APPENDIX

APPENDIX A

PROXIMATE ANALYSIS

A.1. Ash content analysis [53]

- 1. Crucible is ignited in the muffle furnace at $650 \pm 25^{\circ}$ C for one hour.
- 2. The crucible is cooled in a desiccator until the room temperature reached and then its weight is measured analytically.
- 3. The procedure number 1 and 2 are repeated until the dish has constant weight, with maximum error 0.1 mg.
- 4. The sample is dried at $105 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C until it has constant weight.
- 5. An amount of sample is placed into the crucible.
- 6. Sample is heated in the muffle furnace at $650 \pm 25^{\circ}$ C for 30 minutes.
- 7. The sample and the dish are cooled until the room temperature is reached in a desiccator and then their weight is measured analytically.
- 8. Procedure number 6 and 7 are repeated until the constant weight is reached.
- 9. The ash content in percent unit is calculated by the equation:

Total ash = $\left[\frac{\text{weight of crucible plus ashed sample - weight of crucible}}{\text{weight of crucible plus original sample - weight of crucible}}\right]x100$

Sample analysis

Weight of crucible (after constant weight is achieved) = 19.6336 grams

Weight of crucible + jackfruit peel = 20.9433 grams

Weight of crucible + ash = 19.6889 grams

% total ash = $\frac{\text{weight of ash}}{\text{initial weight of jackfruit peel}} x100\%$

Total ash, $\% = \frac{19.6889 - 19.6336}{20.9433 - 19.6336} x100\%$

Total ash, % =
$$\frac{19.6889 - 19.6336}{20.9433 - 19.6336} x100\%$$

= $\frac{0.0553}{1.3097} x100\%$
= 4.2223 %

A.2. Moisture content analysis [54]

- 1. Crucible is ignited in the muffle furnace at $650 \pm 25^{\circ}$ C for one hour.
- 2. The crucible is cooled in a desiccator until the room temperature reached and then its weight is measured analytically.
- 3. The procedure number 1 and 2 are repeated until the dish has constant weight, with maximum error 0.1 mg.
- 4. An amount of sample (1g-2g), which has been dried in ash content analysis, is taken and weighed.
- The sample taken is placed into the dish and then is heated in the oven at 150 ± 5°C for 30 minutes.
- 6. The sample and the crucible are cooled until the room temperature is reached in a desiccator and then their weight is measured analytically.
- 7. Procedure number 5 and 6 are repeated until the constant weight is reached.
- 8. The moisture content in percent unit is calculated by the equation:

Total moisture = $\left[\frac{\text{weight of crucible plus original sample-weight of crucible plus dried sample}}{\text{weight of crucible plus original sample-weight of crucible}}\right]x100$

Sample analysis

Weight of crucible (after constant weight is achieved) = 19.6336 grams

Weight of crucible + jackfruit peel = 20.9433 grams

Weight of initial jackfruit peel = 20.9433 - 19.6336 = 1.3097 grams

Weight of crucible + dried sample = 20.8099 grams

% total moisture = $\frac{\text{weight of moisture}}{\text{initial weight of jackfruit peel}} x100\%$

% total moisture = $\frac{1.3097 - (20.8099 - 19.6336)}{1.3097} x100\%$ = $\frac{0.1334}{1.3097} x100\%$

A.3. Volatile matter content analysis [55]

- Crucible with its cover is heated in the muffle furnace at 950°C±25°C for 30 minutes.
- 2. The crucible and its cover are cooled in a desiccator until the room temperature reached and then its weight is measured analytically.
- 3. The procedure number 1 and 2 are repeated until the dish and its cover has constant weight, with maximum error 0.1 mg.
- An amount of sample (±1g), which has been dried in ash content analysis, is taken and weighed.
- The sample taken is placed into the crucible and then is heated in the muffle furnace at 950 ± 25°C for 7 minutes.
- 6. The sample and the crucible are cooled until the room temperature is reached in a desiccator and then their weight is measured analytically.
- 7. Procedure number 5 and 6 are repeated until the constant weight is reached.
- 8. Volatile matter content in percent unit is calculated by the equation:

