Murie, Deutch, Merton, and Cook, Stuart W. (eds.), Research Methods in Social Relations, New York: Holt, Reinhart and 1963, p. 50.
27. Soetanto, Vincentius Boedianto, An Analysis of Reading Comprehension Questions of 'Bahasa Inggris 2^b' by Depdikbud used in Sekolah Menengah Atas, Surabaya: FKIP-Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala, August 1991, p. 65.
28. Sutanto, Nini Luciana, Reading Comprehension Questions Found in Book I Semester 1 Materi Penataran Bahasa Inggris Based on Bloom's Taxonomy: A Context Analysis, Surabaya: FKIP-Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala, November 1988, p. 36.
29. Swan, Michael, Understanding Ideas : Advanced Reading Skills, Cambridge University Press, 1976, p. 1.
30. Tukan, Stefanus Laga, A Study on the Reasoning of the SI Students of the English Department as Manifested in Their Argumentative Compositions, Malang : Fakultas Pasca Sarjana - Institut Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan, April 1991, p. 104.

31. Dalen, van, B., Deobold Understanding Educational Research An Introduction, New York : McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1979, p. 285.
32. W., Sri Redjeki, The Effect of Using Communicative Approach in the Teaching of Reading Comprehension to the Second Year Students of SMAK Santa Maria Surabaya, Surabaya: FKIP-Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala, May 1991, p. 17.