The Levels of Reading Comprehension Questions in 'Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X' Based on Anderson & Krathwohl's Taxonomy

A THESIS

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching



By: Yama Yustiana 1213014026

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY SURABAYA
2018

SURAT PERNYATAAN

Nama : Yama Yushang	
Nomor Pokok : 12/36/4026	
Program Studi': Pendidikan Bahasa	
Jurusan : Pendidikan Bahasa Ina	aris
Fakultas : Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendid	tikan Unika Widya Mandala Surabaya
Menyatakan dengan sesungguhnya bahwa The Lovel of Reading Comptehension G High School Citade X' based on Ande	suestions in Pathway To braush for Senior
benar-benar merupakan hasil karya saya s plagiarisme, maka saya bersedia meneri pencabutan gelar yang telah saya peroleh.	endiri. Apabila skripsi ini ternyata merupakan hasi ima sanksi berupa pembatalan kelulusan dan/ata
Demikianlah surat pernyataan ini saya kesadaran.	buat dengan sesungguhnya dan dengan penu
	Surabaya, 28 Mei 2018
	Yang membuat pernyataan,
	66000
	Yama Yustiana
	1
Mengetahui:	Dosen Pembimbing II,
Dosen Pembimbing I/Tunggal,	Dosch i chiomionig ii,
Johanes ET	

APPROVAL SHEET (I)

This thesis entitled The Levels of Reading Comprehension Questions in 'Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X' Based on Anderson & Krathwohl's Taxonomy prepared and submitted by Yama Yustiana has been approved and accepted to be examined as a partial fulfillment of the requirement for Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language in Faculty of Teacher Training and Education by the following advisor:

Johanes Leonardi Taloko, M.Sc.

Thesis Advisor

SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI KARYA ILMIAH

pemi Perkembangan Ilmu Penge Vidya Mandala Surabaya.	stahuan, saya sebagai manasiswa Oniversitas rationik
Nama Mahasiswa	: Yama Yustiana
Nomor Pokok	: 1213014026
Program Studi Pendidikan	: Balasa Inggris
Jurusan	: Bahasa oun sastra
Fakultas	: FKIP
Tanggal Lulus	: 6 Juni 2018
Dengan ini SETUJUTIDAK SE	Skripsi atau Karya Imiah saya,
Judul :	
The Lavole of Rondin	g Comprehension Questions in Pathway To
Finalize C. Conins Iliah	School Grade X' Based on Andersons
English for senior fligh	Shoot Chare & 1250cs
Krathwohl's Taxonome	
	And the second s
	the state of the s
Universitas Katolik Widya Mand sesuai undang-undang Hak Cip	n di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan dala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sebatas ota yang berlaku.
Demikian surat pernyataan SE	TUJU/TIDAK SETUJU" publikasi Karya Ilmiah ini saya
buat dengan sebenamya	Surabaya, 10 Juli 2018
	Yang menyatakan,
	METERAL
	MIL DEA4AEF935903668
	ENEMANURUPIAM
	MDD 1313 OMOJC

APPROVAL SHEET (II)

This thesis has been written and submitted by Yama Yustiana (1213014026) for acquiring Sarjana Pendidikan degree in English Language Teaching by the following Board of Examiners on oral exam with grade of _____ on 6th June, 2018.

Mateus Yumarnamto, Ph.D.

Chair Person

Himawan Setyo Wibowo, M.Hum. Secretary

Dear Saculty of Forcher, withing and Education

Johanes Leonardi Taloko, M.Sc. Member

Head of the inglish Education

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The writer would like to give her thankfulness to Jesus Christ for His blessing so that she could accomplish this thesis. The writer also would like to express her gratitude in the process of completing this thesis to the following list:

- Johanes Leonardi Taloko, M.Sc., her advisor who has guided the writer for giving advices and suggestions during completing her thesis.
- 2. Mateus Yumarnamto, Ph.D. and Himawan Setyo Wibowo, M.Hum., her examiners, who helped the writer by giving feedbacks and suggestions so the writer could finish this thesis.
- 3. The writer's parents, brother, and sister, for their love and endless support to encourage her to finish her thesis.
- 4. The writer's friends, who have supported for finishing her thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE.	i
SURAT PERNYATAAN	ii
APPROVAL SHEET (I)	iii
SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI I	KARYA ILMIAHiv
APPROVAL SHEET (II)	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
ABSTRACT	x
CHAPTER I: Introduction	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	
1.3 Objective of the Study	5
1.4 Significance of the Study	5
1.5 Limitation of the Study	5
1.6 Theoretical Framework	6
1.7 Definition of Key Term	6
CHAPTER II: Review of Related Literature	8
2.1.Theory of Reading.	8
2.2 Theory of Comprehension Questions	8
2.3 Good Comprehension Question	9
2.4 Revised Taxonomy	10
2.4.1 Remembering.	14
2.4.2 Understanding	15

