

## **Chapter 5**

### **Conclusion and Suggestion**

#### **5.1. Conclusion**

1. Based on the evaluation of existing procedure of Promotion/Mutation we find there are several drawback of procedure. The existing procedure tends to create slower decision making with many administrative processes. Furthermore most of the user finds the procedure is complicated to follow.
2. In order to eliminate those drawbacks there is a need to do improvement of the several steps and time requirement, time completing of the procedure.
3. By proposing the new time, form and solution procedure is expected to complete the whole process with 40% of efficiency (All process, except the moving manager level) and 50 % of efficiency (moving manager level).

The effect of the new procedure:

1. Faster decision making.
2. Less administrative process without reducing the quality of process.

## **5.2. Suggestion**

For Business practitioner:

1. The company and the manager can evaluating the process and improve for the efficiency.
2. The new procedure can be a role model for making improvement of the other company procedure better.

For Academician:

1. The case studies give more enlighten information about career development, implementation in the work about what is the difference and which theory is implemented.

## REFERENCES

- A.A Anwar Prabu Mangkunegara, (2013). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perusahaan*, Remaja Rosdakarya, Bandung.
- Bettencourt, L., Gwinner, K. P., & Meuter, M. (2001). A comparison of attitude, personality andknowledge predictors of service-oriented organizational citizenship behaviors. *Journal of Applied Phsyiology* , 29-41.
- Brett, J. M., Stroh, L. K., & Reilly, A. H. (1992). Job Transfer. *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* .
- Bungin, B. (2001). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif Dan Kuantitatif*. Yogyakarta: Gajah Mada Press.
- Cascio, W. F. (2003). *Managing Human Resources*. Colorado: Mc Graw-Hill.
- Creswell, J. W. (1998). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five designs*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Edy Sutrisno. (2014). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia. Cetak Ke Enam*. Pranada Media Group.
- Flippo, E. B. (1984). *Personnel Management*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hall, D. T., & Isabella, L. A. (1985). Downward Moves and Career Development.*Organizational Dynamics 14* , 5-23.
- Handoko T. Hani, (2000).*Manajemen Personalia dan Sumberdaya Manusia, Edisi II, Cetakan Keempat Belas*, Penerbit BPFE, Yogyakarta.

- Hinkin, T. R., & Tracey, B. J. (2000). The cost of turnover: putting a price on the learning curve. *Comell Quarterly* 41 , 14-21.
- Holt, D. H. (1993). *Management: Concept and Practices*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffst.
- Houghton, A. (2010). Performance Reviews: It's about 'How,' Not 'Why'. *Business Week Online* , 11.
- Howell, J. M., & Higgins, C. (1990). Champions of technological innovation. *Administrative Science Quaterly* , 317-341.
- Ivancevich, J. M. (1989). Foundations of Personnel. *Human Management* , 409.
- Lawler, E. (1987). Pay for performance. *A motivational analysis* , 69-86.
- Locke, E. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* , 1297-1349.
- Luthan, F. (1998). *Organisational Behaviour 8th ed*. Boston: Irwin McGraw-Hill.
- Kabene, S. M., Orchard, C., Howard, J. M., Soriano, M. A., & Leduc, R. (2006). The importanceof human resources management in health care: a global context. *Human Resources for Health* .
- Mangkunegara, A. P. (2000). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perusahaan*. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Moh, Nazir. (1999). *Metode Penelitian Cetakan Ketiga*. Jakarta: Ghalia Indonesia.

- Moleong, L. (2006). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Mulyanto, A. (2009). *Sistem Informasi Konsep dan Aplikasi*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Nasution. (1994). *Teknologi Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Nasurdin, R. T. (2003). Jobsatisfaction and organisational commitment differential effects for men and women? *Jurnal Manajemen & Bisnis*, 75-89.
- Noe, R. A., Steffy, B. D., & Barber, A. E. (1988). An Investigation of the Factors Influencing Employees' Willingness to Accept Mobility Opportunities. *Personal Psychology* 41 ,599-80.
- Notoatmodjo, S. (2003). *Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia*. Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta.
- Philips, J. (1990). The price tag on turnover. *Personnel Journal* , 58-61.
- Rose, M. (2001). Disparate measures in the workplace. *Quantifying overall* .
- Rotundo, M., & Sacket, P. R. (2002). The relative importance of task, citizenship, andcounterproductive performance to global ratings of job performance. *Journal of AppliedPsychology* , 66-80.
- Russell, J., & Russell, L. (2010). Talk Me Through It: The Next Level of Performance Management. *T+D* , 42-48.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinstic motivation, social development and well being. *American Psychologist* , 68-78.

Singodimedjo, Markum. (2000). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia*. Surabaya: SMMAS.

Solomon, C. M. (1992). The loyalty Factor. *In Personnel Journal* , 52-62.

Stup, R. (2003). Control the Factors that Influence Employees Success. *Managing the Hispanic Workforce Conference Cornell* .

Sugiyono. (2009). *Objek Penelitian*. Bandung: Alfabeta.

Zaman, K. (2011). Relationship between Rewards and Employee's Motivation in the Nonprofit organisations of Pakistan. *Business Intelligence Journal*, 327-334.