 $Weight \ loss = \left[\frac{weight \ of \ crucible, cover, and \ original \ sample - weight \ of \ crucible, cover, and \ de - volatilized \ sample}{weight \ of \ crucible, cover, and \ original \ sample - weight \ of \ crucible \ and \ cover}\right] x_{100}$

Total volatile matter = (weight loss – total moisture)

Sample analysis

Weight of crucible + cover (after constant weight is achieved) = 31.7445 grams Weight of crucible + cover + jackfruit peel = 32.7451 grams Initial weight of jackfruit peel = 1.0006 grams Weight of crucible + cover + ignited jackfruit peel = 32.1412 grams Weight of ignited jackfruit peel = 0.3967 grams Weight loss of jackfruit peel = 1.0006 - 0.3967 = 0.6039 grams % weight loss = $\frac{\text{weight loss of jackfruit peel}}{\text{initial weight of jackfruit peel}} x100\%$ % weight loss = $\frac{0.6039 \text{ grams}}{1.0006 \text{ grams}} x100\%$ = 60.3537 % % volatile matter = % weight loss - % moisture = 60.3537 % - 10.1885 % = 50.1682 %

A.4. Carbon content analysis [55]

The carbon content can be calculated by using following equation:

Carbon = 100% - [Total ash + Total moisture + Total volatile matter]

Sample analysis

% carbon = 100% - (% total ash + % moisture + % volatile matter)

$$= 100\% - (4.223\% + 10.1885\% + 50.1682\%)$$

APPENDIX B

DETERMINATION OF MAXIMUM WAVELENGTH AND METHYLENE BLUE STANDARD CURVE

B.1. Preparation of Methylene Blue solution

1. Preparation of 20 mg/L Methylene Blue stock solution as much as 0.1 L.

 $20 \text{ mg/L} \times 0.1 \text{ L} = 0.0020 \text{ gram}$

0.0020 gram Methylene Blue was weighed using analytical balance and dissolved with distilled water until its volume was accurately 100 mL.

- 2. Preparation of solutions for standard curve of Methylene Blue
 - a) 4 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was 100 mL (0.8 mg/L).
 - b) 5 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was 100 mL (1.0 mg/L).
 - c) 7 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was 100 mL (1.4 mg/L).
 - d) 9 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was 100 mL (1.8 mg/L)
 - e) 10 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was 100 mL (2.0 mg/L).
 - f) 12 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was 100 mL (2.4 mg/L).
 - g) 14 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was 100 mL (2.8 mg/L).

- h) 16 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was 100 mL (3.2 mg/L).
- i) 18 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was 100 mL (3.6 mg/L).

B.2. Determination of maximum wavelength

10 mL of stock solution was taken and added into measuring flask, and then diluted with distilled water until its volume was 10 mL so the concentration of Methylene Blue was to be 2.0 mg/L. Maximum wavelength of methylene blue was determined by measuring the absorbance of the solution using survey scan of Shimadzu UV-1201 UV-VIS spectrophotometer in the range of wavelength of 600 - 700 nm

| Wavelength (nm) | Absorbance |
|-----------------|------------|
| 600 | 0.195 |
| 609 | 0.244 |
| 618 | 0.260 |
| 627 | 0.273 |
| 636 | 0.310 |
| 645 | 0.380 |
| 654 | 0.472 |
| 657 | 0.494 |
| 660 | 0.509 |
| 663 | 0.515 |
| 664 | 0.516 |
| 665 | 0.514 |
| 666 | 0.513 |
| 669 | 0.495 |
| 672 | 0.454 |
| 675 | 0.391 |
| 678 | 0.318 |
| 681 | 0.255 |
| 684 | 0.205 |

Table B.1. λ and absorbance of 2.0 mg/L Methylene Blue solution



Department of Chemical Engineering, Widya Mandala Catholic University

From Table B.1, it can be seen that the maximum wavelength for Methylene Blue analysis is at 664 nm.

B.3. Preparation of standard curve

Absorbance of all solution prepared at step B.1 was measured using Shimadzu UV-1201 UV-VIS spectrophotometer at maximum wavelength which has been obtained from step B.2. Standard curve between absorbance versus dyes concentration was prepared and then linear regression was determined by Sigma Plot software.