2.4.3 Applying	16
2.4.4 Analyzing.	17
2.4.5 Evaluating	18
2.4.6 Creating.	19
2.5 The Relationship Between Curriculum 2013 & Revised Taxonomy	21
2.6 The Characteristic of Good Textbook Based On Curriculum 2013	23
2.7 Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X	25
2.8 Previous Study	25
CHAPTER III: Research Methodology	29
3.1 Research Design.	29
3.2 Research Data.	31
3.3 The Research Instrument	31
3.4 Procedures of Collecting the Data	32
3.5 Procedures of the Data Analysis	32
3.6 Triangulation	35
CHAPTER IV: FINDING AND DISCUSSION OF THE FINDINGS	37
4.1 Findings	37
4.1.2 Cognitive Level	40
4.1.2.1 Remembering Question	40
4.1.2.2 Understanding Question	40
4.1.2.3 Applying Question	
4.1.2.4 Analyzing Question	
4.1.2.5 Evaluating Question.	
4.1.3 Knowledge Level	42

4.1.3.1 Factual Question	42
4.1.3.2 Conceptual Question.	43
4.1.3.3 Procedural Question	43
4.1.3.4 Metacognitive Question	44
4.2 Discussion of the Findings	45
CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	47
5.1 Conclusion	47
5.2 Suggestion.	48
REFERENCES	50
APPENDIX	52
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES	
Table 2.1 LOTS and HOTS in Revised Taxonomy	11
Table 2.2 The Revised Taxonomy Table	12
Table 2.3 Example of <i>Factual</i> Questions	12
Table 2.4 Example of <i>Conceptual</i> Questions	13
Table 2.5 Example of <i>Procedural</i> Questions	13
Table 2.6 Example of <i>Metacognitive</i> Questions	14
Table 2.7 Example of <i>Remembering</i> Questions	15
Table 2.8 Example of <i>Understanding</i> Questions	16
Table 2.9 Example of <i>Applying</i> Questions	17
Table 2.10 Example of <i>Analyzing</i> Questions	18

Table 2.11 Example of <i>Evaluating</i> Questions
Table 2.12 Example of <i>Creating Questions</i>
Table 3.1 The Data Sources
Table 3.2 The Number of Question and Percentages for Cognitive Category in .
Each Chapter
Table 3.3 The Number of Question and Percentages for Knowledge Category in
Each Chapter
Table 3.4 Recapitulation of the number of the questions for cognitive level in
'Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X' using
Revised Taxonomy34
Table 3.5 Recapitulation of the number of the questions for knowledge level in
'Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X' using
Revised Taxonomy34
Figure 2.1 Levels of Revised Taxonomy in Curriculum 2013
Figure 3.1 The Structure of Research Design
Figure 4.1 The Percentage of Cognitive and Knowledge Dimension45

ABSTRACT

Yustiana, Yama. 2018. The Levels of Reading Comprehension Questions In 'Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X' based on Anderson & Krathwohl's Taxonomy. Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris. Jurusan Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris. Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala.

Advisor: Johanes Leonardi Taloko, M.Sc.

This study analysis the levels of the reading comprehension questions in 'Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X' by using Anderson & Krathwohl's Taxonomy. This study attempts to answer these research problems: to find the levels of the reading comprehension questions in 'Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X' and to find out whether comprehension questions in reading section of 'Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X' is suitable for curriculum 2013 or not. The data of this study were taken from all of the reading comprehension questions in 'Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X'. The total number of reading comprehension questions are 100 questions. The writer classified those questions into cognitive levels and knowledge levels of Revised Taxonomy, then counted the proportion in each cognitive level, and the compatibility of reading comprehension in curriculum 2013.

The analysis showed that the biggest portion of cognitive level in 'Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X' belongs to remember with the percentage of (51%), then followed by understand (42%), analyze (4%), apply (2%), and evaluate (1%). There is no create questions levels. For knowledge level, the biggest portion is factual (51%), then followed by conceptual (42%), metacognitive (5%), and procedural (2%). In conclusion, the reading comprehension questions in 'Pathway To English for Senior High School Grade X' may not suitable in the curriculum 2013. To cover that, the teacher need supplementary from other sources.

Keywords: Reading Comprehension Questions, Revised Taxonomy, Curriculum 2013, Cognitive Dimension, Knowledge Dimension