## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX A

## PROXIMATE ANALYSIS

## A.1. Ash content analysis [53]

1. Crucible is ignited in the muffle furnace at $650 \pm 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour.
2. The crucible is cooled in a desiccator until the room temperature reached and then its weight is measured analytically.
3. The procedure number 1 and 2 are repeated until the dish has constant weight, with maximum error 0.1 mg .
4. The sample is dried at $105 \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until it has constant weight.
5. An amount of sample is placed into the crucible.
6. Sample is heated in the muffle furnace at $650 \pm 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes.
7. The sample and the dish are cooled until the room temperature is reached in a desiccator and then their weight is measured analytically.
8. Procedure number 6 and 7 are repeated until the constant weight is reached.
9. The ash content in percent unit is calculated by the equation:

Total ash $=\left[\frac{\text { weight of crucible plus ashed sample }- \text { weight of crucible }}{\text { weight of crucible plus original sample }- \text { weight of crucible }}\right] \times 100$

## Sample analysis

Weight of crucible (after constant weight is achieved) $=19.6336$ grams
Weight of crucible + jackfruit peel $=20.9433$ grams
Weight of crucible + ash $=19.6889$ grams
$\%$ total ash $=\frac{\text { weight of ash }}{\text { initial weight of jackfruit peel }} x 100 \%$

Total ash, $\%=\frac{19.6889-19.6336}{20.9433-19.6336} \times 100 \%$

$$
\text { Total ash, } \begin{aligned}
\% & =\frac{19.6889-19.6336}{20.9433-19.6336} \times 100 \% \\
& =\frac{0.0553}{1.3097} \times 100 \% \\
& =4.2223 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## A.2. Moisture content analysis [54]

1. Crucible is ignited in the muffle furnace at $650 \pm 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour.
2. The crucible is cooled in a desiccator until the room temperature reached and then its weight is measured analytically.
3. The procedure number 1 and 2 are repeated until the dish has constant weight, with maximum error 0.1 mg .
4. An amount of sample ( $1 \mathrm{~g}-2 \mathrm{~g}$ ), which has been dried in ash content analysis, is taken and weighed.
5. The sample taken is placed into the dish and then is heated in the oven at $150 \pm$ $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes.
6. The sample and the crucible are cooled until the room temperature is reached in a desiccator and then their weight is measured analytically.
7. Procedure number 5 and 6 are repeated until the constant weight is reached.
8. The moisture content in percent unit is calculated by the equation:

Total moisture $=\left[\frac{\text { weight of crucible plus original sample }- \text { weight of crucible plus dried sample }}{\text { weight of crucible plus original sample }- \text { weight of crucible }}\right] x 100$

## Sample analysis

Weight of crucible (after constant weight is achieved) $=19.6336$ grams
Weight of crucible + jackfruit peel $=20.9433$ grams
Weight of initial jackfruit peel $=20.9433-19.6336=1.3097$ grams

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Weight of crucible }+ \text { dried sample }=20.8099 \text { grams } \\
& \% \text { total moisture }
\end{aligned}=\frac{\text { weight of moisture }}{\text { initial weight of jackfruit peel }} \times 100 \% \text { ( } \begin{aligned}
\% \text { total moisture } & =\frac{1.3097-(20.8099-19.6336)}{1.3097} \times 100 \% \\
& =\frac{0.1334}{1.3097} \times 100 \% \\
& =10.1885 \%
\end{aligned} .
$$

## A.3. Volatile matter content analysis [55]

1. Crucible with its cover is heated in the muffle furnace at $950^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes.
2. The crucible and its cover are cooled in a desiccator until the room temperature reached and then its weight is measured analytically.
3. The procedure number 1 and 2 are repeated until the dish and its cover has constant weight, with maximum error 0.1 mg .
4. An amount of sample ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{~g}$ ), which has been dried in ash content analysis, is taken and weighed.
5. The sample taken is placed into the crucible and then is heated in the muffle furnace at $950 \pm 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 minutes.
6. The sample and the crucible are cooled until the room temperature is reached in a desiccator and then their weight is measured analytically.
7. Procedure number 5 and 6 are repeated until the constant weight is reached.
8. Volatile matter content in percent unit is calculated by the equation:

Weight loss $=\left[\frac{\text { weight of crucible, cover, and original sample - weight of crucible, cover, and de - volatilized sample }}{\text { weight of crucible, cover, and original sample - weight of crucible and cover }}\right] \times 100$
Total volatile matter $=($ weight loss - total moisture $)$

