

Chapter 5

Conclusion

Studies analyzing the mobile technology's use in the different aspects of language learning have supported the idea that mobile technology can enhance learners' second and foreign language acquisition. Learners' attitudes towards technologies, their intention to use it, and the various actual uses of mobile technology integrated in their second and foreign language learning is a dominating research focus (Chang & Hsu, 2011; Cheng et al., 2010 etc.). The impact of mobile technology on language learning has often been measured by individuals' stated perceptions. This exemplifies what Orlikowski & Iacono (2001) call the *proxy* view of technology.

Generally, it has been found that the teenagers have a positive attitude towards using mobile devices for educational purposes due to its convenience; however, most of them did not use the mobile devices for learning consistently. The learning performed by them was done occasionally. Teenagers lack self-direction and need to be shown the advantages and the art of practicing ubiquitous learning by using the mobile devices. Mobile devices can be used by teenagers as an extensive tool in assisting both their formal and informal learning activities. The volume of usage somehow maintained but the activities shifted from one to the other among the teenagers. Teenagers are great explorers. Young teenagers are still fumbling and exploring technology devices. They are looking to the extent of which the technology devices could bring pleasure to them. They do not keep the same interests for long but most of them "dug deep" into other type of interests in using their mobile devices. They have a tendency to look for newer and interesting sites and videos to keep themselves occupied. On the other hand, the role of the teachers in schools was found irreplaceable by mobile devices according to male teenagers;

however, female teenagers obtained some good learning experiences online and they claimed that those learning platforms are more attractive than the teachers at schools. This study suggests that mobile devices can be used to design short-span learning activities or apps for teenagers. Aspects such as engagement, usability and interestingness should be included in the study activities to prolong the teenager attachment to them. Furthermore, there are not many studies focuses on teenagers and mobile devices especially in Asian countries. Thus, more research can be carried out to find out how mobile learning can be integrated in the learning activities for teenagers rather than just let the mobile devices to serve as a social and entertainment tools.

There have been several efforts to create an evaluative framework for apps and mobile language learning projects. Martín Monje, Arús-Hita, Rodríguez-Arancón, and Calle-Martínez (2014) and Moreno and Traxler (2016) propose evaluative systems which link mobile apps to CEFR standards (Common European Framework of Reference for Languages). Zervas and Sampson (2014) outline a framework with which to categorize (as well as to adapt) OER for mobile use. Reinders and Pegrum (2015) recommend an approach that focuses on effective learning design, proposing to examine the extent to which MALL applications correspond to general pedagogical principles, with specific attention to L2 learning and accepted SLA theories. They list an additional category, affective principles, which counts less than the others in their scoring system. Rosell-Aguilar (2017) points out that many apps are designed for a very specific purpose and may not meet all the criteria in a checklist, yet still present a valuable learning opportunity. It is not necessarily the case, as Rosell-Aguilar points out, that the more criteria an app meets, the better it is. He provides evaluative frameworks for different kinds of apps, considering separately apps designed for language learning (e.g., HelloTalk or Rosetta Stone), general apps usable for language learning (writing, podcasts, texting, flashcards, reading), and

dictionary or translator apps. For apps intended for language learning, the author suggests four overriding criteria: technology and design, pedagogy, user experience, and language learning potential. (Kukulska-Hulme, 2009, p. 161) Moreno and Traxler (2016) point out that teachers tend to favor pedagogical approaches they themselves encountered in their education. They therefore propose a mobile-delivered MOOC for language teachers. This might help them rethink the use of MALL activities. Having teachers themselves engage in actual Robert Godwin-Jones 13 learning with mobile devices is likely necessary to effect change. Rosell-Aguilar (2017) suggests several areas that would benefit from further research. One important area is to look beyond mobile device use in the classroom toward an examination of how learners engage in mobile learning in the wild. This might involve looking at combinations of apps that are effective or at which apps can most effectively support different skills (sometimes beyond the stated intended use). Important as well are examples of how mobile-based informal learning can be integrated into instructed language learning. Useful too would be more longitudinal studies, following students' language learning through time, with an examination of mobile and other tool and services used.

Recommendations for Practitioners

The research findings can contribute to both teachers and students who conduct and participate in foreign language courses, by helping them examine the possibility of combining mobile learning with a traditional face-to-face course. Moreover, the findings can assist developers of mobile learning applications, in order to include *gamification* options in the process of learning.

