

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

This chapter presents the conclusion and suggestions of this study based on the findings and discussions from the previous chapter.

1.1 Conclusion

This study aims to find out the English Department student' perception on the use of peer feedback in academic writing class. The participants of this study were 12 English Department who took Writing C in the academic year of 2018/2019. To find out the students' perceptions about peer feedback in Writing C, the respondents were asked to fill in the questionnaire which consisted of five categories about peer feedback in Writing C. After filling in the questionnaire, three representative were chosen to be interviewed.

The study shows the English Department students' perception on the use of peer feedback in academic writing was divided into five aspects. Students' perception on the scoring rubric, students' perception on giving constructive feedback, developing students' awareness, facilitating students' collaboration and developing students' critical thinking.

The result of this study showed 75% of the respondents agreed that they used the scoring rubric during the process of peer feedback. The scoring rubric helped them to give feedback easily to their peer. However, the students only used the scoring rubric at the beginning of doing peer feedback. The majority of the respondents 92% agreed that peer feedback helped them to learn how to give

constructive feedback. Also it helped the students provide each other with constructive feedback and make improvement in their writing. All of the respondents gave positive responses that peer feedback could develop the students' awareness. It helped them know their strength and weakness and it made the students become aware of making mistakes in their next writing. 96% of the respondents gave positive responses that peer feedback could facilitate them to do collaboration. All of the respondents gave positive responses that peer feedback helped the students to learn more about revision by reading their peer's work and peer feedback helped them to think critically.

1.2 Suggestions

The suggestions are divided into two parts. The first is addressed to the coordinator of writing course. And the second is addressed for future researcher with the similar topic.

1.2.1 For the Coordinator of Writing Course

The coordinator of the writing course can encourage all the lecturers to use peer feedback as one of the alternative techniques that can be used in the writing course since most of the students agreed about using peer feedback in writing course. If peer feedback is applied in writing course, the students can feel the benefits of using peer feedback in writing class. Perhaps peer feedback can be done in small group 1-2 students.

1.2.2 For the Future Researcher

In this research the researcher focused on finding out the students' perception on the use of peer feedback in college. The researcher hopes that the future researchers can conduct a research on different levels of students about what strategies that teacher should use, when the teacher wants to apply peer feedback in the classroom. Especially how to train the students to be an expert reviewers, considering many studies showed that peer feedback is beneficial for students to be applied in the classroom.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Altstaedter, L. L. (2014). Students' Perceptions of Peer Feedback. *Argentinian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 2(2). 60-76. Retrieved from [http://www.faapi.org.ar/ajal/issues/202/AltstaedterAJALVol2\(2\).pdf](http://www.faapi.org.ar/ajal/issues/202/AltstaedterAJALVol2(2).pdf)
- Andianto. (2016). *The Effectiveness of Peer Feedback Technique to Teach Writing Viewed from Students' Creativity*. Muhammadiyah Univeristy of Metro. Retrieved from <http://ojs.fkip.ummetro.ac.id/index.php/english/article/viewFile/698/562>
- Betram, D. (2016). *Likert Scale*. Retrieved from <http://poincare.matf.bg.ac.rs/~kristina/topic-dane-likert.pdf>
- Demuth, A. 2013. Perception theories. *Trnava: Edicia kognitivne studia*. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/30094149/Perception_Theories
- Diansyah, I. A. (2012). *Using Feedback in the English Learning Process as a Technique to Improve the Writing Ability of the Tenth Grade Students*. Yogyakarta State University. Retrieved from <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/33526582.pdf>
- Dörnyei, Z., & Ottó, I. (1998). Motivation in action: A process model of L2 motivation. *Working Papers in Applied Linguistics*, 4, 43–69.
- Farmawati, R. (2016). *The Students' Attitudes toward Teachers' Corrective Feedback in Learning Writing*. (Published thesis). The State Islamic Institute of Surakarta, Indonesia.
- Ferris, D. & Roberts, B. (2001). Error feedback in L2 writing classes: How explicit does it need to be? *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 10, 161-184.
- Ferris, D. (1999). The case for grammar correction in L2 writing classes: A response to Truscott. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 8(1), 1-11.
- Gozali, I. (2018). *Local vs Global Errors: Comprehensibility Judgment on the Speech of Indonesian Students by Native Speakers*. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Gabriel_Fajar_Sasmita_Aji/project/The-Concept-of-Home-in-VS-Naipauls-THE-ENIGMA-OF-ARRIVAL-Postcolonial-

[Studies/attachment/5afe6f0b4cde260d15df6526/AS:627520735043585@1526624010579/download/Proceedings+LOOW6%2C+May+8+%26+9%2C+Petra.pdf?context=ProjectUpdatesLog#page=160](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327520735043585/attachment/5afe6f0b4cde260d15df6526/AS:627520735043585@1526624010579/download/Proceedings+LOOW6%2C+May+8+%26+9%2C+Petra.pdf?context=ProjectUpdatesLog#page=160)