## Sample analysis

Weight of crucible + cover (after constant weight is achieved) $=31.7445$ grams
Weight of crucible + cover + jackfruit peel $=32.7451$ grams
Initial weight of jackfruit peel $=1.0006$ grams
Weight of crucible + cover + ignited jackfruit peel $=32.1412$ grams
Weight of ignited jackfruit peel $=0.3967$ grams
Weight loss of jackfruit peel $=1.0006-0.3967=0.6039$ grams

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \% \text { weight loss }=\frac{\text { weight loss of jackfruit peel }}{\text { initial weight of jackfruit peel }} \times 100 \% \\
& \begin{aligned}
\% \text { weight loss } & =\frac{0.6039 \text { grams }}{1.0006 \text { grams }} \times 100 \% \\
& =60.3537 \%
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\%$ volatile matter $=\%$ weight loss $-\%$ moisture

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =60.3537 \%-10.1885 \% \\
& =50.1682 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## A.4. Carbon content analysis [55]

The carbon content can be calculated by using following equation:
Carbon $=100 \%-[$ Total ash + Total moisture + Total volatile matter $]$

## Sample analysis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \% \text { carbon }=100 \%-(\% \text { total ash }+\% \text { moisture }+\% \text { volatile matter }) \\
&=100 \%-(4.223 \%+10.1885 \%+50.1682 \%) \\
&=35.4240 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX B

## DETERMINATION OF MAXIMUM WAVELENGTH AND

## METHYLENE BLUE STANDARD CURVE

## B.1. Preparation of Methylene Blue solution

1. Preparation of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ Methylene Blue stock solution as much as 0.1 L .

$$
20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~L}=0.0020 \text { gram }
$$

0.0020 gram Methylene Blue was weighed using analytical balance and dissolved with distilled water until its volume was accurately 100 mL .
2. Preparation of solutions for standard curve of Methylene Blue
a) 4 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was $100 \mathrm{~mL}(0.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$.
b) 5 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was $100 \mathrm{~mL}(1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$.
c) 7 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was $100 \mathrm{~mL}(1.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$.
d) 9 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was $100 \mathrm{~mL}(1.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$
e) 10 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was $100 \mathrm{~mL}(2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$.
f) 12 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was $100 \mathrm{~mL}(2.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$.
g) 14 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was $100 \mathrm{~mL}(2.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$.
h) 16 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was $100 \mathrm{~mL}(3.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$.
i) 18 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was $100 \mathrm{~mL}(3.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$.

## B.2. Determination of maximum wavelength

10 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was 10 mL so the concentration of Methylene Blue was to be $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. Maximum wavelength of methylene blue was determined by measuring the absorbance of the solution using survey scan of Shimadzu UV-1201 UV-VIS spectrophotometer in the range of wavelength of $600-700 \mathrm{~nm}$

Table B.1. $\lambda$ and absorbance of $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ Methylene Blue solution

| Wavelength (nm) | Absorbance |
| :---: | :---: |
| 600 | 0.195 |
| 609 | 0.244 |
| 618 | 0.260 |
| 627 | 0.273 |
| 636 | 0.310 |
| 645 | 0.380 |
| 654 | 0.472 |
| 657 | 0.494 |
| 660 | 0.509 |
| 663 | 0.515 |
| 664 | 0.516 |
| 665 | 0.514 |
| 666 | 0.513 |
| 669 | 0.495 |
| 672 | 0.454 |
| 675 | 0.391 |
| 678 | 0.318 |
| 681 | 0.255 |
| 684 | 0.205 |



Figure B.1. Methylene Blue Survey Scan

From Table B.1, it can be seen that the maximum wavelength for Methylene Blue analysis is at 664 nm .

## B.3. Preparation of standard curve

Absorbance of all solution prepared at step B. 1 was measured using Shimadzu UV-1201 UV-VIS spectrophotometer at maximum wavelength which has been obtained from step B.2. Standard curve between absorbance versus dyes concentration was prepared and then linear regression was determined by Sigma Plot software.