The analysis reveals that smartphones bring the substantial potentials and should be optimized for learning through systematic and purposeful activities properly designed for school learning-related activities. The problem, therefore, lies on the extent to which the school policy makers, or school curriculum designers would consider integrating the smartphone academic-related activities into the school curricula through explicit, structured and measurable classroom activities. With that in mind, the writer would suggest that anyone concerns with the strategic importance of smartphone for English language learning should take it seriously for the best possible advantage of the future activities of learning and teaching through a systematic attempt to incorporate the technological gadgets into the school curricula. They have to implement these technological innovations in their curriculum, without losing their control over the classroom. For example, there are several school apps and smart apps for preschoolers available that make the process of learning and teaching really simple. The future of education is clearly going to be personalized-tech infused learning.

Recommendation for Future Researchers

Researchers in the fields of educational smartphone applications and m-learning need to understand the factors enhancing the learning process, in order to develop the next generations of m-learning applications. Further examination is needed in different cultures, in order to understand if the findings are universal.

REFERENCES

- Al-Fahad, F.N. Students' attitudes and perceptions towards the effectiveness of mobile learning in King Said. University, Saudi Arabia. Turki. *Online J. Educ. Technol.* 2009, 8, 111–119.
- Anom (2010). *Concept of Constructivism. Workshop: Constructivism as a Paradigm for Teaching and Learning.* Retrieved from <http://www.thirteen.org/edonline/concept2class/constructivism>
- Attewell, J. (2005b). From research and development to mobile learning: Tools for Education and Training Providers and Their Learners. Retrieved from <http://www.mlearn.org.za/CD/papers/Attewell.pdf>
- Balanskat, A., Blamire, R., & Kefala, S (2006). *The ICT impact report: a review of studies of ICT impact on schools in Europe, European Schoolnet*
- Barcelon, B. (2010). *The life of generation z.* Retrieved from <http://teenlife.blogs.pressdemocrat.com/10220/the-life-of-generation-z/>
- Barfield, A. & Brown, S.H (Eds.) (2007). *Reconstructing autonomy in language education: inquiry and innovation* (pp. 231-242). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Battro, A.M. (2004). *Digital skills, globalization and education.* 78-96.
- Baylor, A. L. & Ritchie, D. (2002). What factors facilitate teacher skill, teacher morale, and perceived student learning in technology-using classrooms? *Computers and Education, Vol.39*, pp.395-414.
- Becta (2004). *A review of the research literature on barriers to the uptake of ICT by teachers.* Retrieved from <http://www.becta.org.uk>

- Beetham, H., McGill, L., & Littlejohn, A. (2009). *Thriving in the 21st century: Learning Literacies for the Digital Age (LLiDA project)*. Glasgow: the Caledonian Academy, Glasgow Caledonian University
- Beggs, T. A. (2000). *Influences and barriers to the adoption of instructional technology*. Paper presented at Mid-South Instructional Technology Conference proceedings, Murfreesboro, TN.
- Bei Zhang, A. (2010). The integration of mastery learning in English as a second language (ESL) instruction. *International Journal of Instructional Media*, 37 (1), 91-102.
- Benson, P. (1997). The philosophy and politics of learner autonomy. In P. Benson & P. Voller (Eds.), *Autonomy and independence in language learning* (pp. 18-34). London: Longman.
- Benson, P. (2006). *Autonomy in language teaching and learning*. *Language Teaching*, 40 (1), 21-40.
- Benson, P. (2011). *Teaching and researching autonomy in language learning* (2nd ed.). Harlow: Longman.
- Bloom, B.S. (1968/81). Learning For Mastery, (UCLA-CSEIP). *The Evaluation Comment*, 1(2). In B.S. Bloom (Ed) All Our Children Learning. London: McGraw-Hill.
- Britt, D. (2013). *Health risks of using mobile phone*. Retrieved from <http://source.southuniversity.edu/health-risks-of-using-mobile-phones-137310.aspx>
- Buszard-Welcher, L. (2001). Can the web help save my language?. *The green book of language revitalization in practice*, p. 331-45.
- Burston, J. (2013). Mobile-assisted language learning: A selected annotated bibliography of implementation studies 1994-2012. *Language, Learning & Technology*, 17(3), 157.