Table B.2 Relationship between concentration and absorbance of Methylene Blue

Figure B.2. Standard Curve of Methylene Blue Solution

APPENDIX C

DATA ANALYSES

C.1. Yield of Activated Carbon

Example of calculation for yield of the activated carbon with impregnation ratio (IR) 4:1 (weight of phosphoric acid : weight of precursor) and heat treatment temperature (HTT) 550°C is as follows:

Initial weight of the precursor (jackfruit peel) on a dry basis = 20 grams

Weight of activated carbon = 8.4291 grams

% yield = $\frac{\text{weight of activated carbon}}{\text{initial weight of jackfruit peel on a dry basis}} x100\%$

$$=\frac{8.4291}{20}$$
 x100%

= 42.1455 %

Using the same calculation above, Table C.1 can be made

| IR | HTT, ℃ | Carbon code | Final Weight of JPAC* (g) | Yield, % wt |
|-----|--------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 350 | IR[1]T[350] | 11.2494 | 56.2470 |
| 1:1 | 450 | IR[1]T[450] | 9.9667 | 49.8335 |
| | 550 | IR[1]T[550] | 9.3479 | 46.7395 |
| 2:1 | 350 | IR[2]T[350] | 10.9378 | 54.6890 |
| | 450 | IR[2]T[450] | 9.5587 | 47.7934 |
| | 550 | IR[2]T[550] | 9.1940 | 45.9700 |
| | 350 | IR[3]T[350] | 10.5616 | 52.8080 |
| 3:1 | 450 | IR[3]T[450] | 9.2744 | 46.3720 |
| | 550 | IR[3]T[550] | 8.8393 | 44.1965 |
| | 350 | IR[4]T[350[| 9.9806 | 49.9030 |
| 4:1 | 450 | IR[4]T[450] | 8.9111 | 45.5554 |
| | 550 | IR[4]T[550] | 8.4291 | 42.1455 |

Table C.1. Production Yield of Activated Carbon

* JPAC = jackfruit peel activated carbon

C.2. SBET of Activated Carbon [1]

Equation below is the famous BET equation containing two fitting parameters, C and V_m:

$$\frac{V}{V_m} = \frac{C.P}{(P_0 - P)[1 + (C - 1)(P / P_0)]}$$
(C-1)

Eq. (C-1) is the famous BET equation, and it is used extensively for the area determination because once the monolayer coverage V_m is known and if the area occupied by one molecule is known the surface area of the solid can be calculated. To conveniently determine V_m , the BET equation can be cast into the form which is amenable for linear plot as follows:

$$\frac{P}{V(Po-P)} = \frac{1}{VmC} + \left(\frac{C-1}{VmC}\right)\frac{P}{Po}$$
(C-2)

The pressure range of validity of the BET equation is P/Po = 0.05-0.3. For relative pressure above 0.3, there exists capillary condensation, which is not amenable to multilayer analysis. A plot of the $\frac{P}{V(Po-P)}$ of eq. (3.3-23) versus (P/Po) would yield a

straight line with a slope

Slope =
$$\left(\frac{C-1}{VmC}\right)$$
 (C-3)

And an intercept

Intercept =
$$\left(\frac{1}{VmC}\right)$$
 (C-4)

Once V_m (mole/g) is obtained from the slope, the surface area is calculated from :

$$A = V_m N_a a_m (3.3-24)$$
 (C-5)

Where N_a is the Avogadro number and a_m is the molecular projected area. For nitrogen at its normal boiling point, the area of the nitrogen molecule is generally taken to be 16.2 Å². Department of Chemical Engineering, Widya Mandala Catholic University

C.3. d_{002} , L_c , and L_a Calculation from XRD Patterns

From the XRD patterns, the position of (002) and (10) peak at the abscissa 2θ could be obtained. The width of the peak (002) and (10) peak could also be obtained to calculate half width of the peak in radians, B (002) and B (10).