Table B. 2 Relationship between concentration and absorbance of Methylene Blue

| Concentration <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | Absorbance |
| :---: | ---: |
| 0.8 | 0.2010 |
| 1 | 0.2500 |
| 1.4 | 0.3170 |
| 1.8 | 0.4410 |
| 2 | 0.5160 |
| 2.4 | 0.5670 |
| 2.8 | 0.6190 |
| 3.2 | 0.6980 |
| 3.6 | 0.7921 |



Figure B.2. Standard Curve of Methylene Blue Solution

## APPENDIX C

## DATA ANALYSES

## C.1. Yield of Activated Carbon

Example of calculation for yield of the activated carbon with impregnation ratio (IR) 4:1 (weight of phosphoric acid : weight of precursor) and heat treatment temperature (HTT) $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is as follows:

Initial weight of the precursor (jackfruit peel) on a dry basis $=20$ grams
Weight of activated carbon $=8.4291$ grams
$\%$ yield $=\frac{\text { weight of activated carbon }}{\text { initial weight of jackfruit peel on a dry basis }} \times 100 \%$
$=\frac{8.4291}{20} x 100 \%$
$=42.1455 \%$
Using the same calculation above, Table C. 1 can be made
Table C.1. Production Yield of Activated Carbon

| IR | HTT, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Carbon code | Final Weight of JPAC* (g) | Yield, \% wt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:1 | 350 | IR[1]T[350] | 11.2494 | 56.2470 |
|  | 450 | IR[1]T[450] | 9.9667 | 49.8335 |
|  | 550 | IR[1]T[550] | 9.3479 | 46.7395 |
| 2:1 | 350 | IR[2]T[350] | 10.9378 | 54.6890 |
|  | 450 | IR[2]T[450] | 9.5587 | 47.7934 |
|  | 550 | IR[2]T[550] | 9.1940 | 45.9700 |
| 3:1 | 350 | IR[3]T[350] | 10.5616 | 52.8080 |
|  | 450 | IR[3]T[450] | 9.2744 | 46.3720 |
|  | 550 | IR[3]T[550] | 8.8393 | 44.1965 |
| 4:1 | 350 | IR[4]T[350[ | 9.9806 | 49.9030 |
|  | 450 | IR[4]T[450] | 8.9111 | 45.5554 |
|  | 550 | IR[4]T[550] | 8.4291 | 42.1455 |

* JPAC $=$ jackfruit peel activated carbon


## C.2. $S_{\text {Bet }}$ of Activated Carbon [1]

Equation below is the famous BET equation containing two fitting parameters, C and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V}{V_{m}}=\frac{C . P}{\left(P_{0}-P\right)\left[1+(C-1)\left(P / P_{0}\right)\right]} \tag{C-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (C-1) is the famous BET equation, and it is used extensively for the area determination because once the monolayer coverage $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is known and if the area occupied by one molecule is known the surface area of the solid can be calculated. To conveniently determine $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}$, the BET equation can be cast into the form which is amenable for linear plot as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{V(P o-P)}=\frac{1}{V m C}+\left(\frac{C-1}{V m C}\right) \frac{P}{P o} \tag{C-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pressure range of validity of the BET equation is $P / P o=0.05-0.3$. For relative pressure above 0.3 , there exists capillary condensation, which is not amenable to multilayer analysis. A plot of the $\frac{P}{V(P o-P)}$ of eq. (3.3-23) versus $(P / P o)$ would yield a straight line with a slope

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Slope }=\left(\frac{C-1}{V m C}\right) \tag{C-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

And an intercept

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Intercept }=\left(\frac{1}{V m C}\right) \tag{C-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once $V_{m}(\mathrm{~mole} / \mathrm{g})$ is obtained from the slope, the surface area is calculated from :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=V_{m} N_{a} a_{m}(3.3-24) \tag{C-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $N_{a}$ is the Avogadro number and $a_{m}$ is the molecular projected area. For nitrogen at its normal boiling point, the area of the nitrogen molecule is generally taken to be $16.2 \AA^{2}$.

## C.3. $d_{002}, L_{\mathrm{c}}$, and $L_{\mathrm{a}}$ Calculation from XRD Patterns

From the XRD patterns, the position of (002) and (10) peak at the abscissa $2 \theta$ could be obtained. The width of the peak (002) and (10) peak could also be obtained to calculate half width of the peak in radians, $B(002)$ and $B(10)$.