- Chan Lin, L., Hong, J., Horng, J., Chang, S., & Chu, C 2006, Factors influencing technology integration in teaching: A Taiwanese perspective, *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, Vol. 43, no.1, pp .57–68.
- Chang, C.-K., & Hsu, C.-K. (2011). A mobile-assisted synchronously collaborative translation–annotation system for English as a foreign language (EFL) reading comprehension. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 24 (2), 155-180. doi:10.1080/09588221.2010.536952
- Chi-Yen, C.(2015). *Smartphones on college student's life and English learning experiences*. National Formosa University
- Chinnery, G. M. (2006). Emerging technologies. Going to the mall: mobile assisted language learning. *Language learning & technology*, 10 (1), 9-16.
- Coghlan, B. F. (2004). *Addressing the barriers to technology interaction: A case study of a rural school*. Unpublished PhD Dissertation, Department of Curriculum and Instruction, Mississippi State University, Mississippi.
- Cresswell, J. W. (2008). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Currie, D. (2005). *Collecting primary data*. In D. Currie (Ed.), *Developing and Applying Study Skills* (pp. 89). London: Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development
- Dam, L. (1995). *From theory to classroom practice*. Dublin: Authentik.
- Dawes, L. (2001). What stops teachers using new technology? In M Leask (ed.), *Issues in Teaching using ICT*, Routledge, London.

Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and education: An introduction to the philosophy of education*. New York: MacMillan.

Douglas, M. (2015). *Sources of data*. Retrieved on 22nd September, 2017 from <http://www.onlineetymologydictionary/data>

Du Plessis, N. (2011). *Social Media in Higher Education: The case of Facebook*. Vaal University of Technology, North-West University: Vaal Campus. September 2011.

Duffy, T. M. & Jonassen, D. H. (1992). *Constructivist and the technology of instruction: A conversation*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Esch, E. (1998). Promoting learner autonomy: Criteria for the selection of appropriate methods. In R. Pemberton, E. S. L. Li, W. W. F. Or & H. D. Pierson (Eds.), *Taking control: Autonomy in language learning* (pp. 35-48). Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press.

Facer K, Sutherland, R, Furlong, R, Furlong, J (2003, forthcoming) *Screen Play: Children and Computers in the Home*. London: RoutledgeFalmer

Fang, X., & Warschauer, M. (2004). Technology and curricular reform in China: A case study, *TESOL Quarterly*, Vol.38, no.2, pp. 301-321

Fudin, S. (2012). *Gen Z & what does it mean in your classroom?* USC Rossier Online.

Retrieved from [https://rossieronline.usc.edu/gen-z-what-does-it-mean-in-your-classroom-](https://rossieronline.usc.edu/gen-z-what-does-it-mean-in-your-classroom-2)

[2](#)

Galla, C. (2009). Indigenous language revitalization and technology from traditional to contemporary domains. *Indigenous Language Revitalization: Encouragement, Guidance & Lessons Learned* (pp. 167-182). Northern Arizona University

Gardner, H. & Davis, K. (2013). *The App Generation: How today's Youth Navigate Identity, Intimacy, and Imagination in a Digital World* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2013)

- Gips, A., Di Mattia, P., & Gips, J. (2004). The effect of assistive technology on educational costs: Two case studies', in K. Miesenberger, J. Klaus, W. Zagler, D. Burger (eds.), *Computers Helping People with Special Needs, Springer* (pp. 20-213)
- Godwin-Jones, R. (2000). Emerging Technologies: Literacies and Technology Tools/Trends. *Special Issue Literacies and Technologies Vol. 04*, no. 2, September 2000.
- Godwin-Jones, R. (2011). *Emerging technologies: Mobile apps for language learning. Language Learning & Technology*, 15(2), 2-11. 85.
- Gounder (2011). *What is the potential impact of using mobile devices in higher education: Proceedings of SIG GlobDev Fourth Annual Workshop, Shanghai, China: Retrieved from: <http://www.globdev.org/files/Shanghai%20Proceedings/14%20REVISED%20Goundar%20Using%20Mobile%20Devices%20in%20Education%20-%20Final.pdf>*
- Gray, A. (1997). Constructivist Teaching and Learning. *SSTA Research Centre Report*, 97-07
- Guo, H. (2014). Analysing and Evaluating Current Mobile Applications for Learning English Speaking, Birkbeck, University of London. In *British Council ELT Master's Dissertation Awards Recommendation*.
- Halliwell, Susan. 1992. *Teaching English in the Primary Classroom*. New York: Longman Publishing.
- Harmon, J. (2012). Unlock literacy with iPads. *Learning and Leading with Technology*, 39 (pp.30-31).
- Harris, D. P. (1969). *Testing English as a Second Language*. McGraw-Hill Publisher
- Healey, D., Hegelheimer, V., Hubbard, P., Iannou-Georgiou, S., Kessler, G., Ware, P. (2008). TESOL technology standards framework. *Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages, Inc. (TESOL)*. P. 8.

