THE EFFECT OF USING GRAMMAR TRANSLATION METHOD (GTM) AND JIGSAW II IN TEACHING READING ON THE READING ACHIEVEMENT OF GRADE 10 STUDENTS

A THESIS



By Oei Yosephine Magdalena

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND
EDUCATION
WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
SURABAYA
JUNE 2019

THE EFFECT OF USING GRAMMAR TRANSLATION METHOD (GTM) AND JIGSAW II IN TEACHING READING ON THE READING ACHIEVEMENT OF GRADE 10 STUDENTS A THESIS

Presented to Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of *Sarjana Pendidikan* in Teaching English as a Foreign Language



By: Oei Yosephine Magdalena 1213015054

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND
EDUCATION
WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
SURABAYA
JUNE 2019

APPROVAL SHEET

(I)

This thesis entitled The Effect of Using Grammar Translation Method (GTM) and Jigsaw II in Teaching Reading on the Reading Achievement of Grade 10 Students prepared and submitted by Oei Yosephine Magdalena has been approved and accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirements for Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Department Language Teaching by the following advisor

Prof. Dr. Veronica L. Diptoadi, M.Pd. Thesis Advisor

APPROVAL SHEET

(II)

This thesis entitled The Effect of Using Grammar Translation Method (GTM) and Jigsaw II in Teaching Reading on the Reading Achievement of Grade 10 Students prepared and submitted by Oei Yosephine Magdalena (1213015054) has been EXAMINED and declared PASSED by the Board of Examiners.

Dr. V. Luluk Prijambodo, M.Pd. Chairperson

Dra. Susana Teopilus, M.Pd. Secretary Prof. Dr. Veronica L. Diptoadi, M.Pd. Member

Dr. V. Lutik Prijambodo, M.Pd. The Dean of Faculty of Teacher

Training and Education

The Head of the English Education

Study Program

SURAT PERNYATAAN

Bersama ini saya:	
Nama : Oei Yosephine, M	
Nomor Pokok : 1213015054	
Program Studi': Pendidikan Bahasa Ing	300
Jurusan : Pendidikan Bahasa dar	
Fakultas : Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan U	Inika widya Mandala Surabaya
Menyatakan dengan sesungguhnya bahwa skripsi The Effect of Using Grammar T and Jigsaw II in Teaching R Achieve ment of Grade 10. Stu	ranslation Method (GTM) eading on the Reading
1	
benar-benar merupakan hasil karya saya sendiri. plagiarisme, maka saya bersedia menerima sa pencabutan gelar yang telah saya peroleh. Demikianlah surat pernyataan ini saya buat	nksi berupa pembatalan kelulusan dan/atau
kesadaran.	dengan sesunggamiya dan dengan penan
*	2. 14: 22:8
	Surabaya, 28 Mei 2019
	Yang membuat pernyataan,
	REMPEL PA
	Oei, Yosephine.M.
Mengetahui:	D 1/ 1/ W
Dosen Pembimbing I/Tunggal,	Dosen Pembimbing II,
Venmen	

SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI KARYA ILMIAH

Vidya Mandala Surabaya. A Nama Mahasiswa	: Oei. Yosephine. Magdalena
C-3	1213015054
Nomor Pokok	· Bahasa Iriagris
Program Studi Pendidikan	· Pendidikan Bahasa dan Seni
Jurusan	: tendiairean bahaga dair bei
Fakultas	: Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidik an
Tanggal Lulus	: 11 Juni 2019.
longan ini SETILILUTIDAK SE	TUJU ⁿ Skripsi atau Karya Imiah saya,
udul:	C Tourist Morriad
The Ettect of Mil	ng brammar Iranslation reemod
	11
(6TM) and Jigrau	I in Teaching Reading on the
(6TM) and Jiggau Reading Achiever	If in Teaching Reading on the next of Grade 10 Students
(6TM) and Jigcau Reading Achiever	ng Grammar Translation Method If in Teaching Reading on the ment of Grode 10 Students
(6TM) and Jigrau Reading Achiever	of in Teaching Reading on the next of Grade 10 Students
Intuk dipuhlikasikan/ditampilka	ın di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan
Untuk dipublikasikan/ditampilka Universitas Katolik Widya Manc	ın di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan dala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas
Untuk dipublikasikan/ditampilka Universitas Katolik Widya Manc sesuai undang-undang Hak Cip	ın di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan dala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas ota yang berlaku.
Untuk dipublikasikan/ditampilka Universitas Katolik Widya Mand sesuai undang-undang Hak Cip Demikian surat pernyataan SE	n di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan dala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas da yang berlaku. TUJUTIDAK SETUJU ⁹ publikasi Karya Ilmiah ini saya
Untuk dipublikasikan/ditampilka Universitas Katolik Widya Manc sesuai undang-undang Hak Cip Demikian surat pernyataan SE	in di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan Jala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas Ita yang berlaku. TUJUTTIDAK SETUJU ⁹ publikasi Karya Ilmiah ini saya Surabaya, 12 Juni 2019
Untuk dipublikasikan/ditampilka Universitas Katolik Widya Manc sesuai undang-undang Hak Cip Demikian surat pernyataan SE	in di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan dala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas ota yang berlaku. TUJU/TIDAK SETUJU ⁹ publikasi Karya Ilmiah ini saya Surabaya, 12 Juni 2019 Yang menyatakan,
Untuk dipublikasikan/ditampilka Universitas Katolik Widya Manc sesuai undang-undang Hak Cip Demikian surat pernyataan SE	in di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan Jala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas Ita yang berlaku. TUJUTTIDAK SETUJU ⁹ publikasi Karya Ilmiah ini saya Surabaya, 12 Juni 2019
Untuk dipublikasikan/ditampilka Universitas Katolik Widya Manc sesuai undang-undang Hak Cip	in di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan dala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas ota yang berlaku. TUJU/TIDAK SETUJU ⁹ publikasi Karya Ilmiah ini saya Surabaya, 12 Juni 2019 Yang menyatakan,