Table C.2. The Position and the Width of (002) and (10) Peak of the XRD Spectra

| Sample | $2 \theta$ |  |  |  | B (002) | $\mathrm{B}(10)$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $(002)$ <br> position | $(10)$ <br> position | $(002)$ <br> width | $(002)$ <br> width |  |  |
| IR[1]T[350] | 25 | 43 | 12.5 | 21 | 0.1091 | 0.1833 |
| IR[2]T[350] | 25 | 43.5 | 13 | 22 | 0.1134 | 0.1920 |
| IR[3]T[350] | 25 | 43.5 | 12.5 | 21.5 | 0.1091 | 0.1876 |
| IR[4]T[350] | 25 | 43 | 12.5 | 22 | 0.1091 | 0.1920 |
| IR[1]T[450] | 25 | 43.5 | 13.5 | 26 | 0.1178 | 0.2269 |
| IR[2]T[450] | 25 | 43.5 | 13.5 | 27 | 0.1178 | 0.2356 |
| IR[3]T[450] | 25 | 43.5 | 14 | 27 | 0.1222 | 0.2356 |
| IR[4]T[450] | 25 | 43.5 | 15 | 28 | 0.1309 | 0.2443 |
| IR[1]T[550] | 25.5 | 44 | 13 | 22 | 0.1134 | 0.1920 |
| IR[2]T[550] | 25.5 | 44 | 14 | 24 | 0.1222 | 0.2094 |
| IR[3]T[550] | 25.5 | 44 | 15 | 26 | 0.1309 | 0.2269 |
| IR[4]T[550] | 25.5 | 44 | 16 | 27 | 0.1396 | 0.2356 |

The interlayer spacing $d_{002}$ was determined using the Bragg equation as follow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{\lambda}{2 \sin \theta} \tag{C-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (002) and (10) peaks are used to calculate $L_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $L_{\mathrm{a}}$, respectively. The quantities $L_{\mathrm{c}}$, stack height, and $L_{\mathrm{a}}$, stack width, were determined by the Scherrer equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{K \lambda}{B \cos \theta} \tag{C-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\lambda$ was 0.154 nm for $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha$ while $K=0.9$ and $K=1.84$ were used for calculation of $L_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $L_{\mathrm{a}}$, respectively. By the usage of those parameter; $\theta$ which was half of (002) position for Bragg equation and was half of (002) and (10) width for $L_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $L_{\mathrm{a}}$ for Scherrer equation; also B (002) and (10) for Scherrer equation; the $d_{002}, L_{\mathrm{c}}$, and $L_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the activated carbons were obtained.

## C.4. Boehm Titration

Example of calculation for Boehm titration of IR[4]T[550] is given as follows:

## C.4.1. Basic surface functional group

meq of excess of HCl which can be calculated in reverse titration with $\mathrm{NaOH}+$ meq of HCl which had reaction with basic surface functional group $=$ meq initial of HCl
$(\mathrm{V} . \mathrm{N})_{\mathrm{NaOH}}+$ meq of basic surface functional group $=(\mathrm{V} . \mathrm{N})_{\mathrm{HCl}}$
$\left(9.860^{*} 0.0433\right)+$ meq of basic surface functional group $=\left(10^{*} 0.0435\right)$
meq of basic surface functional group in 10 mL solution $=0.0077 \mathrm{meq}$
meq of basic surface functional group in all of 50 mL solution system $=0.0077 \mathrm{meq} * 50 / 10$ meq of basic surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=0.0382 \mathrm{meq} / 0.5 \mathrm{gram}$ meq of basic surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=0.0765 \mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{gram}$

## C.4.2. Acidic surface functional group

Carboxylic
$(\text { V.N })_{\mathrm{HCl}}+$ meq of carboxyl surface functional group $=(\text { V.N })_{\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}}$
$\left(9.705^{*} 0.0435\right)+$ meq of carboxyl surface functional group $=\left(10^{*} 0.0502\right)$
meq of carboxyl surface functional group in 10 mL solution $=0.0789 \mathrm{meq}$ meq of carboxyl surface functional group in all of 50 mL solution system $=0.3943 \mathrm{meq}$ meq of carboxyl surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=0.3943 \mathrm{meq} / 0.5$ gram meq of carboxyl surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=0.7886 \mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{gram}$

