## Teacher – Student Classroom Verbal Interaction in Intensive Course Classes of the English Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya

## **A THESIS**

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching



# VINCENTIA SHS (1213007024)

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
WIDYA MANDALA CHATOLIC UNIVERSITY
SURABAYA

2011

## APPROVAL SHEET

(1)

This thesis entitled "Teacher – Student Classroom Verbal Interaction in Intensive Course Classes of the English Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya" which is prepared and submitted by Vincentia Sri Harti Siswanti, has been approved and accepted as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching by the following advisors:

Dr. Ignatius Harjanto

Advisor I

Johanes Leonardi Taloko, M.Sc

Advisor II

## APPROVAL SHEET

(2)

This thesis has been examined by the Committee on Oral Examination with the grade of \_\_\_\_\_ on June 7<sup>th</sup>, 2011.

Drs. MP. Soetrisno, M.A.

Chairperson

Dr. Ignatius Harjanto

Member

Maria Josephine K.S., M.Pd

Member

Johanes Leonardi Taloko, M.Sc

anardi

Member

Drs. B. Himawan S.W., M.Hum.

Member

Approved by a total wing a 1/2

Dra. Agnes Sann Widiati, M. Pd.

Dean of the Teacher Training Faculty

Harly Suirts Winarlim, M.Sc.

Head of the English Department

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First of all, the writer would thank to her beloved God Almighty, Jesus Christ, for His blessing and spirit that enable her to accomplish this thesis. The writer would also like to express her deepest gratitude and appreciation to those who gave their valuable time that make the accomplishment of her thesis possible especially to:

- Dr. Ignatius Harjanto, her first advisor, whose encouragement, guidance, valuable comments, and numerous constructive suggestions have been great help for the writer in accomplishing this thesis.
- 2. Johanes Leonardi Taloko, M.Sc, her second advisor and the lecturer who asked her to join his I-MHERE Research Grant, who has patiently guided, given comments and suggestions on her thesis, and has been willing to spend his valuable time in examining the writer's thesis.
- 3. The Department and the Head of the Intensive Course Program who has permitted her to do observations for her thesis.
- 4. Dr. V. Luluk Prijambodo for his help in giving a lot of valuable input and information related to this thesis and also for lending her equipment in doing the observations.
- 5. P. Hady Sutris Winarlim, M.Sc, the Head of English Education Study Program, who has lent her equipments to support the observations.
- All of the Intensive Course lecturers who have pleased her to do observations
  and given their time to answer all of questions and information related to this
  thesis.

- 7. All of the Intensive Course students who has been willing to help her in doing her observation.
- 8. The writer's beloved parents, Antonius Hartanto and Florentina Tri Antini, and brother, Vincentius Tio Putra Wibawa, who have given supports, love, motivation, money, prayers, and belief in her to finish her thesis.
- 9. The writer's husband, Beatrix Vesteriyanto Murtiadi Floridaewa, who has always given his best support, attention, and spirit that enable the writer to finish her thesis.
- 10. The writer's group project who joined the same I-MHERE Research Grant, Fransiska Lusiana and Welly Adinata, who have given supports, time, cooperation, and spirit to one another to finish her thesis.
- 11. All of the writer's friends, especially Maria Hilda, Elizabeth Kusuma, Meiliya, Felicia Silvana, Ayu Raja, Meggy Tandjaja, Roswita Yosefina, Susanto Tin, Mario Adinata, and Raharjo Prionggo, who have helped, given supports, and motivated her to finish her thesis.

Finally, the writer also would like to thank those who have not been mentioned here one by one for giving contributions, supports, prayers, and loves in completing this thesis.

The writer realizes that all of the guidance, cooperation, time, and opportunities given are really helpful for her to enlarge her knowledge and enable her to arrange this thesis as well as it should be. May God always bless them all.

Surabaya, May 25<sup>th</sup> 2011

### **ABSTRACT**

Siswanti. 2011. "Teacher – Student Classroom Verbal Interaction in Intensive Course Classes of the English Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya", Surabaya. Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa dan Seni. FKIP. Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala, Surabaya.