- Hewagamage, K.P. (2011). *m-Learning not an extension of e-Learning, based on a case study of moodle VLE*: MLearn 2011, 10th world Conference on Mobile and Contextual Learning.
- H.G. Widdowson, H. G. (1983). *Learning Purpose and Language Use*. Oxford University Press
- Hinton, L. (2001). *Language revitalization: An overview*. The green book of language revitalization in practice, (pp. 3-18).
- Hockly, N. (2013). Mobile learning. *ELT Journal*, 67, (pp. 80-84).
- Hodas, S. (1993). Technology refusal and the organizational culture of schools, *Educational Policy Analysis Archives*, Vol. 1, no.10. Retrieved from <http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v1n10.html>
- Holbrook, A. L., Green, M. C., Krosnick, J. A. (2003). Telephone versus face-to-face interviewing of national probability samples with long questionnaires comparisons of respondent satisficing and social desirability response bias. Retrieved from: <https://web.stanford.edu/dept/communication/faculty/krosnick/docs/2003/2003%20NES%20Mode%20Paper.pdf>
- Holec, H. (1981). *Autonomy in foreign language learning*. Oxford: Pergamon. Lamb, T. E., & Reinders, H. (Eds.), (2008). *Learner and teacher autonomy: Concepts, realities, and responses*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Holec, H. (2008). Foreword. In T. E. Lamb & H. Reinders (Eds.), *Learner and teacher autonomy: Concepts, realities, and responses* (pp. 3-4). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Hong, J. C., Hwang, M. Y., Tai, K. H., & Chen, Y. L. (2014). Using calibration to enhance students' self-confidence in English vocabulary learning relevant to their judgment of over-confidence and predicted by smartphone self-efficacy and English learning anxiety. *Computers & Education*, 72, 313-322.

- Hossain, M. E., & Ahmed, S. M. Z. (2016). Academic use of smartphones by university students: A developing country perspective. *The Electronic Library*, 34(4), 651-665.
- Houser, C., & Thornton, P. (2005). Poodle: A course-management system for mobile phones. In H. Ogata, Sharples, M., Kinshuk, & Yano, Y. (Eds.), *Proceedings of IEEE International workshop on wireless and mobile technologies in education*, Tokushima, Japan.
- Hoven, D., & Palalas, A. (2011). (Re)conceptualizing design approaches for mobile language learning. *CALICO Journal*, 28(3), 699-720. doi:10.11139/cj.28.3.699-720
- Hubbard, P. (2004). The challenge of learner training for CALL. In S. Fotos & C. M. Browne (Eds.), *New perspectives on CALL for second language classrooms (Chapter 6)*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Hughes, J. (2005). The role of teacher knowledge and learning experiences in forming technology-integrated pedagogy. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 13(2), 277-302.
- Ismail, A., & Almekhlafi, A. G. (2010). Teachers' perceptions of the use of technology in teaching languages in United Arab Emirates' schools, *International Journal for Research in Education*, Vol. 27, (pp. 37-56).
- Jacobsen, D. M., & Lock, J. V. (2005). Technology and teacher education for a knowledge era: Mentoring for student futures, not our past. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, Vol.12, no.1, (pp. 75-87).
- Kane, S. (2013). *Smartphone Addiction: Will U.S. go the way of South Korea?* *Addiction Treatment Magazine*. Retrieved from <https://www.addiction.com/3321/smartphone-addiction-u-s-south-korea/>
- Kern, R., Ware, P. and Warschauer, M. (2008). Network-based language teaching, in Van Deusen-Scholl, N and Hornberger, NH (eds.), *Encyclopedia of Language and Education*,