- Harmer, J. (2004). *How to Teach Writing*. Harlow: Pearson Longman.
- Hogue, A. (2008). *First Step in Academic Writing* (2nd ed). New York: Pearson Education.
- Hyland, K & Hyland, F. (2006). *Feedback in second language writing*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hyland, K. (2003). *Second Language Writing*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hyland, P. (2000). Learning from feedback on assessment, in: A. Booth and P. Hyland (eds). *The practice of university history teaching*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Kyoko, O. Y. (2016). Students' Perception on Giving Peer Feedback in EFL Writing and Its Implication. *Journal of Foreign Language Education*, 13. 85-105. Retrieved from http://koara.lib.keio.ac.jp/xoonips/modules/xoonips/download.php/AA12043414-20160000-0085.pdf?file_id=122297
- Lee, C. & Shzh, N. (2009). Written Peer Feedback by EFL Students: Praise, Criticism, and Suggestion. *Komaba Journal of English Education*. Retrieved from <http://park.itc.u-tokyo.ac.jp/eigo/KJEE/001/129-139.pdf>
- Lewis, M. 2002. *Giving feedback in language classes*. Singapore: SEAMO Regional Language Center.
- Lila, M. R. (2018). Students' Perception on Peer Feedback Activity in the Writing Class.
- Lundstorm, K., & Baker, W. (2009). To give is better than to receive: The Benefits of Peer Review to the Reviewer's Own Writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 18(1), 30-43. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2008.06.002>.
- Mayukha, T. U. (2018). *Students' Perceptions of Peer Review Implemented on Reflective Writing in ELT Course at IAIN Tulungagung*. State Islamic

- Institute (IAIN) of Tulungagung. Retrieved from <http://repo.iain-tulungagung.ac.id/8954/>
- National Geographic Learning* (3rd ed.). (2015). Retrieved from https://ngl.cengage.com/assets/downloads/greatwi_pro0000000335/gw3_unit2.pdf
- Nematzadeh & Siahpoosh. (2017). the Effect of Teacher Direct and Indirect Feedback on Iranian Intermediate EFL Learners' Written Performance. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Learning*, 3(5), 110-116. Retrieved from <http://www.sapub.org/global/showpaperpdf.aspx?doi=10.5923/j.jall.20170305.02>
- Novia, F. (2015). Promoting Picture Word Inductive Model (PWIM) to Develop Students' Writing Skill. *Premise Journal*, 4(1).
- Nunan, D. (2003). *Practical English Language Teaching* (ed.). Singapore: McGraw-Hill.
- Oshima A. & Hogue A. (2007). *Introduction to Academic Writing (3rd Edition)*. White Plains: Pearson Education. Inc.
- Rina. (2007). *Students' Perception on Peer Feedback in Writing*. Sanata Dharma University Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Retrieved from <https://repository.usd.ac.id/5365/1/021214073.pdf>
- Sembiring, G. S. (2017). Students' Response to Peer Feedback on Written Recount Texts in English Class at SMKN 1 1 Cangkringan. Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta. Retrieved from https://repository.usd.ac.id/12862/2/131214156_full.pdf
- Sultana, A. (2009). Peer Correction in ESL Classrooms. *BRAC University Journal*, 4(1), 11-19.
- Susanto, M. M. (2018). *The Perception of Students on the Use of Journal Writing in Writing IV Course Taught at the English Education Study Program*. (Published thesis). Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya, Indonesia. Retrieved from <http://repository.wima.ac.id/id/eprint/15091>

- Tamah, S. M. (2011). *Student Interaction in the Implementation of the Jigsaw Technique in Language Teaching*. PhD thesis, University of Groningen.
<https://www.rug.nl/research/portal/files/2541505/thesis.pdf>
- Tamah, S. M. (2013a). Teaching: It's Nature as Perceived by Student Teachers. *The New English Teacher Journal*, 7(2). 1-14.
- Tamah, S. M. (2013b). Multi-Structural Class: What and How It Is Perceived. *TEFLIN Journal*, 24(2). 201-219.
- Wibisono, P. N. (2019) *Cooperative group work: Student's perception*. Master Thesis, Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya.
- Yang, M., Badger, R., & Yu, Z. (2006). A comparative study of peer and teacher feedback in Chinese EFL writing class. *Journal of Second Language Learning*, 15(3), 179-200. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2006.09.004>
- Yatmikasari, I. (2017). Studi Komparatif Penulisan Background Skripsi Mahasiswa Bahasa Inggris. *Sukma: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 1(2), 359.