Advisors: Dr. Ignatius Harjanto

Johanes Leonardi Taloko, M.Sc

Keywords: classroom verbal interaction, patterns, forms

An English education should be delivered using English to form an English atmosphere. In teaching-learning activity, so many interactions happen in the classroom, for example teacher-students, students-students, etc. Since Intensive Course becomes the foundation of the English Department students, how the interaction should be conducted, especially the verbal interaction should get a high attention. Realizing that classroom verbal interaction is important, the writer decided to do a study in it.

In line with the introduction, the research questions raised in this study are: What forms of classroom verbal interactions were found in IC classes? Which form of classroom verbal interactions was most commonly used in IC classes? What patterns of classroom verbal interactions were found in IC classes? Which pattern of classroom verbal interactions was most commonly used in IC classes?

This research was a classroom ethnographic research applying a non-participant observation. The data of this study are gained by doing observations. Sitting at the back of the classroom observing the learning-teaching activities, recording the teachers' and students' talk secretly, and noting down and analyzing the classroom interaction were done to observe the classroom.

Using the theory by Ur (1991), she found two forms of classroom interaction in Intensive Course; those were teacher active, students mainly receptive and student active, teacher mainly receptive. The most commonly used form was teacher active, students mainly receptive. The patterns of classroom interaction which were found were group work, close-ended teacher questioning, individual work, choral responses, collaboration, full-class interaction, and teacher talk. The most common pattern was close-ended teacher questioning (IRF).

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE SHEET	
APPROVAL SHEET (1)	
APPROVAL SHEET (2)	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
ABSTRACT	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Statement of the problem	4
1.3 Objectives of the study	4
1.4 Significance of the study	4
1.5 Theoretical Frameworks	5
1.6 Definition of Key Terms	6
1.7 Scope and limitation of the study	6
1.8 Organization of the thesis	7
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
2.1 Underlying Theories	8
2.1.1 Classroom Verbal Interaction	8
2.1.2 Teacher and Student Talk	11
2.1.3 Verbal Input in Second Language Classroom	19
2.2 Previous Study	20

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	
3.1 The Design	22
3.2 Subjects of the Study	23
3.3 Source of the data and data	24
3.4 The instruments	24
3.5 Data collection procedure	26
3.6 Data analysis techniques	27
CHAPTER IV THE FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 The Findings	36
4.1.1 Forms of Classroom Interaction	36
4.1.1.1 Teacher I Forms of Classroom Interaction	37
4.1.1.2 Teacher II Forms of Classroom Interaction	38
4.1.1.3 Teacher III Forms of Classroom Interaction	40
4.1.1.4 Teacher IV Forms of Classroom Interaction	41
4.1.2 Commonly Used Form of Classroom Interaction	43
4.1.3 Patterns of Classroom Interaction	45
4.1.3.1 Teacher I Patterns of Classroom Interaction	46
4.1.3.2 Teacher II Patterns of Classroom Interaction	54
4.1.3.3 Teacher III Patterns of Classroom Interaction	62
4.1.3.4 Teacher IV Patterns of Classroom Interaction	73
4.1.4 Commonly Used Patterns of Classroom Interaction	81
4.2 Discussion of the findings	82

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION					
5.	Conclusion	88			
5.2	2 Suggestion	89			
5.2	2.1 Suggestion for the second language classroom interaction	89			
5.2	2.2 Suggestion for further research	90			
Bl	BIBLIOGRAPHY				
Al	PPENDIX				
1.	Transcription of Teacher I	91			
2.	Transcription of Teacher II	120			
3.	Transcription of Teacher III	142			
4.	Transcription of Teacher IV	166			
5.	Observation Sheet of Teacher I	190			
6.	Observation Sheet of Teacher II	192			
7.	Observation Sheet of Teacher III	194			
8.	Observation Sheet of Teacher IV	196			
9.	Interview Sheet of Teacher I	197			
10	. Interview Sheet of Teacher II	198			
11	. Interview Sheet of Teacher III	199			
12	. Interview Sheet of Teacher IV	200			
13	. Calculation of the Findings	201			