- 2nd edition, Volume 4.* New York: Springer Science+Business Media LLC.
- Kim, H., & Kwon, Y. (2012). Exploring smartphone applications for effective mobile-assisted language learning. *Multimedia-Assisted Language Learning*, 16(1), 31-57.
- Kinash, S., Brand, J., & Mathew, T. (2012). Challenging mobile learning discourse through research: Student perceptions of Blackboard Mobile Learn and iPads. *Australasian journal of educational technology*, 28, (pp.639-655).
- King, K. P, 2003. *Keeping pace with technology: Educational technology that transforms – The challenge and promise for higher education faculty*, Hampton Press, Cresskill, NJ.
- Krashen, S (1981) *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. London: Prentice-Hall International.
- Krashen, S. D. (1989). We acquire vocabulary and spelling by reading: Additional evidence for the input hypothesis. *Modern Language Journal*, 73, (pp.440 – 64).
- Krzanowzki, M. (2007). *Successful Learner Autonomy and Learner Independence in Self-Directed Learning*. Retrieved from <http://www.slideshare.net/markkski1/successful-learner-autonomy-and-learner-independence-in-selfdirected-learning>
- Kukulska-Hulme, A. (2007). Mobile Usability in educational contexts : What have we learnt? *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 8(2), 1-16.
- Kukulska-Hulme, A. (2009). Will mobile learning change language learning? *ReCALL*, 21(2), (pp. 157-165).
- Kukulska-Hulme, Agnes (2012). Mobile Learning and the Future of Learning. *International HETL Review*, 2, (pp. 13–18).
- Kukulska-Hulme, A., & Shield, L. (2008). An overview of mobile assisted language learning:

- from content delivery to supported collaboration and interaction. *ReCALL*, 20(3), 271-289.
doi:10.1017/S0958344008000335
- Kukulska-Hulme, A., Gaved, M., Paletta, L., Scanlon, E., Jones, A., & Brasher, A. (2015). Mobile incidental learning to support the inclusion of recent immigrants. *Ubiquitous Learning: An International Journal*, 7(2), 9–21.
- Kurtz, Lindsey M. (2012). Learning from twenty-first century second language learners: A case study in smartphone use of language learners. *Graduate Theses and Dissertations*. P.8, presented at the i4j Summit, March, 2013, P.7
- Lai, C. C., & Kritsonis, W. A. (2006). The advantages and disadvantages of computer technology in second language acquisition', Doctoral Forum: *National Journal for Publishing and Mentoring Doctoral Student Research*, Vol. 3, no. 1, (pp. 1-6).
- Laforest, J. (2009). *Safety Diagnosis Tool Kit for Local Communities, Guide to Organizing Semi-Structured Interviews With Key Informants*. Québec, Institut national de santé publique du Québec, 2009 Vol 11
- Laurillard, D. (2007). *Designing for connectedness: principles for e-learning*. Rethinking the Teaching of Science H, 806.
- LeLoup, J. W., & Ponterio, R. (2000). Literacy: reading on the net. *Language Learning & Technology* Vol. 4(2), (pp. 5-10).
- Levy, M., & Kennedy, C. (2005). Learning Italian via mobile SMS. In A. Kukulska-Hulme & J. Traxler (Eds.), *Mobile learning: A handbook for educators and trainers* (pp. 76-83). London: Taylor and Francis.
- Little, D. (1991). *Learner autonomy: Definitions, issues and problems*. Dublin: Authentik.

- Little, D., Ridley, J., & Ushioda, E. (Eds.). (2003). *Learner autonomy in the foreign language classroom: Teacher, learner, curriculum and assessment*. Dublin: Authentik.
- Lys, F. (2013). The development of advanced learner oral proficiency using iPads. *Language Learning & Technology*, 17, (pp. 94–116).
- Martín Monje, E., Arús-Hita, J., Rodríguez-Arancón, P., Calle- Martínez, C. (2014). REALL: Rubric for the evaluation of apps in language learning. *Actas de las Jornadas Internacionales Tecnología Móvil e Innovación en el Aula: Nuevos Retos y Realidades Educativas*. Retrieved from <http://eprints.ucm.es/25096/>
- Martinez-Cobo, J. (2010). Definition of indigenous peoples. *Netherlands Centre for Indigenous Peoples (NCIV)*. N.p., 1 Nov. 2010. Web. 25 Mar. 2013. Retrieved from <http://indigenouspeoples.nl/indigenous-peoples/definition-indigenous>.
- McCoston, K.M. (June 2005). *Tips for Collecting, Reviewing, and Analyzing Secondary Data*. Partnership & Household Livelihood Security Unit, CARE. Retrieved from <http://pqdl.care.org/Practice/DME%20-%20Tips%20for%20Collecting,%20Reviewing%20and%20Analyzing%20Secondary%20Data.pdf>
- McClanahan, B., Williams, K., Kennedy, E., & Tate, S. (2012). A breakthrough for Josh: How use of an iPad facilitated reading improvement. *TechTrends*, 56, 20-28.
- McGrail, E. (2005). Teachers, technology, and change: English teachers' perspectives, *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, Vol. 13, no.1, (pp. 5-14).
- McNeal, T., & van't Hooft, M. (2006). Anywhere, anytime: Using mobile phones for learning. *Journal of the Research Center for Educational Technology* 2(2) 24-31.

