CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

This chapter consists of research summary to conclude and suggestion for English Departement Faculty of Teaching Training Education in Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya and for further research.

5.1 Conclusion

Having critical and analytical competence in comprehending academic text become requirement for college students who want to be success in obtaining further knowledge in their study. Critical and analytical competence can be constructed through critical reading which insists the readers to get involved in the reading text and build a personal communication with the text to think about, to generate critical questions, to predict, to investigate and to satisfy curiousity about what is being read. Critical reading does not merely involve literal comprehension but it requires the readers' high order thinking in questioning the reading text to obtain answers relating to the implicit information beyond reading. With regards to this requirement, the study proposes to examine: whether there is a relationship between students' beliefs in the importance of self-questioning and the productivity high order thinking questions generated in reader-text transaction.

The findings of Spearmans'Rho correlation reveal that there is no statistical significant correlation between students' positive beliefs in the importance of self-questioning strategy and high order thinking question productivity. As the opposite, the findings of Spearmans'Rho correlation notifies that there is a statistical significant correlation between students' negative beliefs in the importance of self-questioning strategy and high order thinking question productivity.

However, the insignificant statistic result does not mean that the study is meaningless, there is other consideration to analyse the significance of a relation. Cohen's effect size analysis supports the study to perceive the relation based on the magnitude of difference mean scores and standard deviations to measure the practical value of relation. This effect size measures the practical value of the research result.

The findings of Cohen's effect size calculation show that the relationship between the subjects' positive beliefs in self-questioning strategy and high order thinking question productivity contributes high practical significance. It implies that the size effect of promoting beliefs in the importance of self-questioning strategy toward students contributes high effect on the productivity of high order thinking questions in reader-text transaction. As the opposite, the findings of Cohen's effect size result on the relationship between students' negative beliefs in the importance of self-questioning strategy and HOT question productivity in reader-text transaction suggest low practical significance. It implies that teachers cannot expect high productivity of HOT questions in reader-text transaction if students have negative beliefs in the importance of self-questionning strategy.

Theoritically, beliefs are the root of learning which set goals to metacognitive and cognitive system to retain knowledge (Marzano, 2007). Beliefs control someone's thinking to agree or to ignore a particular task. Beliefs in reading as transaction process affirm reading as active process in which meaning is perceived as thought to be constructed because of complex transaction among the reader's schemata, knowledge, experience and the reading text. Questioning the author, reading purpose, some clues in the text crically before, during and after reading to think about, predict, investigate and seek answers implicitly assist students to be more critical in reading.

5.2 Recommendation

5.2.1 Learning Implication

The study suggests that reading comprehension does not merely relate to cognitive compentence in finding literal main ideas, supporting details, or summary of the text but it also relates to students' beliefs in what they read whether it is worth reading or whether it is easy to comprehend. According to Marzano (2008), beliefs control someone' thought in thinking process to attain a particular goal. Beliefs are the root of learning to instruct metacognitive and cognitive system to work. With regard to this notion, the study suggests that beliefs in the importance of self-questioning is necessary to be promoted because thinking is not driven by answers but by questions. By being aware and believing in the importance of self-questioning strategy to enhance reading comprehension achievement, students will continually practice in generating high order thinking questions before, during and after reading process. This will activate students' metacognition skill in regulating, monitoring and evaluating their cognitive in comprehending the reading text more critically.

Additionally, the study suggests that some other reading strategies are necessary to be continuously taught to students so they will be aware of several reading strategies to apply in comprehending a reading text. Steps by steps practices in

applying reading strategies in group discussion during reading class are also recommended to make students get used to applying the strategies. Finnally, students can be autonomous, strategic and critical readers.

5.2.1 Recommendation for Further Research

The research findings of this study require replication with larger sample to validate the result. The research weeknesses to collect larger sample size affect the research findings to be unstatistical significance because statistical significant finding depends on the sample size. Time of collecting data at the end of semester is also the weekness of this study where teachers rush the time to finish the learning material at several week before the semester ends while some students who are never absent decide to make use of their absent permission facilities. Accordingly, the study suggests other researches to collect data in the middle of semester where students and teachers do not catch up the time to finish the learning materials.