| Sample | (002) | (10) | (002) | (002) | B (002) | B (10) | |
|-------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|---------|--------|--|
| | position | position | width | width | | | |
| IR[1]T[350] | 25 | 43 | 12.5 | 21 | 0.1091 | 0.1833 | |
| IR[2]T[350] | 25 | 43.5 | 13 | 22 | 0.1134 | 0.1920 | |
| IR[3]T[350] | 25 | 43.5 | 12.5 | 21.5 | 0.1091 | 0.1876 | |
| IR[4]T[350] | 25 | 43 | 12.5 | 22 | 0.1091 | 0.1920 | |
| IR[1]T[450] | 25 | 43.5 | 13.5 | 26 | 0.1178 | 0.2269 | |
| IR[2]T[450] | 25 | 43.5 | 13.5 | 27 | 0.1178 | 0.2356 | |
| IR[3]T[450] | 25 | 43.5 | 14 | 27 | 0.1222 | 0.2356 | |
| IR[4]T[450] | 25 | 43.5 | 15 | 28 | 0.1309 | 0.2443 | |
| IR[1]T[550] | 25.5 | 44 | 13 | 22 | 0.1134 | 0.1920 | |
| IR[2]T[550] | 25.5 | 44 | 14 | 24 | 0.1222 | 0.2094 | |
| IR[3]T[550] | 25.5 | 44 | 15 | 26 | 0.1309 | 0.2269 | |
| IR[4]T[550] | 25.5 | 44 | 16 | 27 | 0.1396 | 0.2356 | |

Table C.2. The Position and the Width of (002) and (10) Peak of the XRD Spectra

The interlayer spacing d_{002} was determined using the Bragg equation as follow:

$$d = \frac{\lambda}{2\sin\theta} \tag{C-6}$$

The (002) and (10) peaks are used to calculate L_c and L_a , respectively. The quantities L_c , stack height, and L_a , stack width, were determined by the Scherrer equation:

$$L = \frac{K\lambda}{B\cos\theta} \tag{C-7}$$

The λ was 0.154 nm for CuK α while K = 0.9 and K = 1.84 were used for calculation of L_c and L_a , respectively. By the usage of those parameter; θ which was half of (002) position for Bragg equation and was half of (002) and (10) width for L_c and L_a for Scherrer equation; also B (002) and (10) for Scherrer equation; the d_{002} , L_c , and L_a of the activated carbons were obtained.

C.4. Boehm Titration

Example of calculation for Boehm titration of IR[4]T[550] is given as follows:

C.4.1. Basic surface functional group

meq of excess of HCl which can be calculated in reverse titration with NaOH + meq of HCl which had reaction with basic surface functional group = meq initial of HCl $(V.N)_{NaOH}$ + meq of basic surface functional group = $(V.N)_{HCl}$ (9.860* 0.0433) + meq of basic surface functional group = (10*0.0435)meq of basic surface functional group in 10 mL solution = 0.0077 meq meq of basic surface functional group in all of 50 mL solution system = 0.0077meq*50/10 meq of basic surface functional group per gram activated carbon = 0.0382 meq/0.5 gram meq of basic surface functional group per gram activated carbon = 0.0765 meq/gram

C.4.2. Acidic surface functional group

Carboxylic

 $(V.N)_{HCl}$ + meq of carboxyl surface functional group = $(V.N)_{NaHCO3}$ (9.705*0.0435) + meq of carboxyl surface functional group = (10*0.0502)meq of carboxyl surface functional group in 10 mL solution = 0.0789 meq meq of carboxyl surface functional group in all of 50 mL solution system = 0.3943 meq meq of carboxyl surface functional group per gram activated carbon = 0.3943meq/0.5 gram meq of carboxyl surface functional group per gram activated carbon = 0.7886 meq/gram

Lactonic

 $(V.N)_{HCl}$ + meq of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group = $(V.N)_{Na2CO3}$ (8.070*0.0435) + meq of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group = (10*0.0499)