## Lactonic

$(\mathrm{V} . \mathrm{N})_{\mathrm{HCl}}+$ meq of $($ carboxyl + lactone $)$ surface functional group $=(\mathrm{V} . \mathrm{N})_{\mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{CO}}$ $\left(8.070^{*} 0.0435\right)+$ meq of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group $=\left(10^{*} 0.0499\right)$
meq of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group in 10 mL solution $=0.1520 \mathrm{meq}$ meq of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group in all of 50 mL solution system $=$ $0.1480 \mathrm{meq} * 50 / 10$
meq of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=$ $0.7399 \mathrm{meq} / 0.5 \mathrm{gram}$
meq of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=$ $1.4797 \mathrm{meq} /$ gram
meq of lactone surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=1.4797 \mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{gram}-$ $0.7886 \mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{gram}$ meq of lactone surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=0.6912 \mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{gram}$

Phenolic
$(\mathrm{V} . \mathrm{N})_{\mathrm{HCl}}+$ meq of (carboxyl+lactone + phenol $)$ surface functional group $=(\mathrm{V} . \mathrm{N})_{\mathrm{NaOH}}$ $\left(7.205^{*} 0.0435\right)+$ meq of (carboxyl+lactone + phenol $)$ surface functional group $=10 * 0.0480$ meq of (carboxyl+lactone + phenol) surface functional group in 10 mL solution $=$ 0.1666 meq
meq of (carboxyl+lactone+phenol) surface functional group in all of 50 mL solution system $=0.8330 \mathrm{meq}$
meq of (carboxyl+lactone+phenol) surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=$ $0.8330 \mathrm{meq} / 0.5 \mathrm{gram}$
meq of (carboxyl+lactone+phenol) surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=$ $1.6660 \mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{gram}$
meq of phenol surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=1.6660 \mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{gram}-$ $1.4797 \mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{gram}$
meq of phenol surface functional group per gram activated carbon $=0.1863 \mathrm{meq} /$ gram

By the same way of calculation above, volume data obtained from titration as shown in
Table C. 4 could be used to find the amount of surface functional group as follows:

Table C.3. Experiment Data of Boehm Titration

| Sample | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ <br> (1) | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NaOH} \\ \text { (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HCl} \\ (4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | meq/g <br> (1) | meq/g <br> (2) | meq/g <br> (3) | meq/g <br> (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{HCl}}(\mathrm{mL})$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NaOH}} \\ & (\mathrm{~mL}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| IR[1]T[350] | 8.485 | 6.965 | 5.070 | 10.005 | 1.3192 | 1.9604 | 2.5947 | 0.0137 |
| IR[2]T[350] | 8.630 | 7.210 | 5.605 | 9.855 | 1.2562 | 1.8538 | 2.3620 | 0.0787 |
| IR[3]T[350] | 8.415 | 6.880 | 4.520 | 9.910 | 1.3497 | 1.9974 | 2.8339 | 0.0548 |
| IR[4]T[350] | 8.040 | 6.030 | 3.375 | 9.950 | 1.5128 | 2.3671 | 3.3320 | 0.0375 |
| IR[1]T[450] | 9.025 | 7.720 | 6.030 | 9.990 | 1.0843 | 1.6320 | 2.1771 | 0.0202 |
| IR[2]T[450] | 9.610 | 8.565 | 7.370 | 9.830 | 0.8299 | 1.2644 | 1.5942 | 0.0895 |
| IR[3]T[450] | 9.455 | 8.380 | 7.005 | 9.875 | 0.8973 | 1.3449 | 1.7530 | 0.0700 |
| IR[4]T[450] | 9.360 | 7.645 | 6.210 | 9.915 | 0.9386 | 1.6646 | 2.0988 | 0.0527 |
| IR[1]T[550] | 9.775 | 8.760 | 8.070 | 9.900 | 0.7581 | 1.1796 | 1.2897 | 0.0592 |
| IR[2]T[550] | 10.050 | 9.100 | 8.525 | 9.795 | 0.6385 | 1.0317 | 1.0918 | 0.1047 |
| IR[3]T[550] | 9.810 | 8.850 | 8.205 | 9.825 | 0.7429 | 1.1405 | 1.2310 | 0.0917 |
| IR[4]T[550] | 9.705 | 8.070 | 7.205 | 9.860 | 0.7886 | 1.4797 | 1.6660 | 0.0765 |

