CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1. Conclusion

From this study, we can conclude several things. First, respondents are from educated family. The respondents background can be divided into two categories; working-family and non-working family. Second, the respondents encounter inconsistency in the expectations because they have changing of expectations after learning in the English Department. An interesting fact about the result shown is that even though these students are learning in English Teaching Department, their dream is not always about being a teacher or educator. Only few of them choose to continue being English educator.

Third, in order to achieve their expectations, after graduating from the department they plan to apply for jobs according to their interests and starting /their own businesses. Some of them also plan to pursue higher degree and taking various subject, which can enhance their occupation prospect. Fourth, the study shows that even if an individual does not plan on being an educator or teacher, studying in English Teaching Department is still considered beneficial because of the language skill learned which can be useful in any field of occupation.

5.2. Suggestions

This study has several weaknesses. First, the instrument needs to be developed well. The instrument which is used lacks in accuracy, therefore future researchers adapting the same topic need to consider developing the questions and instrument to get more relevant answers. Because of its inaccuracy, this instrument is not complete. Moreover, it is suggested that future researchers also use more instruments such as interview. This study only involve questionnaire in collecting data. Using more than an instrument might strengthen and enrich the data.

Second, this study still lacks in supporting theories and previous studies. It is highly recommended for future researchers who take the same topic to do more exploration on the theories especially on how learning English affecting individuals' expectations. Also, future researchers who take the same topic might also need to specify the key words because this study is still considered too general.

Last, this study shows findings which assert that respondents encounter changing of expectations. It is suggested for future researcher to explore more on the cause of these changes.

REFERENCES

Acemoglu, D. (1999). Lecture Notes for Graduate Labor Economics, 14.661, MIT.

Adler, N. (1994). Socioeconomic Status and Health: The Challenge of the Gradient. American Psychologist, 49(1). New York: American Psychologist Association.

Arifin, M.H. (2017). The Role of Higher Education in Promoting Social Mobility in Indonesia. *European Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, *6*(1).

Bandura, A. (1989). Human Agency in Social Cognitive Theory. *American Psychologist*, 44, 1175-1184.

Bjork, C. (2013) .*Teacher Training, School Norms and Teacher Effectiveness in Indonesia in Indonesia Update Series: Education*. In Suryadarma, D., & Jones, G.W. Indonesia Update Series: Education in Indonesia. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Bourdieu, P. (1979). *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgment of Taste*. Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Burgess, S. (2016). *Human Capital and Education: The State of the Art in the Economics of Education*. Bristol: University of Bristol.

Coleman, J.S. (1988). *Social Capital in the Creation of Human Capital*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press

Crystal, D. (2003a). *English as a Global Language*, (2nd Ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Crystal, D. (2003b). *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of the English Language, (2nd Ed.)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dardjowidjojo, S. (2003d). *English Teaching: Where and How Do We Begin?*. In K.E. Sukamto (Ed), *Rampai Bahasa, Pendidikan, dan Budaya: Kumpulan Esai Soenjono Dardjowidjojo, 29-40*. Jakarta: Yayasan Obor Indonesia.

Department of Labor United States of America. (2017). *Occupational Employment and Wages-May 2017*. Retrieved at July 3, 2018, from https://www.bls.gov/pdf/ocwage/

Dutton, D.B., & Levine, S. (1989). *Socioeconomic Status and Health: Overview, Methodological Critique, and Reformulation.* In J.P. Bunker, D.S., Gomby, & B. H. Kehrer., *Pathways to Health*. Menlo Park, CA: The Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation

Hobbes, T. (1651). *Leviathan or The Matter, Forme and Power of a Common-Wealth Ecclesiastical and Civil.* England: Oxford Clarendon Press.

Huda, N. (2000). Kedudukan dan Fungsi Bahasa Asing (The Position and Function of Foreign Languages). In H. Alwi dan D. Sugono, Politik Bahasa: Risalah Seminar Politik Bahasa (Language Politics: Procedings of the Seminar on Language Politics), 59-78. Jakarta: Pusat Bahasa dan Departemen Pendidikan Nasional.

Jenkins, J. (2003). World Englishes: A Resource Book for Students. Routledge English Language Introductions Series. London and New York: Routledge.

Kandiko, C. B. & Mawer, M. (2013). *Student Expectations and Perceptions of Higher Education*. London: King's Learning Institute.

Lauder, A. (2008). The status and function of English in Indonesia: A review of key factors. MAKARA, SOCIAL HUMANIORA, 12(1),9-20.

Mirowsky, J. (2003). Education, Social Status, and Health. New York: Routledge.

Popenoe, P. (1918). Applied Eugenic. New York: The Macmillan Company.

Renandya, W A. (2000). *Indonesia*. In Wah Kam Ho and Ruth Y. L. Wong (Eds), *Language Policies and Language Education: The Impact in East Asian Countries in the Next Decade, eds. 113-137*. Singapore: Times Academic Press.

Requena, M. (2016) . *The Social Elevator*. Barcelona: "Ia Caixa" Banking Foundation.

Schultz, T. (1961). *Investment in Human Capital*. American Economics Review 51(March): 1-17

Social Prestige. (n.d.) *The Great Soviet Encyclopedia, 3rd Edition.* (1970-1979). Retrieved at July 02, 2018 from

https://encyclopedia2.thefreedictionary.com/Social+Prestige

Sorokin, P.A. (1927) . Social Mobility. New York: Harper & Brothers.

Weber, M. (1947). *The Theory of Social and Economic Organization*. Free Press, New York