Department of Chemical Engineering, Widya Mandala Catholic University

APPENDIX C

meq of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group in 10 mL solution = 0.1520 meq meq of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group in all of 50 mL solution system = 0.1480 meq * 50/10

meq of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group per gram activated carbon = 0.7399meq/0.5gram

meq of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group per gram activated carbon = 1.4797meq/gram

meq of lactone surface functional group per gram activated carbon = 1.4797meq/gram - 0.7886 meq/gram

meq of lactone surface functional group per gram activated carbon = 0.6912meq/gram

Phenolic

 $(V.N)_{HCl}$ + meq of (carboxyl+lactone+phenol) surface functional group = $(V.N)_{NaOH}$

(7.205*0.0435) + meq of (carboxyl+lactone+phenol) surface functional group = 10*0.0480

meq of (carboxyl+lactone+phenol) surface functional group in 10 mL solution = 0.1666meq

meq of (carboxyl+lactone+phenol) surface functional group in all of 50 mL solution system = 0.8330meq

meq of (carboxyl+lactone+phenol) surface functional group per gram activated carbon =

0.8330meq/0.5gram

meq of (carboxyl+lactone+phenol) surface functional group per gram activated carbon = 1.6660meq/gram

meq of phenol surface functional group per gram activated carbon = 1.6660meq/gram -

1.4797meq/gram

meq of phenol surface functional group per gram activated carbon = 0.1863meq/gram

By the same way of calculation above, volume data obtained from titration as shown in Table C.4 could be used to find the amount of surface functional group as follows:

| | NaHCO ₃ | Na ₂ CO ₃ | NaOH | HCl | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | magla | maala | maala | maala |
| Sample | | | | V _{NaOH} | $\frac{1}{(1)}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{(3)}$ | $\frac{1}{(4)}$ |
| | | / _{HCI} (mL) | | (mL) | | (2) | (3) | (+) |
| IR[1]T[350] | 8.485 | 6.965 | 5.070 | 10.005 | 1.3192 | 1.9604 | 2.5947 | 0.0137 |
| IR[2]T[350] | 8.630 | 7.210 | 5.605 | 9.855 | 1.2562 | 1.8538 | 2.3620 | 0.0787 |
| IR[3]T[350] | 8.415 | 6.880 | 4.520 | 9.910 | 1.3497 | 1.9974 | 2.8339 | 0.0548 |
| IR[4]T[350] | 8.040 | 6.030 | 3.375 | 9.950 | 1.5128 | 2.3671 | 3.3320 | 0.0375 |
| IR[1]T[450] | 9.025 | 7.720 | 6.030 | 9.990 | 1.0843 | 1.6320 | 2.1771 | 0.0202 |
| IR[2]T[450] | 9.610 | 8.565 | 7.370 | 9.830 | 0.8299 | 1. <mark>26</mark> 44 | 1.5942 | 0.0895 |
| IR[3]T[450] | 9.455 | 8.380 | 7.005 | 9.875 | 0.8973 | 1.3449 | 1.7530 | 0.0700 |
| IR[4]T[450] | 9.360 | 7.645 | 6.210 | 9.915 | 0.9386 | 1.6646 | 2.0988 | 0.0527 |
| IR[1]T[550] | 9.775 | 8.760 | 8.070 | 9.900 | 0.7581 | 1.1796 | 1.2897 | 0.0592 |
| IR[2]T[550] | 10.050 | 9.100 | 8.525 | 9.795 | 0.6385 | 1.0317 | 1.0918 | 0.1047 |
| IR[3]T[550] | 9.810 | 8.850 | 8.205 | 9.825 | 0.7429 | 1.1405 | 1.2310 | 0.0917 |
| IR[4]T[550] | 9.705 | 8.070 | 7.205 | 9.860 | 0.7886 | 1.4797 | 1.6660 | 0.0765 |

Table C.3. Experiment Data of Boehm Titration

meq/g(1) = meq/g of carboxyl surface functional group

meq/g(2) = meq/g of (carboxyl+lactone) surface functional group

meq/g(3) = meq/g of (carboxyl+lactone+phenol) surface functional group

meq/g (4) = meq/g of basic surface functional group

meq/g of carboxyl surface functional group = meq/g (1)

meq/g of lacton surface functional group = meq/g (2) – meq/g (1)

meq/g of phenol surface functional group = meq/g (3) – meq/g (2)

meq/g of basic surface functional group = meq/g (4)