$\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}(1)=\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}$ of carboxyl surface functional group
$\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}(2)=\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}$ of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group
$\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}(3)=\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}$ of (carboxyl+lactone + phenol $)$ surface functional group
$\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}(4)=\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}$ of basic surface functional group
$\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}$ of carboxyl surface functional group $=\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}(1)$
$\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}$ of lacton surface functional group $=\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}(2)-\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}(1)$
$\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}$ of phenol surface functional group $=\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}(3)-\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}(2)$
$\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}$ of basic surface functional group $=\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}$ (4)
By the data calculation above, Table IV. 4 showing meq/g of acidic and basic surface functional group could be obtained

## C.5. pH Drift

From pH drift experiments by several $\mathrm{pH}_{\text {init }}$, several $\mathrm{pH}_{\text {final }}$ could be obtained as follows:

Table C.4. pH Final of Activated Carbon

| pII init | pH final |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IR[1] } \\ & \mathrm{T}\|350\| \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{R}[2] \\ & \mathbf{T}\|350\| \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [R[3] } \\ & \mathrm{T}[350] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{IR}[4] \\ & \mathrm{T}[350] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TR[1] } \\ & \text { T } \mid 450] \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{IR}[2]}$ $T[450]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IR }[3] \\ & \text { T } \mid 450] \end{aligned}$ | IR[4] T/450\| | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IR[1] } \\ & \text { T[550] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IR[2] } \\ & \mathrm{T}[550] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IR }[3] \\ & \mathrm{T}[550] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IR }[4] \\ & \text { T[550] } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | - | - | - | - |
| 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

From the data given in the table, $\mathrm{pH}_{\text {PZC }}$ of the activated carbon could be determined as illustrated in the Figure below.


Figure C.1. pH ${ }_{\text {PZC }}$ Determination Using pH Drift Method of IR[1]T[550]

## C.6. Methylene Blue Adsorption

## C.6.1. Methylene Blue Equilibrium Time

In a preliminary experiment, the sample IR[4]T[550] with the weight of 0.05 gram and solution of Methylene Blue with the concentration $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ were shaken at room temperature. The equilibrium time was obtained when the absorbance of the solution was not decreasing again in the time length of 24 hours.

Table C.5. Experiment Data for the Determination of Methylene Blue Equilibrium Time

| Sample | Absorbance (A) |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 24 hours | 48 hours | 72 hours | 96 hours |
| IR[4]T[550] | 0.238 | 0.124 | 0.112 | 0.111 |

## C.6.2. Methylene Blue Removal Capacity for the Activated Carbons

From standard curve of absorbance versus concentration of Methylene Blue (MB) solution, the amount of Methylene Blue which is not adsorbed, $C_{\text {excess }}$, can be determined to find amount of MB adsorbed. Then \% Removal could be obtained by dividing amount of MB adsorbed by initial concentration of MB. By such data analysis, Table C. 4 could be obtained as follows:

Table C.6. \% Removal of the Activated Carbons

| Sample | Absorbance <br> $(\mathbf{A})$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {measured }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{L})$ | Dillution <br> (times) | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {excess }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{L})$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {ads }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{L})$ | Removal <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| IR[1]T[350] | 0.463 | 1.9880 | 20 | 39.7590 | 10.2410 | $20.48 \%$ |
| IR[2]T[350] | 0.457 | 1.9595 | 20 | 39.1908 | 10.8092 | $21.62 \%$ |
| IR[3]T[350] | 0.479 | 2.0637 | 20 | 41.2743 | 8.7257 | $17.45 \%$ |
| IR[4]T[350] | 0.484 | 2.0874 | 20 | 41.7478 | 8.2522 | $16.50 \%$ |
| IR[1]T[450] | 0.528 | 2.2957 | 5 | 11.4787 | 38.5213 | $77.04 \%$ |
| IR[2]T[450] | 0.450 | 1.9264 | 5 | 9.6320 | 40.3680 | $80.74 \%$ |
| IR[3]T[450] | 0.334 | 1.3771 | 5 | 6.8856 | 43.1144 | $86.23 \%$ |
| IR[4]T[450] | 0.314 | 1.2824 | 5 | 6.4121 | 43.5879 | $87.18 \%$ |
| IR[1]T[550] | 0.280 | 1.1214 | 5 | 5.6071 | 44.3929 | $88.79 \%$ |
| IR[2]T[550] | 0.264 | 1.0457 | 5 | 5.2283 | 44.7717 | $89.54 \%$ |
| IR[3]T[550] | 0.271 | 1.0788 | 5 | 5.3941 | 44.6059 | $89.21 \%$ |
| IR[4]T[550] | 0.204 | 0.7616 | 5 | 3.8078 | 46.1922 | $92.38 \%$ |

## C.6.3. Methylene Blue Adsorption Kinetic of IR[4]T[550] as Adsorbent

From standard curve of absorbance versus concentration of Methylene Blue solution, the amount of Methylene Blue which is not adsorbed, $C_{\text {excess }}$, can be determined to find amount of MB adsorbed in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ at time $t, q_{\mathrm{t}}$.