- Mesh, L. J. (2010). Collaborative language learning for professional adults. *Electronic Journal of E-learning*, 8(2).
- Mike, D 1996, 'Internet in the schools: A literacy perspective', *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, vol.40, no. 1, (pp. 1-13).
- Mills, J., Bonner, A. & Francis, K. (2006). *The development of constructivist grounded theory*. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 5(1), (pp. 25–35).
- Moreno, A. I., & Traxler, J. (2016). MALL-based MOOCs for language teachers: Challenges and opportunities. *Porta Linguarum Monograph*, 1, 73–85.
- N Davie, T Hilber (2015) *Mobile-assisted Language Learning: Student Attitudes to using Smartphones to learn English Vocabulary*. In 11th International Conference Mobile Learning 2015
- Orlikowski, W.J., and Iacono, S. (2001) Research Commentary: Desperately Seeking the 'IT' in IT Research: A Call to Theorizing the IT Artifact, in: *Information Systems Research*, 2001b, (pp. 121-134).
- Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., Glazewski, K. D., Newby, T. J., & Ertmer, P. A. (2010). Teacher value beliefs associated with using technology: *Addressing professional and student needs*. *Computers & Education*, 55, (pp. 1321-1355).
- Oxford, R. L. (2003). Toward a more systematic model of L2 learner autonomy. In D. Palfreyman & R. C. Smith (Eds.), *Learner autonomy across cultures: Language Education Perspectives* (pp. 75-91). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Palfreyman, D. (2003). Introduction: Culture and learner autonomy. In D. Palfreyman & R. C. Smith (Eds.), *Learner autonomy across cultures: Language education perspectives* (pp. 1-19). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

- Palfreyman, D., & Smith, R. C. (2003). *Learner autonomy across cultures: Language education perspectives*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Palomo-Duarte, M., Berns, A., Doderer, J. M., & Cejas, A. (2014). Foreign language learning using a gamified APP to support peer-assessment. In F. J. García-Peñalvo (Ed.), *Proceedings TEEM'14. Second international conference on technological ecosystems for enhancing multiculturalism*, Salamanca, Spain, October 1st - 3rd, 2014 (pp. 381-386). Salamanca: ACM. doi:10.1145/2669711.2669927
- Pemberton, R., Toogood, S., & Barfield, A. (Eds.), (2009). *Maintaining control: Autonomy and language learning*. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press.
- Pemberton, R., Li, E. S. L., Or, W. W. F., & Pierson, H. D. (Eds.), (1996). *Taking control: Autonomy in language learning*. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press.
- Phillips, M. (2010) The perceived value of videoconferencing with primary pupils learning to speak a modern language. *The Language Learning Journal* 38/2, (pp. 221–238).
- Platform (2006). *Definition from the Tech Terms Computer Dictionary*. Retrieved from <http://www.techterms.com/definition/platform>.
- Pure Oxygen Labs (2013). *How many apps are in each app store?* Retrieved from <http://www.Pureoxygenmobile.Com/how-many-apps-in-each-appstore/#sthash.Nn5og8lr.Dpuf>
- Ramirez, L. (2010) *Empower English language learners with tools from the web*. London: Corwin. Guidance & Lessons Learned, 167-182.
- Reilly, P. (2012). *Understanding and Teaching Generation Y*. English Teaching Forum. Number 1 year 2012. Retrieved from

[https://americanenglish.state.gov/files/ae/resource_files/reilly_understanding_and_teaching_generation_y.](https://americanenglish.state.gov/files/ae/resource_files/reilly_understanding_and_teaching_generation_y)

Reinders, H., & Pegrum, M. (2015). Supporting language learning on the move. An evaluative framework for mobile language learning resources. In B. Tomlinson (Ed.), *Second language acquisition research and materials development for language learning* (pp. 116–141). London, UK: Taylor & Francis.