By the data calculation above, Table IV.4 showing meq/g of acidic and basic surface

functional group could be obtained

C.5. pH Drift

From pH drift experiments by several pH_{init}, several pH_{final} could be obtained as follows:

| | pH final | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| pH init | IR[1] | IR[2] | IR[3] | IR[4] | IR[1] | IR[2] | IR[3] | IR[4] | IR[1] | IR[2] | IR[3] | IR[4] |
| l. | T[350] | T[350] | T[350] | T[350] | T[450] | T[450] | T[450] | T[450] | T[550] | T[550] | T[550] | T[550] |
| 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | - | _ | _ | - | - | - | _ | - |
| 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | - | - | | - | - | - | - | |
| 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 7 | - | - | - |
| 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | - | - | - | - 1 | - 1 | - | - | _ |
| 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | - | - | - 1. | -/ /- | - | - | - | - |

Table C.4. pH Final of Activated Carbon

From the data given in the table, pH_{PZC} of the activated carbon could be determined as illustrated in the Figure below.



Figure C.1. pH_{PZC} Determination Using pH Drift Method of IR[1]T[550]

C.6. Methylene Blue Adsorption

C.6.1. Methylene Blue Equilibrium Time

In a preliminary experiment, the sample IR[4]T[550] with the weight of 0.05 gram and solution of Methylene Blue with the concentration 50 mg/L were shaken at room temperature. The equilibrium time was obtained when the absorbance of the solution was not decreasing again in the time length of 24 hours.

Table C.5. Experiment Data for the Determination of Methylene Blue Equilibrium Time

| Sample | Absorbance (A) | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Sample | 24 hours | 48 hours | 72 hours | 96 hours | | | | |
| IR[4]T[550] | 0.238 | 0.124 | 0.112 | 0.111 | | | | |

C.6.2. Methylene Blue Removal Capacity for the Activated Carbons

From standard curve of absorbance versus concentration of Methylene Blue (MB) solution, the amount of Methylene Blue which is not adsorbed, C_{excess} , can be determined to find amount of MB adsorbed. Then % Removal could be obtained by dividing amount of MB adsorbed by initial concentration of MB. By such data analysis, Table C.4 could be obtained as follows:

| Sample | Absorbance (A) | Cmeasured (mg/L) | Dillution (times) | C _{excess} (mg/L) | C _{ads} (mg/L) | Removal (%) |
|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| IR[1]T[350] | 0.463 | 1.9880 | 20 | 39.7590 | 10.2410 | 20.48% |
| IR[2]T[350] | 0.457 | 1.9595 | 20 | 39.1908 | 10.8092 | 21.62% |
| IR[3]T[350] | 0.479 | 2.0637 | 20 | 41.2743 | 8.7257 | 17.45% |
| IR[4]T[350] | 0.484 | 2.0874 | 20 | 41.7478 | 8.2522 | 16.50% |
| IR[1]T[450] | 0.528 | 2.2957 | 5 | 11.4787 | 38.5213 | 77.04% |
| IR[2]T[450] | 0.450 | 1.9264 | 5 | 9.6320 | 40.3680 | 80.74% |
| IR[3]T[450] | 0.334 | 1.3771 | 5 | 6.8856 | 43.1144 | 86.23% |
| IR[4]T[450] | 0.314 | 1.2824 | 5 | 6.4121 | 43.5879 | 87.18% |
| IR[1]T[550] | 0.280 | 1.1214 | 5 | 5.6071 | 44.3929 | 88.79% |
| IR[2]T[550] | 0.264 | 1.0457 | 5 | 5.2283 | 44.7717 | 89.54% |
| IR[3]T[550] | 0.271 | 1.0788 | 5 | 5.3941 | 44.6059 | 89.21% |
| IR[4]T[550] | 0.204 | 0.7616 | 5 | 3.8078 | 46.1922 | 92.38% |