Table C.7. Data Analyses of Adsorption Kinetic of IR[4]T[550] at Different pH

| pH | $\begin{gathered} t \\ (\mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ | Absorbance (A) | $\begin{gathered} C_{\text {measured }} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ | Dilution (times) | $\begin{gathered} C_{\text {excess }} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{\mathrm{ads}} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ | $q_{t}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 | 0 |  | - | - | - | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 30 | 0.5980 | 2.6272 | 10 | 26.2719 | 23.7281 | 47.4562 |
|  | 60 | 0.5370 | 2.3383 | 10 | 23.3835 | 26.6165 | 53.2330 |
|  | 90 | 0.4700 | 2.0211 | 10 | 20.2110 | 29.7890 | 59.5781 |
|  | 120 | 0.4200 | 1.7843 | 10 | 17.8434 | 32.1566 | 64.3132 |
|  | 180 | 0.6750 | 2.9918 | 5 | 14.9590 | 35.0410 | 70.0821 |
|  | 270 | 0.5030 | 2.1774 | 5 | 10.8868 | 39.1132 | 78.2264 |
|  | 390 | 0.3890 | 1.6376 | 5 | 8.1878 | 41.8122 | 83.6245 |
|  | 1290 | 0.8490 | 3.8157 | 1 | 3.8157 | 46.1843 | 92.3686 |
|  | 2850 | 0.4240 | 1.8033 | 1 | 1.8033 | 48.1967 | 96.3934 |
|  | 4230 | 0.2950 | 1.1925 | 1 | 1.1925 | 48.8075 | 97.6151 |
| 6.0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 30 | 0.4700 | 2.0211 | 10 | 20.2110 | 29.7890 | 59.5781 |
|  | 60 | 0.3780 | 1.5855 | 10 | 15.8547 | 34.1453 | 68.2906 |
|  | 90 | 0.3130 | 1.2777 | 10 | 12.7769 | 37.2231 | 74.4463 |
|  | 120 | 0.2450 | 0.9557 | 10 | 9.5570 | 40.4430 | 80.8860 |
|  | 180 | 0.3810 | 1.5997 | 5 | 7.9984 | 42.0016 | 84.0033 |
|  | 270 | 0.2700 | 1.0741 | 5 | 5.3704 | 44.6296 | 89.2592 |
|  | 390 | 0.1840 | 0.6669 | 5 | 3.3343 | 46.6657 | 93.3314 |
|  | 1290 | 0.2170 | 0.8231 | 1 | 0.8231 | 49.1769 | 98.3538 |
|  | 2850 | 0.1100 | 0.3165 | 1 | 0.3165 | 49.6835 | 99.3671 |
|  | 4230 | 0.0980 | 0.2596 | 1 | 0.2596 | 49.7404 | 99.4807 |
| 10.0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 30 | 0.2160 | 0.8184 | 10 | 8.1838 | 41.8162 | 83.6324 |
|  | 60 | 0.1490 | 0.5011 | 10 | 5.0113 | 44.9887 | 89.9774 |
|  | 90 | 0.1900 | 0.6953 | 5 | 3.4763 | 46.5237 | 93.0473 |
|  | 120 | 0.6510 | 2.8782 | 1 | 2.8782 | 47.1218 | 94.2437 |
|  | 180 | 0.3910 | 1.6470 | 1 | 1.6470 | 48.3530 | 96.7060 |
|  | 270 | 0.2340 | 0.9036 | 1 | 0.9036 | 49.0964 | 98.1928 |
|  | 390 | 0.1430 | 0.4727 | 1 | 0.4727 | 49.5273 | 99.0546 |
|  | 1290 | 0.0470 | 0.0181 | 1 | 0.0181 | 49.9819 | 99.9637 |
|  | 2850 | 0.0470 | 0.0181 | 1 | 0.0181 | 49.9819 | 99.9637 |
|  | 4230 | 0.0460 | 0.0134 | 1 | 0.0134 | 49.9866 | 99.9732 |