Further research is recommended to reveal some techniques to increase students high order thinking questions since the study found that students generate more low order thinking questions than high order thinking questions types. Few students generate high order thinking questions such as analytical, procedural, evaluational and creative type questions. Collaborating self-questioning strategy with other reading strategies reciprocally are also recommended to enhance critical and analytical comprehension.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ajideh, P. (2003). A Student's Existing Knowledge and New Knowlege Needed for Text Comprehension.

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching and Assessing: a Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy. New York: Longman Publishing.

Baker, L. (2009). *Metacognition*. Retrieved 2012, from Education.com: www.education.com

Ball, A., & Washburn, S. (2001). Teaching Students to Think: Practical Application of Bloom's Taxonomy. *Agricultural Education Magazine*.

Bandura, A. (1994). Self-Efficacy. In VS.Ramachaudran, *Encyclopedia of Human Behavior* (pp. 77-81). New York: Academic Press.

Barlett, F. C. (1932). *Remembering : A Study in Experimental and Social Psychology*. Cambridge University Press.

Brown, R., & El-Dinary, P. &. (1996). Balance comprehension instruction: Transactional strategies instruction. In I. E. M.Pressley, *Balanced instruction: Strategies and skills in Whole Language* (pp. 1772-192). Norwood,MA: Christopher-Gordon Publisher,Inc.

Chamot, A., Barnhardt, S., Dinary, E., P.B., & & Robbins, J. (1999). The Learning Strategies Handbook. White Plains, NY: Addison Wesley Longman.

Cohen, A. (1998). *Strategies in Learning and Using a Second Languange*. Harlow, England: Longman.

Cook, G. (1989). A Scheme for Teachers Education. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Dole, Duffy, Pearson, & Roehler. (1991). Moving from the Old to the New: Review of Educational Research.

Elkaumy. (2004). *Metacognition on Reading Comprehension Current Trends in Theory on Research*. Retrieved 201, from ERIC: www.eric.ed.gov

Fisher, D., Frey, N., & Williams, D. (2002). Seven Literacy Strategies That Work. *Educational Leadership*, 60 (3), 70-73.

Flavell. (1979). Metacognition and Cognitve Monitoring A New Era of Cognitive Development Inquiry. *American Psychologist*, *34* (10), 906-011.

Flavell, & Wellman. (1977). Perspective on the Development of Memory and Cognition. *The Development of Basic Memory Processess*.

Gough, P. (1972). Language by Ear and by Eye. In J. Kavanagh, & I.G.Mattingly, *One Second of Reading*. Cambridge: MA:MIT Press.

Haliliday, M. (1979). Language as Social Semiotic. London: Edward Arnold.

Hall, L. A. (2005). Teacher and Content Area Reading: Attitude, Beliefs and Change. *Teaching and Teacher Education*.

Hartati, C. M. (2009). The Effects of Students' Generated Questions Before Reading on The Reading Comprehension Achievement of The Management Students of Class H. *English Education Department Graduate School Widya Mandala Chatolic University*.

Hendrik, V. (2001). *Metacognition in Higher Education*. Phd Thesis, University of Twente, Enshede the Netherlands.

Hennessey, M. G. (1999). *Probing the Dimensions of Metacognition: Implications for Conceptual Change Teaching-Learning*. Boston,MA: Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Assossiation for Research in Sciency Technology.

Jack Mostow and Wei Chen. (2009). Generating Instruction Automatically for the Reading Strategy of Self-Questioning. *Project Listen, School of Computer Science Carnagie Melon University*.

Janssen, T., Braaksma, M., & Couzijn, M. (2009). Self-Questioning in The Literature Classroom: Effects on Students' Interpretation and Appreciation of Short Stories. *L1-Educational Studies in Language and Literature*.

Kabilan, M. K., Seng, M. K., & Kee, O. A. (2010). Reader-Text Transaction In Text Comprehension. *GEMA Online*TM *Journal of Language Studies* .

Kara-Soteriou, J. (2007). Exploring Student's Belief about Reading. *Academic Exchange Quarterly*.

Krathwohl, D. R. (2002). A Review of Bloom's Taxonomy: An Overview. *Theory into Practice*, 41 (4).