Table C.6. % Removal of the Activated Carbons

Department of Chemical Engineering, Widya Mandala Catholic University

C.6.3. Methylene Blue Adsorption Kinetic of IR[4]T[550] as Adsorbent

From standard curve of absorbance versus concentration of Methylene Blue solution, the amount of Methylene Blue which is not adsorbed, C_{excess} , can be determined to find amount of MB adsorbed in mg/g at time t, q_t .

| nН | t | Absorbance | Cmeasured | Dilution | Cexcess | Cads | a (mala) |
|------|-------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------------------|--------------|
| PII | (min) | (A) | (mg/L) | (times) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | $q_t (mg/g)$ |
| 1.5 | 0 | | - | | - | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| | 30 | 0.5980 | 2.6272 | 10 | 26.2719 | 23.7281 | 47.4562 |
| | 60 | 0.5370 | 2.3383 | 10 | 23.3835 | 26.6165 | 53.2330 |
| | 90 | 0.4700 | 2.0211 | 10 | 20.2110 | 29.7890 | 59.5781 |
| | 120 | 0.4200 | 1.7843 | 10 | 17.8434 | 32.1566 | 64.3132 |
| | 180 | 0.6750 | 2.9918 | 5 | 14.9590 | 35.0410 | 70.0821 |
| | 270 | 0.5030 | 2.1774 | 5 | 10.8868 | 39.1132 | 78.2264 |
| | 390 | 0.3890 | 1.6376 | 5 | 8.1878 | 41.8122 | 83.6245 |
| ł | 1290 | 0.8490 | 3.8157 | 1 | 3.8157 | <mark>46</mark> .1843 | 92.3686 |
| | 2850 | 0.4240 | 1.8033 | 1 | 1.8033 | 48.1967 | 96.3934 |
| | 4230 | 0.2950 | 1.1925 | 1 | 1.1925 | 48.8075 | 97.6151 |
| | 0 | - | - | | < · · · | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| | 30 | 0.4700 | 2.0211 | 10 | 20.2110 | 29.7890 | 59.5781 |
| | 60 | 0.3780 | 1.5855 | 10 | 15.8547 | 34.1453 | 68.2906 |
| | 90 | 0.3130 | 1.2777 | 10 | 12.7769 | 37.2231 | 74.4463 |
| | 120 | 0.2450 | 0.9557 | 10 | 9.5570 | 40.4430 | 80.8860 |
| 6.0 | 180 | 0.3810 | 1.5997 | 5 | 7.9984 | 42.0016 | 84.0033 |
| | 270 | 0.2700 | 1.0741 | 5 | 5.3704 | 44.6296 | 89.2592 |
| | 390 | 0.1840 | 0.6669 | 5 | 3.3343 | 46.6657 | 93.3314 |
| | 1290 | 0.2170 | 0.8231 | 1 | 0.8231 | 49.1769 | 98.3538 |
| | 2850 | 0.1100 | 0.3165 | 1 | 0.3165 | 49.6835 | 99.3671 |
| | 4230 | 0.0980 | 0.2596 | 1 | 0.2596 | 49.7404 | 99.4807 |
| | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| | 30 | 0.2160 | 0.8184 | _10 | 8.1838 | 41.8162 | 83.6324 |
| | 60 | 0.1490 | 0.5011 | 10 | 5.0113 | 44.9887 | 89.9774 |
| | 90 | 0.1900 | 0.6953 | 5 | 3.4763 | 46.5237 | 93.0473 |
| | 120 | 0.6510 | 2.8782 | 1 | 2.8782 | 47.1218 | 94.2437 |
| 10.0 | 180 | 0.3910 | 1.6470 | 1 | 1.6470 | 48.3530 | 96.7060 |
| | 270 | 0.2340 | 0.9036 | 1 | 0.9036 | 49.0964 | 98.1928 |
| Į | 390 | 0.1430 | 0.4727 | 1 | 0.4727 | 49.5273 | 99.0546 |
| | 1290 | 0.0470 | 0.0181 | 1 | 0.0181 | 49.9819 | 99.9637 |
| | 2850 | 0.0470 | 0.0181 | 1 | 0.0181 | 49.9819 | 99.9637 |
| | 4230 | 0.0460 | 0.0134 | 1 | 0.0134 | 49.9866 | 99.9732 |

Table C.7. Data Analyses of Adsorption Kinetic of IR[4]T[550] at Different pH

Data calculation:

Initial concentration of MB = 50 mg/L

Concentration of MB adsorbed = $50 \text{mg/L} - C_{\text{excess}}$

Concentration of adsorbent = 0.5g/L

 $q_t = C_{\text{excess}}$, i.e. Concentration of MB adsorbed / Concentration of adsorbent

Then, a plot of q_t versus t can be plotted using Sigma Plot to apply model equation to find fitted parameter q_e and k for each equation.