Data calculation:
Initial concentration of $\mathrm{MB}=50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$
Department of Chemical Engineering, Widya Mandala Catholic University

Concentration of MB adsorbed $=50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}-C_{\text {excess }}$
Concentration of adsorbent $=0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$
$q_{\mathrm{t}}=C_{\text {excess }}$, i.e. Concentration of MB adsorbed / Concentration of adsorbent
Then, a plot of $q_{\mathrm{t}}$ versus $t$ can be plotted using Sigma Plot to apply model equation to find fitted parameter $q_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $k$ for each equation.

## C.6.4. Methylene Blue Adsorption Isotherm of IR[4]T[550] as Adsorbent

From standard curve of absorbance versus concentration of Methylene Blue solution, the amount of Methylene Blue at equilibrium time, $C_{\mathrm{e}}$, can be determined to find amount of MB adsorbed in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ at each variation of weight of added activated carbon, $q_{\mathrm{e}}$.

Table C.8. Data Analyses of Adsorption Isotherm of IR[4]T[550] at Different pH

| pH | Weight <br> (g) | $C_{\text {adsorbent }}$ (g/L) | Absorbance (A) | $\begin{gathered} C_{\text {measured }} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ | Dilution (times) | $\begin{gathered} C_{\mathrm{e}} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{\mathrm{ads}} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q_{\mathrm{e}} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 | 0.0101 | 0.101 | 0.6090 | 2.6793 | 10 | 26.7928 | 23.2072 | 232.0724 |
|  | 0.0153 | 0.153 | 0.4310 | 1.8364 | 10 | 18.3643 | 31.6357 | 210.9048 |
|  | 0.0221 | 0.221 | 0.3140 | 1.2824 | 10 | 12.8242 | 37.1758 | 185.8789 |
|  | 0.0298 | 0.298 | 0.2050 | 0.7663 | 10 | 7.6630 | 42.3370 | 141.1235 |
|  | 0.0421 | 0.421 | 0.4430 | 1.8932 | 2 | 3.7865 | 46.2135 | 115.5338 |
|  | 0.0505 | 0.505 | 0.5290 | 2.3005 | 1 | 2.3005 | 47.6995 | 95.3991 |
| 6.0 | 0.0908 | 0.908 | 0.5440 | 2.3715 | 10 | 23.7149 | 26.2851 | 262.8506 |
|  | 0.1489 | 1.489 | 0.6220 | 2.7408 | 5 | 13.7042 | 36.2958 | 241.9723 |
|  | 0.206 | 2.06 | 0.3690 | 1.5429 | 5 | 7.7143 | 42.2857 | 211.4287 |
|  | 0.312 | 3.12 | 0.4190 | 1.7796 | 2 | 2.6122 | 47.3878 | 157.9594 |
|  | 0.403 | 4.03 | 0.3280 | 1.3487 | 1 | 1.3487 | 48.6513 | 121.6282 |
| 10.0 | 0.0051 | 0.051 | 0.3820 | 1.6044 | 20 | 32.5617 | 17.4383 | 348.7665 |
|  | 0.0097 | 0.097 | 0.4190 | 1.7796 | 10 | 17.7961 | 32.2039 | 322.0393 |
|  | 0.0151 | 0.151 | 0.5980 | 2.6272 | 2 | 5.3491 | 44.6509 | 297.6728 |
|  | 0.0195 | 0.195 | 0.3170 | 1.2966 | 1 | 1.0599 | 48.9401 | 244.7006 |
|  | 0.0247 | 0.247 | 0.191 | 0.7000 | 1 | 0.7000 | 49.3000 | 197.2000 |

Data calculation:
Initial concentration of $\mathrm{MB}=50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$
Concentration of MB adsorbed $=50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}-C_{\text {excess }}$
Concentration of adsorbent $=0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$
$q_{\mathrm{e}}=C_{\mathrm{e}}$ (Concentration of MB adsorbed) / $C_{\text {adsorbent }}$ (Concentration of adsorbent)

Then, a plot of $q_{\mathrm{e}}$ versus $C_{\mathrm{e}}$ can be plotted using Sigma Plot to apply model equation to find fitted parameters $q_{0}$ and $b$ for Langmuir equation; and $K_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $n$ for Freundlich equation.