Richards, C. (2000). Hypermedia, internet communication, and the challenge of redefining literacy in the electronic age. *Language Learning & Technology*, 4(2), (pp. 59-77).

Richardson, W (2012) *Why school?* New York: TED Conferences

Rosen L. (2015). Relax, Turn Off Your Phone, and Go to Sleep. *Harvard Business Review*. Retrieved from <https://hbr.org/2015/08/research-shows-how-anxiety-and-technology-are-affecting-our-sleep>

Rosell-Aguilar, F. (2017). State of the app: A taxonomy and framework for evaluating language learning mobile applications. *CALICO Journal*, 34(2), 243–258.

Rothman, D. (2016). Tsunami of learners called Generation Z. Retrieved from http://www.mdle.net/Journal/A_Tsunami_of_Learners_Called_Generation_Z.pdf

Russell, M., Bebell, D., O'Dwyer, L., & O'Connor, K. (2003). Examining teacher technology use: Implications for preservice and inservice teacher preparation. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 54(4), (pp. 297-310).

Rutherford, W. (1987). *Second language grammar: learning and teaching*. London: Longman

Sarıçoban, A. 1999. The teaching of listening. *The Internet TESL Journal* 5 (12). Retrieved from <http://iteslj.org/Articles/Saricoban-Listening.html>

- Schwab, R. L. & Foa, L. (2001). Integrating technologies throughout our schools, *PHI Delta Kappan*, Vol. 82, (pp. 620-626).
- Sinclair, B. (2000). Learner autonomy: The next phase? In B. Sinclair, I. McGrath & T. Lamb (Eds.), *Learner autonomy, teacher autonomy: Future directions* (pp. 4-14). Harlow: Longman.
- Steel, C. (2012). Fitting Learning into Life: Language Student's Perspectives on Benefits of Using Mobile Apps. *Proceedings of Ascilite 2012*, 875-880.
- Piaget, J. (1973). *Psychology and Epistemology: Towards a Theory of Knowledge*. Record: Rio de Janeiro.
- Romano, M. T. (2003). *Empowering teachers with technology: Making it happen*. Scarecrow Press, Oxford.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2007) *Research Methods for Business Students. 4th Edition*. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Edinburgh Gate, Harlow.
- Selwyn, N (2013) *Education in a digital world: Global perspectives on technology and education*. London: Routledge.
- Song, Y., & Fox, R. (2008). Using PDA for undergraduate student incidental vocabulary testing. *European Association for Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 20(3), (pp. 290–314).
- Stern, R. (2014). *Generation Z, Teachers-how's today's "creative classroom" working for you?* Retrieved from <http://www.chicagonow.com/gifted-matters/2014/05/generation-z-teachers-howstodays-creative-classroom-working-for-you>
- Stockwell, G. (2008). Investigating learner preparedness for and usage patterns of mobile learning. *ReCALL*, 20(3), (pp. 253–270).
- Stockwell, G. (2010). Using mobile phones for vocabulary activities: Examining the

- Effect of the platform. *Language Learning & Technology*, 14(2), (pp. 95-110 &133)
- Stockwell, G., & Hubbard, P. (2013). *Some emerging principles for mobile-assisted language learning. The International Research Foundation for English Language Education* (pp. 1-15). Retrieved from <http://www.tirfonline.org/english-in-the-workforce/mobile-assistedlanguage-learning/some-emerging-principles-for-mobile-assisted-language-learning/>
- Styring, J. (2015). *Engaging generation Z*. Podcast retrieved from <http://www.cambridge.org/elt/blog/2015/04/engaging-generation-z-james-styring/>
- Suratno, A., Murniati, C. T., Aydawati, E. N. (2014) Student's Perceptual Beliefs about the Information Technology for Language. In: *TEFLIN International Conference*, Universitas Sebelas Maret Surakarta-Indonesia.
- Swain, M. (1985). Communicative competence: Some roles of comprehensible input and comprehensible output in its development', in Gass, S, Madden, C (eds) *Input in Second Language Acquisition*. Rowley, Mass. Newbury House.
- Swift, S. (2011). Developing Learner Autonomy. Retrieved from <https://teachnews.gr/glwssologia-didaktikh/item/231-developing-learner-autonomy>
- Symonds, W. C. (2000). Wired schools: A technology revolution is about to sweep America's classroom', *Business Week Online*. Retrieved from http://www.businessweek.com/2000/00_39/b3700121.htm
- Thornbury, S. (2006). *An A-Z of ELT*. Oxford: Macmillan.
- Terrell, S. S. (2011). Integrating online tools to motivate young English language learners to practice English outside the classroom. *International Journal of Computer-Assisted Language Learning and Teaching (IJCALLT)* 1/2: (pp. 16–24).