------ <u>1</u>

C.6.4. Methylene Blue Adsorption Isotherm of IR[4]T[550] as Adsorbent

From standard curve of absorbance versus concentration of Methylene Blue solution, the amount of Methylene Blue at equilibrium time, C_e , can be determined to find amount of MB adsorbed in mg/g at each variation of weight of added activated carbon, q_e .

| nIJ | Weight | C _{adsorbent} | Absorbance | Cmeasured | Dilution | Ce | Cads | q _e |
|------|--------|------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|----------------------|---------|----------------|
| hu | (g) | (g/L) | (A) | (mg/L) | (times) | (mg/ <mark>L)</mark> | (mg/L) | (mg/g) |
| | 0.0101 | 0.101 | 0.6090 | 2.6793 | 10 | 26.7928 | 23.2072 | 232.0724 |
| | 0.0153 | 0.153 | 0.4310 | 1.8364 | 10 | 18.3643 | 31.6357 | 210.9048 |
| 15 | 0.0221 | 0.221 | 0.3140 | 1.2824 | 10 | 12.8242 | 37.1758 | 185.8789 |
| 1.5 | 0.0298 | 0.298 | 0.2050 | 0.7663 | 10 | 7.6630 | 42.3370 | 141.1235 |
| | 0.0421 | 0.421 | 0.4430 | 1.8932 | 2 | 3.7865 | 46.2135 | 115.5338 |
| | 0.0505 | 0.505 | 0.5290 | 2.3005 | | 2.3005 | 47.6995 | 95.3991 |
| | 0.0908 | 0.908 | 0.5440 | 2.3715 | 10 | 23.7149 | 26.2851 | 262.8506 |
| | 0.1489 | 1.489 | 0.6220 | 2.7408 | 5 | 13.7042 | 36.2958 | 241.9723 |
| 6.0 | 0.206 | 2.06 | 0.3690 | 1.5429 | 5 | 7.7143 | 42.2857 | 211.4287 |
| | 0.312 | 3.12 | 0.4190 | 1.7796 | 2 | 2.6122 | 47.3878 | 157.9594 |
| | 0.403 | 4.03 | 0.3280 | 1.3487 | 1 | 1.3487 | 48.6513 | 121.6282 |
| | 0.0051 | 0.051 | 0.3820 | 1.6044 | 20 | 32.5617 | 17.4383 | 348.7665 |
| | 0.0097 | 0.097 | 0.4190 | 1.7796 | 10 | 17.7961 | 32.2039 | 322.0393 |
| 10.0 | 0.0151 | 0.151 | 0.5980 | 2.6272 | 2 | 5.3491 | 44.6509 | 297.6728 |
| | 0.0195 | 0.195 | 0.3170 | 1.2966 | 1 | 1.0599 | 48.9401 | 244.7006 |
| | 0.0247 | 0.247 | 0.191 | 0.7000 | 1 | 0.7000 | 49.3000 | 197.2000 |

Table C.8. Data Analyses of Adsorption Isotherm of IR[4]T[550] at Different pH

Data calculation:

Initial concentration of MB = 50 mg/L

Concentration of MB adsorbed = $50 \text{mg/L} - C_{\text{excess}}$

Concentration of adsorbent = 0.5g/L

 $q_e = C_e$ (Concentration of MB adsorbed) / $C_{adsorbent}$ (Concentration of adsorbent)

Then, a plot of q_e versus C_e can be plotted using Sigma Plot to apply model equation to find fitted parameters q_0 and b for Langmuir equation; and K_f and n for Freundlich equation.