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This thesis is one of the requirements that should be done by the writer so that she can finish her study in the English Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University. While doing her thesis, some people have contributed in this thesis. Therefore, I would like to say thank you. The first gratitude is for Jesus Christ who always gives the writer blessings and strength to do and finish this thesis. Furthermore, I would like to express her gratitude to the people who have supported her until this thesis is finished. Special thanks to:

- Prof. Dr. Veronica L.D, M.Sc., the writer's thesis advisor for her time, her support and her meaningful advices that help the writer finishing her thesis writing.
- 2. Dr. V. Luluk Prijambodo, M.Pd., the writer's student advisor and examiner, for his encouraging comments that help the writer revising her thesis writing.
- 3. Dra. Susana Teopilus, M. Pd., the writer's examiner, for her opinion and suggestion that help the writer to think and understand some parts in her thesis writing from different perspective.
- 4. The school which allowed the writer to do her research study.
- 5. All the classes for their participation in this research study: X-MIPA 1 and X-MIPA 2 and their cooperation during the teaching and learning process throughout the entire treatment.
- 6. The writer's parents, who always gives their support and time and who never get tired with the writer's complaint until she could finish her thesis writing completely.
- 7. Christian Wijaya, the writer's partner, for having the writer's back all the time and for his help when the writer's needed it most.

Sincerely,

Oei Yosephine M

ABSTRACT

Magdalena, Oei Yosephine. 2019. The Effect of Using Grammar Translation Method (GTM) and Jigsaw II in Teaching Reading on the Reading Achievement of Grade 10 Students.

Thesis, Program Studi Pendidikan, FKIP. Unversitas Katolik Widya Mandala, Surabaya.

Advisor: Prof. Dr. Veronica L.D, M.Sc.

Keywords: GTM, Jigsaw II, Reading Achievement.

The purpose of this study is to find out whether grade 10 students who are taught reading using Jigsaw II technique have a higher reading achievement than those who are taught reading using Grammar Translation Method (GTM). This study is based on several theories: the theory of reading, the theory of GTM, the theory of Cooperative Learning Method, and the theory of Jigsaw technique. The research was conducted in one of the Catholic Senior High Schools in Surabaya. The sample of this study is the tenth graders in the academic year of 2018/2019. There were two classes participating in the study: X-P1 and X-P2. Each class consisted of 33 students. X-P1 was assigned to be the control group, while X-P2 was assigned to be the experimental group. The control group was taught reading using GTM and the experimental group was taught reading using Jigsaw II technique. Each group received the treatment for three times.

A quasi-experimental research with a pretest-posttest, non-equivalent control group design was used to do this research. The instrument of this study was a reading test which consisted of 30 items in the form of multiple choice questions with five options. The pretest was conducted a week before the treatment, while the posttest was conducted a week after the treatment. In order to analyze the data, the writer used t-test formula in Microsoft Excel Program. Based on the data output, the experimental group had an average gain score of 10,06 and the control group had an average gain score of 13.48. It was also found that the P-value was larger than the significance level (0.17>0.05). Thus, the Null hypothesis (Ho) was accepted which means that there was no significant difference between the reading achievement of the tenth graders who were taught reading using Jigsaw II technique and those who were taught reading using GTM.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL SHEET (I)ii
APPROVAL SHEET (II)iii
STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITYiv
STATEMENT OF PUBLICATIONv
ACKNOWLEDEGEMENTSvi
ABSTRACTvii
TABLE OF CONTENTSviii
CHAPTER I (Introduction)
1.1 Background of the Study1
1.2 Statement of the Problem
1.3 Objective of the Study4
1.4 Theoretical Framework
1.5 Hypotheses5
1.6 Significance of the Study6
1.7 Limitation and Scope6
1.8 Definition of Key Terms6
1.9 Organization of the Thesis
CHAPTER II (Review of Related Literature)
2.1 Underlying Theories
2.1.1 Reading9
2.1.2 Grammar Translation Method (GTM)14

2.1.3 Cooperative Learning Method (CLM)	17
2.1.4 Jigsaw Technique	20
2.2 Previous Studies	23
CHAPTER III (Research Methodology)	
3.1 Research Design	27
3.2 Research Variable	27
3.3 Population and Sample	28
3.4 Teaching Materials	28
3.5 Treatment	29
3.6 Instrument	35
3.7 The Procedure of Data Collection	40
3.8 Technique of Data Analysis	41
CHAPTER IV (Findings and Discussion)	
4.1 Findings	44
4.2 Discussion	45
CHAPTER V (Conclusion and Suggestion)	
5.1 Conclusion	48
5.2 Suggestion	49
5.2.1 Suggestion for Other Teachers	49
5.2.2 Suggestion for Future Researchers	49
BIBLIOGRAPHY	51
APPENDICES	55

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Timetable for the Control and Experimental Group	32
Table 3.2 Differences between GTM and Jigsaw II Technique	35
Table 4.1 t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Unequal Variances	44