Landi, N. (2010, July). An Examination of the Relationship Between Reading Comprehension, Higher Level and Low Level Reading Sub Skills in Adult. *NIH Public Access Author Manuscripts*.

Law YK, C. S. (2008). Beliefs about Learning, Self-regulated Strategies and Text Comprehension among Chinese Children. *National Centre for Biotechnology Information*.

Lay, E. (2011). *Metacognition : A Literature Review*. Pearson.

Lay, Y. L., Tung, Y. J., & Luo, S. Y. (2008). Theory of Reading Strategies and its Application by EFL Learners.

Lie Xiai-hui, W. j.-h. (2007). Anaysis of Schema Theory and Its Influence on Reading. *US-China Foreign Language*, 5.

Liu, F. (2010). A Short Analysis of the Nature of Reading. *English Language Teaching*, 3 (3).

Marzano. (2007). Intel Corporation Program. Retrieved from http://www.marzano.pdf

Marzano, R. J., & Kendall, J. S. (2008). *Dessigning and Assessing Educational Objectives: Applying the New Taxonomy*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Massey, D. (2003). A Comprehension Checklist: What if it doesn't make sense? *The Reading Teacher*, 57 (1), 81-84.

Moore, L., & Rudd, R. (2002). Using Socratic Questioning in the Classroom. 75 (3). Agricultural Education Magazine.

Mueller, D. (1992). An Interactive Guide to Educational Research. Needham Heigths ,MA: Simon & Schuster.

Nuttall, C. (2005). *Teaching Reading Skils in a Foreign Language*. Oxford, UK: Macmillan .

P.Ilustre, C. A. (2011). Belief about Reading, Metacognition Reading Strategy & Text Comprehension Colleage Students in a Private University. *The Philippine ESL Journal The beginning of knowledge*, 7.

Peirce, W. (2003). *Metacognition: Study Strategies: Monitoring and Motivation*. United State.

Perfetti, C. (1985). Reading Ability. New York: Oxfort University Press.

Pinnel, F. &. (2000). Retrieved 2012, from Metacognition Strategies Benchmark Education Company.mht.

Pressley, M., & Afflerbach, P. (1995). *Verbal Protocols of Reading: The Nature of Constructive Responsive Reading*. Hillsdal N.J: Erbaum.

RemediosZ.Miciano. (2002). Self-Questioning and Prose Comprehension: A sample Case of ESL Reading. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 3.

Rosenblatt, L. M. (1978). *The Reader, the Text, the Poems: Transactional Theory of the Literary Work.* Carvbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press.

Ruddell, M. R. (2008). *Teaching Content Reading & Writing*. United States of America: John Willey & Sons.

Ruddell, M. (2001). *Teaching Content Reading and Writing*. New York: John Wiley & Son Inc.

Shang, H. F., & Chang-Cien, I.-J. (2010). The Effect of Self-Questioning Strategy on EFL Learners' Reading Comprehension Development. *The International Journal of Learning*, 17.

Sungingwati, D. (2009). Developing Teachers' and Students' Use of Self-Questioning Strategy in an English as a Foreign Language (EFL) Contex in Indonesia.

Taylor, S. (1999). Better Learning Through Better Thinking: Developing Students' Metacognitive Abilities. *Journal of College Reading and Learning*, 30 (1). Thompson, W., & Nicholson, T. (1993). *Reading Acquisition Processes*. England: Multilingual Matters Ltd.

Toboada, A., & Guthrie, J. T. (2006). Contributions of Student Questioning and Prior Knowledge to Construction of Kwoledge from Reading Information Text. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 38 (1).

William, G. M., Dun, M., Hinshaw, R., & Nelson, J. M. (2008). The Impact of Self-Questioning Strategy Use on Text-Reader Assisted Comprehension of Students with Reading Disabilities. *International Journal of Special Education*, 23 (1).

Wold, L. (1996). Explicit Instruction for Early Learners: Enchancing Reading Comprehension Using a Multiple Strategy Repertoire. In E. McyIntyre, & Pressley.M, *Balanced Instruction: Strategies and Skill a Whole Language* (pp. 213-227). Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publisher,Inc.