- Tiwari, A., Mehta, D. A., and Patidar, M. (2008). Design and Implementation of Raw Framework for Second Language Learning. In *Proceedings Second International Conference On Information Processing* (p. 298). IK International Pvt Ltd.
- User Interface. 2006. Definition from the Tech Terms Computer Dictionary. Retrieved from http://www.techterms.com/definition/user_interface
- Town, P. (2013). *Positive and negative effects of mobile phones*. Retrieved from <http://www.thephonetown.com/positive-and-negative-effects-of-mobile-phones/>
- Traxlor, J.: Defining Mobile Learning: *IADIS International Conference Mobile Learning 2005*: Retrieved from: http://iadis.net/dl/final_uploads/200506C018.pdf
- Wilson, J. (2012). *Your smartphone is a pain in the neck*. Cable News Network. Retrieved from <http://edition.cnn.com/2012/09/20/health/mobilesociety-neck-pain/>
- Valiquette, H. P. (1998). Community, professionals, and language preservation: First things first. *Endangered languages: What role for the specialist*, (pp. 107-112 & 134).
- Vu T. (2005). Advantages and Disadvantages of Using Computer', *VNU. Journal of Science, Foreign Languages, T.XXI, 2*, (pp. 61-66). Retrieved from <http://faculty.ksu.edu.sa/saad/Documents/advant%20and%20disadvant%20of%20CALL.pdf>
- Vieira, F. (Ed.), (2009). *Struggling for autonomy in language education*. Frankfurt: Peter Lang.
- Vygotsky, L.S. (1987). *Collected works*. R.W. Rieber & A.S. Carton (eds.), N. Minick (trans.). New York: Plenum.
- Walker, H. (2011). Evaluating the effectiveness of apps for mobile devices. *Journal of Special Education Technology, 26*(4), 59-63.
- Wang S.-G and Vásquez, C (2012) Web 2.0 and Second Language Learning: What Does

- the Research Tell Us? *CALICO Journal*, 29/3, (pp. 412–430)
- Warschauer, M. (2000). The death of cyberspace and the rebirth of CALL. *English Teachers' Journal*, 53(1), (pp. 61-67).
- Whyte, S. (2011) Learning to teach with videoconferencing in primary foreign language classrooms. *ReCALL*, 23/3, (pp. 271–293).
- Warschauer, M., & Meskill, C. (2000). Technology and Second Language Teaching and Learning, In J. Rosenthal (ed), *Handbook of Undergraduate Second Language Education*, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Wong, L.-H., Chin, C.-K., Tan, C.-L., & Liu, M. (2010). Students' personal and social meaning making in a Chinese idiom mobile learning environment. *Educational Technology & Society*, 13(4), (pp. 15–26).
- Woo, M., Chu, S., Ho, A., and Li, X. (2011). Using a wiki to scaffold primary-school students' collaborative writing. *Educational Technology & Society*, 14/1: (pp. 43–54).
- Wozney, L., Venkatesh, V., & Abrami, P. C. (2006). Implementing computer technologies: Teachers' perceptions and practices. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 14(1), (pp. 173-207).
- Wu, Q. (2014). Learning ESL Vocabulary with Smartphones. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 143, 302-307.
- Yager, R.E. (1991). The constructivist learning model: Towards real reform in science education. *The Science Teacher*, 58(6), (pp. 53-57).
- Yeager, C., Hurley-Dasgupta, B., & Bliss, C. A. (2013). CMOOCs and global learning: an authentic alternative. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 17(2).

- Zervas, P., & Sampson, D. G. (2014). Facilitating teachers' reuse of mobile assisted language learning resources using educational metadata. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 7(1), (pp. 6–16).
- Zhao, Y., Pugh, K., Sheldon, S., & Byers, J. L. (2002). Conditions for classroom technology innovations. *Teachers College Record*, 104(3), (pp. 482-515).
- Zilber, J. (2013). *Smartphone Apps for ESL' Teachers of English as a Second Language*. Association of Ontario, Canada. P.15.